



This is a peer-reviewed, final published version of the following document, © 2021 Cejudo, Ruiz-Pérez, Hernández-Sánchez, De Ste Croix, Sainz de Baranda and Ayala Rodriguez. This is the accepted version of an article published by Frontiers. and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 license:

**Cejudo, Antonio, Ruiz-Pérez, Iñaki, Hernández-Sánchez, Sergio, De Ste Croix, Mark B ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9911-4355>, Sainz de Baranda, Pilar and Ayala, Francisco (2021) Comprehensive Lower Extremities Joints Range of Motion Profile in Futsal Players. Frontiers in Psychology, 12. Art 658996. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.658996**

Official URL: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.658996>

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.658996>

EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/9773>

#### **Disclaimer**

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.



# Comprehensive Lower Extremities Joints Range of Motion Profile in Futsal Players

Antonio Cejudo<sup>1</sup>, Iñaki Ruiz-Pérez<sup>2\*</sup>, Sergio Hernández-Sánchez<sup>3</sup>, Mark De Ste Croix<sup>4</sup>, Pilar Sainz de Baranda<sup>1</sup> and Francisco Ayala<sup>1,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Activity and Sport, Faculty of Sports Sciences, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain, <sup>2</sup> Department of Sport Sciences, Sports Research Centre, Miguel Hernández University of Elche, Elche, Spain, <sup>3</sup> Department of Pathology and Surgery, Physiotherapy Area, Miguel Hernández University of Elche, Alicante, Spain, <sup>4</sup> School of Sport and Exercise, University of Gloucestershire, Gloucester, United Kingdom, <sup>5</sup> Ramón y Cajal Postdoctoral Fellowship, Department of Physical Activity and Sport, Faculty of Sports Sciences, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Fábio Yuzo Nakamura,  
Instituto Universitário da Maia (ISMAI),  
Portugal

### Reviewed by:

José Afonso,  
University of Porto, Portugal  
Carlos Lago-Fuentes,  
Universidad Europea del Atlántico,  
Spain

### \*Correspondence:

Iñaki Ruiz-Pérez  
ignacio-ruiz-perez@hotmail.com

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Movement Science and Sport  
Psychology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Psychology

**Received:** 26 January 2021

**Accepted:** 17 May 2021

**Published:** 14 June 2021

### Citation:

Cejudo A, Ruiz-Pérez I, Hernández-Sánchez S, De Ste Croix M, Sainz de Baranda P and Ayala F (2021) Comprehensive Lower Extremities Joints Range of Motion Profile in Futsal Players. *Front. Psychol.* 12:658996. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.658996

The purposes of this study were to describe the lower extremities joints range of motion (ROM) profile using a comprehensive approach in futsal players and to examine potential player position (goalkeepers vs. outfield players), competitive level (first [top] division vs. second division), number of playing years, sex (males vs. females), and bilateral (dominant limb vs. non-dominant limb) differences. A total of 72 male and 67 female elite futsal players from 11 clubs were measured of passive hip (flexion with knee flexed [HF<sub>KF</sub>] and extended [HF<sub>KE</sub>], extension [HE], abduction [HA], external [HER], and internal [HIR] rotation), knee (flexion [KF]) and ankle (dorsiflexion with knee flexed [ADF<sub>KF</sub>] and extended [ADF<sub>KE</sub>]) ROMs. Bayesian inferences exploring differences between player position, competitive level, sex and limb were made. A Bayesian correlation analysis was conducted to explore the influence of playing years on joints ROMs. The results showed no significant player position or competitive level related differences in any average ROM score. However, statistically significant sex-related differences were documented whereby female players reported higher hip and knee joints ROM average values than their male counterparts. Especially relevant were the proportions of males (72%) and players from teams engaged in the second division (61%) displaying limited HF<sub>KE</sub> ROMs. Likewise, around 35% of all players showed restricted ADF<sub>KF</sub> ROMs. In addition, approximately 21, 18, 22, and 25% of the futsal players were identified as having bilateral asymmetries ( $\geq 8^\circ$ ) for HA, HIR, HER, and KF ROMs, respectively. Finally, Bayesian correlation analysis did not report any significant association between years of playing futsal and ROM measures (all  $r$  values  $< 0.34$ ). The implications that these restricted HF<sub>KE</sub> and ADF<sub>KF</sub> ROMs and bilateral asymmetries in hip (abduction, internal and external rotation) and knee (flexion) ROMs caused by the practice of futsal may have on physical performance and injury risk warrant future research.

**Keywords:** futsal, muscle flexibility, injury risk, soft tissue injuries, rehabilitation

## INTRODUCTION

Futsal (the five-a-side version of associated football) is played worldwide with more than one million registered players all over the world (FIFA, 2007). During the game of futsal, players perform a substantive number of repeated high intensity unilateral actions (e.g., sudden accelerations and decelerations, rapid changes of direction, tackling and kicking) (Dogramaci and Watsford, 2006; Castagna et al., 2009; Beato et al., 2016; Naser et al., 2017). Such high intensity actions alongside lateral preference (e.g., preferred kicking leg) might lead players to progressively develop futsal-specific soft tissue adaptations (Maloney, 2019). In particular, most of these actions impose strong and asymmetric tensile loads on the muscles around the hip, knee, and ankle joints. When these actions are repeated several times during training sessions and matches, they may have the potential to generate changes in the mechanical (e.g., stiffness) and neural (e.g., tolerance to changes in resting length) properties of one or some of the muscle groups (mainly biarticular) involved in them (Witvrouw et al., 2004). Thus, it may be plausible that these muscle adaptations are likely to result in the development of a futsal-specific lower extremities joints range of motion (ROM) profile that might be characterized by the presence of some restricted or limited ROMs and significant bilateral asymmetries (dominant limb vs. non-dominant limb). Furthermore, this hypothetical futsal-specific lower extremities ROM profile may become more evident at elite levels, mainly attributed (but not exclusively) to the higher physical demands of the game and larger number of training sessions per week that players at these levels are usually exposed to (Mohammed et al. (2014), Ribeiro et al. (2020), Spyrou et al. (2020). Likewise, the well-documented sex-related anatomical (Hahn and Foldspang, 1997), hormonal (Wojtyś et al., 1998), and neuromuscular (Komi and Karlsson, 1978) differences may lead female futsal players to develop a different lower extremities ROM profile in comparison with their male counterparts.

It has been traditionally suggested that studies aimed at describing the effects of long-standing participation within a single sport on major joints ROMs are of interest because restricted values and bilateral asymmetries are not only detrimental to physical performance but also increase the risk of soft tissue injury (Bishop et al., 2018; Afonso et al., 2020). However, from a physical performance standpoint the available evidence does not support such association. In this sense, the findings reported by the studies that have addressed this issue in intermittent team-sport athletes (mainly football and basketball players) are often contradictory, whereby for the same physical performance measure (e.g., jump height), some studies exhibited negative associations (García-Pinillos et al., 2015; Mills et al., 2015) while others did not find a clear influence (Domínguez-Díez et al., 2021) and even better scores were observed in players with poor ROM values (Rey et al., 2016). On the other hand, a growing number of prospective studies have been recently published using contemporary Machine Learning techniques (e.g., supervised

learning algorithms) and resampling methods (e.g., fivefold cross validation, leave-one-out, bootstrapping) to build valid and generalizable screening models (area under the receiver operator characteristics [ROC] scores > 0.700) to predict non-contact soft-tissue lower extremities injuries in intermittent team-sport athletes (including futsal players) (Fousekis et al., 2011; López-Valenciano et al., 2018; Rossi et al., 2018; Ayala et al., 2019; Oliver et al., 2020; Rommers et al., 2020; Ruiz-Pérez et al., 2021). Among these studies, those that provided learning algorithms the opportunity to select (or not) measures of ROMs to build prediction models have identified some restricted lower extremities hip (flexion), knee (flexion), and ankle (dorsiflexion) ROMs and bilateral asymmetries as primary predictors of non-contact soft-tissue injury (mainly thigh muscle strains and knee and ankle ligament sprains and tears) in football (López-Valenciano et al., 2018; Ayala et al., 2019), handball (López-Valenciano et al., 2018), and futsal players (Ruiz-Pérez et al., 2021). Therefore, and with a certain degree of caution, it could be stated that knowing whether (or not) futsal players may develop sport-specific adaptations from training and matches that would cause significant impairments and bilateral differences in lower extremities joints ROMs might help coaches and sport scientists in the decision-making process for injury prevention.

Only Cejudo et al. (2014) have described the lower extremities ROM profile in elite male futsal players. The results shown in this study indicate that the practice of futsal did not elicit clinically relevant impairments in hip, knee and ankle joints ROM average values in this cohort of players. Likewise, no statistically significant bilateral differences were found for the joint ROMs of the dominant and non-dominant limbs. However, the lower extremities ROM profile described by Cejudo et al. (2014) should be considered with a degree of caution since only 20 players from a single futsal team were used, which may reduce its external validity. In addition, Cejudo et al. (2014) only provided average values so that the possible inter-player variability in joint ROMs was not considered and thus, it may distort the true extent of the number of players reporting restricted ROMs. In an attempt to minimize the effects of inter-player variability and achieve a more realistic diagnosis regarding the presence (or absence) of changes in ROMs attributed to intensive sport practice, López-Valenciano et al. (2019) suggested using a new comprehensive profile of joint ROMs. In this profile not only ROM average scores are reported but also the number of players showing bilateral asymmetries (between-limb differences > 6–10°) (Fousekis et al., 2011; López-Valenciano et al., 2019) and normal and limited (based on the previously published cutoff scores to classify athletes at high risk of injury) ROM values.

Therefore, the purposes of this study were to describe the lower extremities joints ROM profile using a comprehensive approach in a large cohort of futsal players and to examine potential player position (goalkeepers vs. outfield players), competitive level (first [top] division vs. second division), number of playing years, sex (males vs. females), and bilateral (dominant limb vs. non-dominant limb) differences.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Participants

A convenience sample of 139 (72 males and 67 females) elite futsal players from 12 different teams (56 players [24 males and 32 females] from six clubs engaged in the First [top] National Spanish Futsal division and 83 players [48 males and 35 females] from six clubs engaged in the Second National Futsal division) completed this study. Before data collection, participants filled out a questionnaire containing questions about their sport-related background (player position, the current competitive level, dominant leg, sport experience), anthropometric characteristics (age, body mass, and stature) and training regimen (weekly practice frequency, hours of futsal practice per week and day, number of playing years). Descriptive statistics for males and females are displayed in **Table 1**. The exclusion criterion was history of orthopedic problems to the knee, thigh, hip, or lower back in the month before the study from which players were considered (by teams' medical staff) as not fully recovered and whose acute residual symptoms could have a temporary impact on the habitual players' movement competency and/or lower extremities ROM profile (López-Valenciano et al., 2019; Moreno-Pérez et al., 2020). The study was conducted at the end of the pre-season phase in 2015 (39 male [4 teams] players), 2016 (26 male [2 teams] and 18 female [2 teams] players), 2017 (7 male [1 team] and 23 female [2 teams] players) and 2018 (26 female [2 teams] players) (September). Only one pre-season ROM assessment was carried out in 121 out of 139 players through the 4-year length of the study while 18 female players from the same team were assessed twice in different years (2017 and 2018). The time frame of the study was selected to be sure that the players recruited to each team were definitive and stable within the testing period. Before any participation, experimental procedures and potential risks were fully explained to the players and coaches in verbal and written form and written informed consent was obtained from players. An Institutional Research Ethics committee approved the study protocol prior to data collection (DPS.FAR.01.14) conforming to the recommendations of the Declaration of Frontera.

### Testing Procedure

The passive hip flexion with knee flexed ( $HF_{KF}$ ) and extended ( $HF_{KE}$ ), extension (HE), abduction (HA), external (HER) and internal (HIR) rotation; knee flexion (KF); and ankle dorsiflexion

with knee flexed ( $ADF_{KF}$ ) and extended ( $ADF_{KE}$ ) ROMs of the dominant and non-dominant limbs were assessed following the methodology previously described (Cejudo et al., 2020). Briefly, an ISOMED inclinometer (Portland, Oregon) with a telescopic arm was used as the key measure for all tests. The inclinometer was consistently placed level before each measurement to assure that no change occurred in the sensitivity. A low-back protection support (Lumbosant, Murcia, Spain) was used to standardize the lordotic curve ( $15^\circ$ ) during all the assessment tests. Variations in pelvic position and stability may affect the final score of several measurements of hip movement range of motion (Bohannon et al., 1985). Thus, to accurately measure hip joint ROMs, the assessment procedure in this study provided suitable stabilization of the pelvis during all the tests using an assistant clinician.

The dominant limb was defined as the participant's preferred kicking leg (self-reported). Prior to the testing session, all participants performed the dynamic warm-up designed by Taylor et al. (2009). The overall duration of the entire warm-up was approximately 20 min. The assessment of the nine ROMs was carried out 3–5 min after the dynamic warm-up. After the warm-up, participants were instructed to perform, in a randomized order, two maximal trials of each ROM test for each limb, and the mean score for each test was used in the analyses. When a variation  $> 4^\circ$  was found in the ROM values between the two trials of any test, an extra trial was performed, and the two most closely related trials were used for the subsequent statistical analyses. One or both of the following criteria determined the endpoint for each test: (a) palpable onset of pelvic rotation, and/or (b) the participant feeling a strong but tolerable stretch, slightly before the occurrence of pain. Participants were examined wearing sports clothes and without shoes. A 30 s rest was given between trials, limbs and tests. All tests were carried out by the same two sports science specialists under stable environmental conditions. Standardization procedures (including the warm-up, test setup, and participant instructions) were replicated at each test session conducted in the different clubs. Teams' performance staff were told not to request players to perform high intensity activities at least 48 h before testing sessions to minimize the impact of potential muscle soreness and contractures on ROM scores. All testing sessions were carried out within the 2 weeks before the beginning of the futsal in-season and always in the late afternoon or evening (according to each team's training schedules).

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using JASP software version 0.13.01 (Amsterdam, Netherlands). Prior to the statistical analysis, the distribution of raw data sets was checked using the Shapiro–Wilk expanded test and demonstrated that all data had a normal distribution ( $p > 0.05$ ). Descriptive statistics (including means and standard deviations [SD]) were calculated for hip, knee and ankle ROM measures for all futsal players combined and also separately by player position (goalkeepers vs. outfield players), competitive level (first division vs. second division) and sex (males vs. females).

Separate Bayesian paired samples *t*-tests were carried out to determine the existence of significant bilateral differences in hip,

**TABLE 1 |** Demographic variables (mean  $\pm$  SD) for the elite futsal players.

Variable	Males ( $n = 72$ )	Females ( $n = 67$ )
Age (years)	22.8 $\pm$ 5.5	22.3 $\pm$ 4.5
Stature (cm)	177.6 $\pm$ 6.4	164.6 $\pm$ 5.8
Body mass (kg)	73.1 $\pm$ 6.6	60.2 $\pm$ 6.7
Years playing futsal (years)	13.8 $\pm$ 5.1	13.2 $\pm$ 4.4
Weekly practice frequency	4.1 $\pm$ 0.6	3.1 $\pm$ 0.4
Hours of futsal practice per week	10.8 $\pm$ 1.7	8.4 $\pm$ 1.0

SD, standard deviation.

knee and ankle average ROMs. Likewise, Bayesian independent samples *t*-tests were conducted to explore differences in ROM average scores between player position, competitive level and sex. For all the Bayesian inference tests run, the  $BF_{10}$  was interpreted using the evidence categories previously suggested (Wagenmakers et al., 2018):  $< \frac{1}{100}$  = extreme evidence for null hypothesis ( $H_0$  = no main effects), from  $\frac{1}{100}$  to  $< \frac{1}{30}$  = very strong evidence for  $H_0$ , from  $\frac{1}{30}$  to  $< \frac{1}{10}$  = strong evidence for  $H_0$ , from  $\frac{1}{10}$  to  $< \frac{1}{3}$  = moderate evidence for  $H_0$ , from  $\frac{1}{3}$  to  $< 1$  anecdotal evidence for  $H_0$ , from 1 to 3 = anecdotal evidence for alternative hypothesis ( $H_1$ ), from  $> 3-10$  = moderate evidence for  $H_1$ , from  $> 10$  to 30 = strong evidence for  $H_1$ , from  $> 30-100$  = very strong evidence for  $H_1$ ,  $> 100$  extreme evidence for  $H_1$ . Only those models that showed at least strong evidence for supporting  $H_1$  ( $BF_{10} > 10$ ) with a percental error  $< 10$  were considered robust enough to describe the main effects.

Furthermore, and similar to what was carried out in previous studies on football players (Ayala et al., 2019; Robles-Palazón et al., 2020), in each participant, the hip, knee and ankle ROM scores were categorized as normal (i.e., non-pathologic) or limited according to the reference values previously reported to consider an athlete as being more prone to suffer an injury. Thus, ROM values were reported as limited according to the following cut-off scores:  $< 114^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 114^\circ$  (normal) for the  $HF_{KF}$  ROM (Holla et al., 2012),  $< 80^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 80^\circ$  (normal) for the  $HF_{KE}$  ROM (Kendall et al., 2005),  $< 50^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 50^\circ$  (normal) for the HA ROM (Gerhardt et al., 2002),  $< 26^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 26^\circ$  (normal) for the HIR ROM (Roach et al., 2013),  $< 30^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 30^\circ$  (normal) for the HER ROM (L'Hermette et al., 2006),  $< 0^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 0^\circ$  (normal) for the HE ROM (Young et al., 2004),  $< 120^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 120^\circ$  (normal) for the KF ROM (Peat et al., 2007),  $< 17^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 17^\circ$  (normal) for the  $ADF_{KE}$  ROM (Ekstrand and Gillquist, 1982; Kibler et al., 1988) and  $< 34^\circ$  (limited) and  $\geq 34^\circ$  (normal) for the  $ADF_{KF}$  ROM (Pope et al., 1998). In those ROMs in which different cut-off scores have been described in the literature to categorize athletes as having either high or moderate

risk of soft-tissue injury, the most restrictive one was selected as the cut-off score for the current study. The cut-off scores previously suggested by Robles-Palazón et al. (2020) were used to calculate the number and percentage of players with bilateral differences ( $\geq 8^\circ$ ) in each ROM. For each ROM, percentage scores larger than 20% of players showing limited values and significant bilateral differences were considered for this study as relevant from an injury prevention standpoint. In each ROM in which the percentage of players showing limited values and/or significant bilateral differences were larger than 20%, a Bayesian Pearson's chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) test was used to examine potential player position (goalkeepers vs. outfield players), competitive level (first [top] division vs. second division) and sex (males vs. females) -related differences in the proportion of players showing limited scores and bilateral differences.

Finally, a Bayesian correlation analysis was performed to examine the correlation between participants' years of playing futsal and each ROM score. Magnitudes of correlations were assessed using the following scale of thresholds:  $< 0.80$  = low,  $0.80-0.90$  = moderate, and  $> 0.90$  = high (Hopkins, 2000).

## RESULTS

**Tables 2–5** display the descriptive ROM values for hip ( $HF_{KF}$ ,  $HF_{KE}$ , HA, HIR, HER and HE), knee (KF), and ankle ( $ADF_{KF}$  and  $ADF_{KE}$ ) joints for all players combined and separately by player position, competitive level and sex, respectively.

With all players combined, Bayesian paired samples *t*-tests did show no significant differences ( $BF_{10} < 10$ ) between dominant and non-dominant limbs for each of the nine ROMs (**Table 2**). Consequently, the ROM average score for both limbs was used for between-group comparisons. There were no significant differences in the hip, knee and ankle joints ROM values obtained from goalkeepers and outfield players (**Table 3**). Likewise, there were also no significant competitive level related differences in any of the ROMs (**Table 4**). However, statistically significant

**TABLE 2 |** Descriptive values and inter-limb differences for hip (flexion, extension, abduction, internal, and external rotation), knee (flexion), and ankle (dorsiflexion with knee flexed and extended) ranges of motion for all futsal players combined ( $n = 139$ ).

Range of motion (°)	Dominant limb		Non-dominant limb		Inter-limb differences	
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Mean and 95% CI	Players with bilateral differences $\geq 8^\circ$
$HF_{KF}$	136.1 $\pm$ 9.5	Normal (1/139)	137.4 $\pm$ 9.3	Normal (1/139)	-1.3 (-2.1 to -0.4)	21/139 (15%)
$HF_{KE}$	83.2 $\pm$ 16	Normal (66/139)	82.9 $\pm$ 15.3	Normal (62/139)	0.3 (-0.6 to 1.2)	24/139 (17%)
HA	64.4 $\pm$ 11.8	Normal (12/139)	63.4 $\pm$ 12.6	Normal (12/139)	1 (-0.1 to 1.9)	29/139 (21%)
HIR	47.6 $\pm$ 10.4	Normal (2/139)	46.7 $\pm$ 10.9	Normal (1/139)	0.9 (-0.3 to 2)	39/139 (28%)
HER	57.8 $\pm$ 9.2	Normal (0/139)	57.2 $\pm$ 10.1	Normal (0/139)	0.7 (-0.5 to 1.8)	30/139 (22%)
HE	14.6 $\pm$ 7.5	Normal (3/139)	15.1 $\pm$ 7.5	Normal (3/139)	-0.5 (-0.9 to -0.1)	4/139 (3%)
KF	128.4 $\pm$ 11.3	Normal (0/139)	126.3 $\pm$ 10.6	Normal (0/139)	2.1 (0.9 to 3.2)	35/139 (25%)
$ADF_{KE}$	33.9 $\pm$ 5.4	Normal (1/139)	33.2 $\pm$ 4.8	Normal (0/139)	0.6 (0 to 1.2)	10/139 (7%)
$ADF_{KF}$	35.8 $\pm$ 5.6	Normal (49/139)	36 $\pm$ 5.8	Normal (45/139)	-0.2 (-0.7 to 0.4)	7/139 (5%)

$HF_{KF}$ , hip flexion with knee flexed test;  $HF_{KE}$ , hip flexion with knee extended test; HA, hip abduction test; HIR, hip internal rotation test; HER, hip external rotation test; HE, hip extension test; KF, knee flexion test;  $ADF_{KE}$ , ankle dorsiflexion with knee extended test;  $ADF_{KF}$ , ankle dorsiflexion with knee flexed test. °, degrees; <sup>a</sup>, qualitative score of the mean range of motion, in parentheses the number of players with a limited range of motion score according to previously published cut-off scores (see section "Statistical analysis").



**TABLE 3 |** Descriptive values and number (percentage) of players with bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  for hip (flexion, extension, abduction, internal and external rotation), knee (flexion), and ankle (dorsal-flexion with knee flexed and extended) ranges of motion separately by player position.

Range of motion ( $^\circ$ )	Goalkeepers ( $n = 31$ )			Outfield players ( $n = 108$ )		
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Players with bilateral differences $\geq 8^\circ$	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Players with bilateral differences $\geq 8^\circ$
HF <sub>KF</sub>	138.1 $\pm$ 10.2	Normal (0/31)	3/31 (10%)	136.1 $\pm$ 9.8	Normal (1/108)	18/108 (17%)
HF <sub>KE</sub>	87.5 $\pm$ 16.2	Normal (13/31)	6/31 (19%)	83.2 $\pm$ 16.5	Normal (53/108)	18/108 (17%)
HA	69.7 $\pm$ 10.9	Normal (0/31)	5/31 (16%)	63.6 $\pm$ 12.3	Normal (12/108)	24/108 (22%)
HIR	48.6 $\pm$ 8.8	Normal (0/31)	7/31 (23%)	47.9 $\pm$ 11.2	Normal (2/108)	32/108 (30%)
HER	61.1 $\pm$ 8.7	Normal (0/31)	7/31 (23%)	57.5 $\pm$ 9.7	Normal (0/108)	23/108 (21%)
HE	16.4 $\pm$ 8.2	Normal (1/31)	2/31 (6%)	14.5 $\pm$ 7.6	Normal (2/108)	2/108 (2%)
KF	131 $\pm$ 8.7	Normal (4/31)	8/31 (26%)	128.1 $\pm$ 12.4	Normal (22/108)	27/108 (25%)
ADF <sub>KE</sub>	33 $\pm$ 6.8	Normal (0/31)	3/31 (10%)	34.1 $\pm$ 5.2	Normal (0/108)	7/108 (7%)
ADF <sub>KF</sub>	34.2 $\pm$ 6.2	Normal (13/31)	0/31 (0%)	36.1 $\pm$ 5.6	Normal (36/108)	7/108 (7%)

HF<sub>KF</sub>, hip flexion with knee flexed test; HF<sub>KE</sub>, hip flexion with knee extended test; HA, hip abduction test; HIR, hip internal rotation test; HER, hip external rotation test; HE, hip extension test; KF, knee flexion test; ADF<sub>KE</sub>, ankle dorsiflexion with knee extended test; ADF<sub>KF</sub>, Ankle dorsiflexion with knee flexed test.  $^\circ$ , degrees; <sup>a</sup>, qualitative score of the mean range of motion, in parentheses the number of players with a limited range of motion score according to previously published cut-off scores (see section "Statistical analysis"). 9

**TABLE 4 |** Descriptive values and number (percentage) of players with bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  for hip (flexion, extension, abduction, internal and external rotation), knee (flexion), and ankle (dorsal-flexion with knee flexed and extended) ranges of motion separately by competitive level.

Range of motion ( $^\circ$ )	First division ( $n = 56$ )			Second division ( $n = 83$ )		
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Players with bilateral differences $\geq 8^\circ$	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Players with bilateral differences $\geq 8^\circ$
HF <sub>KF</sub>	138.2 $\pm$ 10	Normal (0/56)	11/56 (20%)	134.7 $\pm$ 8.9	Normal (0/83)	10/83 (12%)
HF <sub>KE</sub>	86.1 $\pm$ 14.4	Normal (15/56)	13/56 (23%)	81.2 $\pm$ 16.8	Normal (51/83)	11/83 (13%)
HA	64.9 $\pm$ 11.8	Normal (6/56)	16/56 (29%)	64.1 $\pm$ 11.9	Normal (6/83)	13/83 (16%)
HIR	48.5 $\pm$ 9.9	Normal (1/56)	14/56 (25%)	46.9 $\pm$ 10.7	Normal (1/83)	25/83 (30%)
HER	59.9 $\pm$ 10.1	Normal (0/56)	13/56 (23%)	56.4 $\pm$ 8.4	Normal (0/83)	17/83 (21%)
HE	12.6 $\pm$ 6.6	Normal (1/56)	3/56 (5%)	15.9 $\pm$ 7.8	Normal (2/83)	1/83 (1%)
KF	125.3 $\pm$ 9.2	Normal (13/56)	11/56 (20%)	130.4 $\pm$ 12.1	Normal (13/83)	24/83 (29%)
ADF <sub>KE</sub>	34 $\pm$ 5.5	Normal (1/56)	4/56 (7%)	33.8 $\pm$ 5.4	Normal (0/83)	6/83 (7%)
ADF <sub>KF</sub>	35.2 $\pm$ 4.8	Normal (22/56)	4/56 (7%)	36.3 $\pm$ 6	Normal (27/83)	3/83 (4%)

HF<sub>KF</sub>, hip flexion with knee flexed test; HF<sub>KE</sub>, hip flexion with knee extended test; HA, hip abduction test; HIR, hip internal rotation test; HER, hip external rotation test; HE, hip extension test; KF, knee flexion test; ADF<sub>KE</sub>, ankle dorsiflexion with knee extended test; ADF<sub>KF</sub>, ankle dorsiflexion with knee flexed test.  $^\circ$ , degrees; <sup>a</sup>, qualitative score of the mean range of motion, in parentheses the number of players with a limited range of motion score according to previously published cut-off scores (see section "Statistical analysis").

sex-related differences were documented whereby female players reported higher hip and knee joints ROM average values than their male counterparts (Table 5).

The comprehensive analysis conducted in this study found that a significant number of the futsal players (independently of the player position, competitive level and sex) demonstrate limited HF<sub>KE</sub> ( $\approx 47\%$ ) and/or ADF<sub>KF</sub> (35%) ROMs (Table 2). In addition, approximately 21, 18, 22, and 25% of the futsal players were identified as having bilateral asymmetries ( $\geq 8^\circ$ ) for HA, HIR, HER, and KF ROMs, respectively. Inter-group comparisons showed that percentages larger than 40% of the goalkeepers and outfield players recruited in this study presented limited HF<sub>KE</sub> and ADF<sub>KF</sub> ROMs. Likewise, significant bilateral differences in HIR and HER ROMs were observed for 23% of the goalkeepers and 23% (HIR ROM) and 30% (HER ROM) of the outfield players. The Bayesian  $\chi^2$  tests did not show significant differences between the proportions of goalkeepers

and outfield players with limited HF<sub>KE</sub> and ADF<sub>KF</sub> ROMs nor between those goalkeepers and outfield players who displayed bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  in these two ROMs. Approximately 26, 23, and 39% of players from teams engaged in the first division reported limited HF<sub>KE</sub>, KF and ADF<sub>KF</sub> ROM values, respectively. Furthermore, percentages of first division players ranged from 23 to 29% presented significant bilateral differences in their HF<sub>KE</sub>, HA, HIR, and HER ROMs. For its part, a percentage larger than 20% of the players from teams engaged in the second division showed limited HF<sub>KE</sub> (77%) and ADF<sub>KF</sub> (32%) ROMs as well as bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  in their HIR (30%), HER (31%), and KF (29%) ROMs. Significant differences in the proportion of first and second division players with limited ROM values were only found for the HF<sub>KE</sub> ROM (23% [first division] vs. 77% [second division]) (BF<sub>10</sub> Poisson > 10). However, no significant differences were found between the proportions of first division and second division players who displayed bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  in their

**TABLE 5 |** Descriptive values and number (percentage) of players with bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  for hip (flexion, extension, abduction, internal and external rotation), knee (flexion), and ankle (dorsal-flexion with knee flexed and extended) ranges of motion separately by sex.

Range of motion ( $^\circ$ )	Males ( $n = 72$ )			Females ( $n = 67$ )		
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Players with bilateral differences $\geq 8^\circ$	Mean $\pm$ SD	Qualitative outcome <sup>a</sup>	Players with bilateral differences $\geq 8^\circ$
HF <sub>KF</sub> *	132.3 $\pm$ 9.2	Normal (1/72)	6/72 (8%)	140.2 $\pm$ 8.2	Normal (0/67)	15/67 (22%)
HF <sub>KE</sub> *	74.1 $\pm$ 9.7	Limited (52/72)	10/72 (14%)	92.9 $\pm$ 15.8	Normal (14/67)	14/67 (21%)
HA*	58.4 $\pm$ 7.6	Normal (10/72)	13/72 (18%)	70.9 $\pm$ 12.1	Normal (2/67)	16/67 (24%)
HIR*	41.8 $\pm$ 6.8	Normal (1/72)	13/72 (18%)	53.8 $\pm$ 10.0	Normal (1/67)	26/67 (39%)
HER*	54 $\pm$ 7.6	Normal (0/72)	17/72 (24%)	61.9 $\pm$ 9.2	Normal (0/67)	13/67 (19%)
HE*	11.9 $\pm$ 6.3	Normal (2/72)	0/72 (0%)	17.6 $\pm$ 7.5	Normal (1/67)	4/67 (6%)
KF*	125.4 $\pm$ 9.7	Normal (17/72)	13/72 (18%)	131.6 $\pm$ 12.1	Normal (9/67)	22/67 (33%)
ADF <sub>KE</sub>	33.4 $\pm$ 4.5	Normal (0/72)	3/72 (4%)	34.3 $\pm$ 6.2	Normal (1/67)	7/67 (10%)
ADF <sub>KF</sub>	35.7 $\pm$ 5.6	Normal (25/72)	3/72 (4%)	36 $\pm$ 5.6	Normal (24/67)	4/67 (6%)

HF<sub>KF</sub>, hip flexion with knee flexed test; HF<sub>KE</sub>, hip flexion with knee extended test; HA, hip abduction test; HIR, hip internal rotation test; HER, hip external rotation test; HE, hip extension test; KF, knee flexion test; ADF<sub>KE</sub>, ankle dorsiflexion with knee extended test; ADF<sub>KF</sub>, ankle dorsiflexion with knee flexed test.  $^\circ$ , degrees; <sup>a</sup>, qualitative score of the mean range of motion, in parentheses the number of players with a limited range of motion score according to previously published cut-off scores (see section "Statistical analysis"). \*, statistically significant differences ( $BF_{10} > 10$ ) between sex (males vs. females).

ROMs. Approximately 72, 24, and 35% of the male players displayed restrictions in their HF<sub>KE</sub>, KF and ADF<sub>KF</sub> ROM values, respectively. For females, 21 and 36% of them reported limited HF<sub>KE</sub> and ADF<sub>KF</sub> ROM values. The proportion of female players with limited HF<sub>KE</sub> ROM values was significantly lower than that of males ( $BF_{10}$  Poisson  $> 10$ ). Likewise, 24% of the male players showed significant bilateral differences in their HER ROM whereas 22, 21, 24, 39, and 32% of the females presented inter-limb differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  in their HF<sub>KF</sub>, HF<sub>KE</sub>, HA, HIR and KF ROMs. The proportion of female players with bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  in the HF<sub>KE</sub> and HIR was significantly higher than that of males (18% [males] vs. 39% [females] and 18% [males] vs. 32% [females] for HIR and HF<sub>KE</sub>, respectively).

Finally, Bayesian correlation analysis did not report any significant correlation between years of playing futsal and ROM measures (all  $r$  values  $< 0.34$ ).

## DISCUSSION

The findings of this study indicate that the average values of the nine ROMs assessed in the futsal players may be categorized as normal or non-limited according to the cutoff scores described in the literature to identify athletes at high risk of sustaining a soft-tissue injury. Similar results were found by Cejudo et al. (2014), who after having carried out the same ROM maneuvers and testing procedures [ROM-Sport protocol (Cejudo et al., 2020)] in male futsal players, found hip, knee and ankle ROM average values that may be categorized as normal. From this standpoint, no specific adaptations in the lower extremities joints ROM would be expected as a consequence of futsal training and match play at elite levels. However, when a comprehensive analysis is carried out, the current ROM data shows that a significant number of the futsal players demonstrate limited HF<sub>KE</sub> ( $\approx 47\%$ ) and/or ADF<sub>KF</sub> ( $\approx 35\%$ ) ROMs, irrespective of their position, competitive level and sex. Previous studies using

the same comprehensive analysis employed in the current study also identified a large number of youth (Robles-Palazón et al., 2020) and adult (López-Valenciano et al., 2019) male football players with limited HF<sub>KE</sub> ( $\approx 45\%$ ) and/or ADF<sub>KF</sub> (30%) ROMs, despite the fact that their pooled average scores for these two ROMs were categorized as normal or non-limited [HF<sub>KE</sub>  $> 80^\circ$  (Kendall et al., 2005) and ADF<sub>KF</sub>  $> 34^\circ$  (Pope et al., 1998)]. Therefore, collectively these findings support the statement that an accurate diagnosis of the sport-specific adaptations in the lower extremities joints ROM requires reporting not only ROM average scores but also the number of athletes showing limited (based on the previously published cutoff scores to classify athletes at high risk of injury) ROMs. Similar to that which has been argued for football players, a plausible explanation for the large percentage of futsal players demonstrating limited HF<sub>KE</sub> ( $\approx 47\%$ ) and/or ADF<sub>KF</sub> (35%) ROMs may be based on the demands of the game of futsal that requires players to perform several repeated high intensity movements such as sudden acceleration and deceleration, rapid changes of directions, kicking and tackling (Naser et al., 2017). These movements impose strong concentric and eccentric loads on the hip flexors and ankle dorsiflexion muscles (posterior kinetic chain) at shortened contracted positions (Orchard, 2012; Sun et al., 2015). When these actions are repeated several times during training sessions and matches, they have the potential to generate muscle damage that without the proper recovery and protective measures, might induce impairments in the mechanical and neural properties of the muscle-tendon units, including a reduction in their normal ROMs (Fridén and Lieber, 2001).

The findings of this study also show that, and unlike the between-subject factors player position and competitive level, there was a significant main effect ( $BF_{10} > 10$  [at least a strong evidence in favor of  $H_1$ ]) for the factor sex on the ROM profile of the futsal players tested whereby females presented higher hip and knee ROM average values than male players. However, it should be noted that for both males and females, all ROM

average values (except for the  $HF_{KE}$  ROM values [ $74.1 \pm 9.7^\circ$ ] documented for the males that were cataloged as limited [ $<80^\circ$ ]) were categorized as normal or non-limited. On the other hand, the comprehensive analysis conducted in this study also revealed that more than 20% of male and female players displayed restrictions in their  $HF_{KE}$  and  $ADF_{KF}$  ROM values. When potential sex-related differences in the proportion of players displaying limited  $HF_{KE}$  and  $ADF_{KF}$  ROM values were explored, only statistically significant differences were observed in favor of the males for the  $HF_{KE}$  ROM (72% [males] vs. 21% [females]). In practical terms, approximately 3 out of 4 diagnosed cases of limited  $HF_{KE}$  ROM found in futsal players may be expected to be males. The fact that females presented lower volume of futsal practice per week than males (8.4 h vs. 10.8 h) alongside the larger number of high intensity movements that males perform during matches in comparison with females (Beato et al., 2017; Naser et al., 2017; Serrano et al., 2020) may have contributed to these sex-related differences on the lower extremities ROM profile of the futsal players. Furthermore, unlike the monoarticular ankle dorsiflexion muscles (i.e., soleus and gastrocnemius), the biarticular nature of the hip flexor muscles (i.e., hamstrings) foster them to be repeatedly subjected to the high loading forces generated during most of the futsal-specific movements and this together with the just-mentioned higher exposure to futsal play observed in males as opposed to female players may explain the sex-related differences in the proportion of players with limited  $HF_{KE}$  and the absence of them in the  $ADF_{KF}$  ROM. Although the current evidence does not allow to make strong claims with regard to the potential effects that limited ROMs may elicit on injury risk, the significant proportion of male futsal players exhibiting limited  $HF_{KE}$  ROMs (72%) may help elucidate the reasons why they show a high predisposition to suffer hip flexor muscles strains. For this specific  $HF_{KE}$  ROM, the results also report that futsal teams engaged in the first division presented a lower proportion of players with limited values than their counterparts playing in the second division (27% vs. 61%). It is likely that the differences in terms of professionalism (e.g., number of medical and performance staff members, available testing, and training equipment), match physical demands and training status of players between futsal's first and second divisions may justify why the proportion of players showing limited  $HF_{KE}$  ROM was lower in teams engaged in the first division than in the second division.

Despite having been considered as an asymmetrical sport (Barbieri et al., 2015), the results of the current study along with the findings of Cejudo et al. (2014), describe non-significant bilateral differences ( $\geq 8^\circ$ ) between the dominant and non-dominant lower extremities joints ROM average values in futsal players (independent of player position, competitive level and sex). However, by calculating the number of players with bilateral differences ( $\geq 8^\circ$ ) in any hip, knee and ankle ROM measure, the current study found that approximately 20% of futsal players (independent of the player position, competitive level and sex) were identified for HA, HIR, HER, and KF ROMs. There was not a clear pattern in the bilateral differences for the HA, HER, and HIR ROMs so that a similar number of players reported greater values ( $\geq 8^\circ$ ) in the dominant and

non-dominant limb. Therefore, these bilateral differences may not be attributed to the asymmetrical futsal-specific technical gestures (e.g., kicking and controlling the ball, jumping and turning) that are repeatedly performed during training sessions and matched using mainly the dominant limb. Although a well-founded explanation for this findings has not been found, it might be suggested that these relatively low percentage values of players showing non-patterned bilateral asymmetries (i.e., they are not consistently in favor of the same leg) for the HA, HER, and HIR ROMs may reflect different functional adaptations generated by daily life activities usually performed by players or even an expression of the expected (natural) inter-individual differences attributed to biological (normally distributed) measures (including ROM) as part of being human (Afonso et al., 2020). On the contrary, the bilateral differences for KF ROM reported were mostly in favor of the dominant limb (27 out of 35 cases). A plausible explanation for the KF ROM bilateral differences in favor of the dominant limb identified in this study may be based on the fact that the backswing phase of kicking the ball may reflect in some cases a dynamic stretching for the knee extensor muscles (i.e., quadriceps), which may increase the KF ROM (López-Valenciano et al., 2019). The inter-group analysis revealed that the number of female players showing bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  in HIR and KF ROMs was approximately double that in males (18% [males] vs. 39% [females] and 18% [males] vs. 33% [females] for HIR and KF ROMs, respectively). Knee ligament injuries often have some long-lasting residual effects and restrictions in HIR and KF ROMs of the injured limb (anecdotal evidence from the authors' extensive experience in team sports injury prevention and rehabilitation). As a higher incidence of knee ligament injury has been documented in female futsal players then it may be a plausible argument to justify why they presented two-fold more positive cases of significant bilateral differences in the HIR and KF ROMs (Ruiz-Pérez et al., 2021).

Finally, some limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The age distribution of participants was relatively narrow and the goalkeepers' sample size was small. Moreover, the use of different testing methodologies (i.e., active ROMs) makes comparisons difficult. Likewise, another limitation of this study relies on the fact that only ROM assessments were carried out and hence, it is not possible to determine whether the same bilateral differences could be found in other physical performance tests (e.g., single-leg vertical countermovement jump test and Y-Balance test). The fact that the all the pre-season ROM assessments were not conducted in the same year (due to time and technical constraints) but in four different ones may be also considered a limitation as it cannot be assumed that all pre-seasons presented similar characteristics (e.g., length [weeks], training congestion), which might have had an effect on players neuromuscular properties at the testing timepoint. For females, the phase of their menstrual cycle during testing was not recorded and this may have potentially influenced their ROM scores as fluctuating concentrations of estrogen throughout the menstrual cycle affect musculotendinous stiffness (Eiling et al., 2007; Bell et al., 2009) and joint laxity (Romani et al., 2003).



The lower extremities ROM assessment was only carried out at the end of the pre-season phase. Thus, potential changes in hip, knee and ankle ROMs over the course of the in-season phase were not monitored. In this sense, previous study analyzing changes in  $ADF_{KF}$  and hip (HIR and HER) ROMs over the course of a competitive season for professional male football (Moreno-Pérez et al., 2020) and baseball (Camp et al., 2018; Chan et al., 2020) players, respectively, reported statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreases in  $ADF_{KF}$  and HER ROMs from pre-season to post-season. Although these documented changes in  $ADF_{KF}$  and HER ROMs were defined as statistically significant, their magnitudes (approximately  $3^\circ$  and  $6^\circ$  for  $ADF_{KF}$  and HER, respectively) may be considered as not relevant from a clinical standpoint as they did not exceed the value of 1.5 times (80–90% of certainty) the magnitudes of the standard error of the measurement (SEM) reported in previously published reliability studies (Gómez-Jiménez et al., 2015; Gradoz et al., 2018).

## PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The findings of this study reveal the existence of large proportions of males (72%) and players from teams engaged in the second division (61%) displaying limited  $HF_{KE}$  ROMs. Moreover, around 35% of all players showed restricted  $ADF_{KF}$  ROMs. The results of this study also indicate no significant differences in the ROM for the hip, knee and ankle joints between outfield players and goalkeepers. Likewise, it has been identified a large total number of players with bilateral differences  $\geq 8^\circ$  in HA, HIR, HER and KF ROMs. The number of females with bilateral asymmetries in HIR (18% [males] vs. 39% [females]) and KF (18% [males] vs. 33% [females]) is two time greater than in male players. The potential implications that these restricted  $HF_{KE}$  and  $ADF_{KF}$  ROMs and bilateral asymmetries in HA, HIR, HER, and KF ROMs caused by the practice of futsal may have on

the physical performance and injury risk of the players warrant future research.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Órgano Evaluador de Proyectos, Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche approved the study (DPS.FAR.02.14). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

## FUNDING

FA was supported by a Ramón y Cajal postdoctoral fellowship (RYC2019-028383-I) funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, the State Research Agency (AEI), and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). This study is part of the project entitled “Artificial intelligence for pre-participation screening and exercise prescription for sport and health purposes” (code: 35075). This study was conducted during the stay at the School of Sport and Exercise at the University of Gloucestershire (United Kingdom) from 25/11/2019 to 29/01/2020 (Antonio Cejudo).

## REFERENCES

- Afonso, J., Bessa, C., Pinto, F., Ribeiro, D., Moura, B., Rocha, T., et al. (2020). “Injury prevention: from symmetry to asymmetry, to critical thresholds,” in *Asymmetry as a Foundational and Functional Requirement in Human Movement*, eds J. Alfonso, C. Bessa, F. Pinto, D. Ribeiro, B. Moura, T. Rocha, et al. (Singapore: Springer), 27–31.
- Ayala, F., López-Valenciano, A., Gámez Martín, J. A., De Ste Croix, M., Vera-García, F., García-Vaquero, M., et al. (2019). A preventive model for hamstring injuries in professional soccer: learning algorithms. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 40, 344–353. doi: 10.1055/a-0826-1955
- Barbieri, F. A., Gobbi, L. T. B., Santiago, P. R. P., and Cunha, S. A. (2015). Dominant–non-dominant asymmetry of kicking a stationary and rolling ball in a futsal context. *J. Sports Sci.* 33, 1411–1419. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2014.990490
- Beato, M., Coratella, G., and Schena, F. (2016). Brief review of the state of art in futsal. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* 56, 428–432.
- Beato, M., Coratella, G., Schena, F., and Hulton, A. T. (2017). Evaluation of the external & internal workload in female futsal players. *Biol. Sport* 34, 227–231. doi: 10.5114/biolSport.2017.65998
- Bell, D. R., Myrick, M. P., Troy Blackburn, J., Shultz, S. J., Guskiewicz, K. M., and Padua, D. A. (2009). The effect of menstrual-cycle phase on hamstring extensibility and muscle stiffness. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 18, 553–563. doi: 10.1123/jsr.18.4.553
- Bishop, C., Turner, A., and Read, P. (2018). Effects of inter-limb asymmetries on physical and sports performance: a systematic review. *J. Sports Sci.* 36, 1135–1144. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2017.1361894
- Bohannon, R., Gajdosik, R., and LeVeau, B. F. (1985). Contribution of pelvic and lower limb motion to increases in the angle of passive straight leg raising. *Phys. Ther.* 65, 474–476. doi: 10.1093/ptj/65.4.474
- Camp, C. L., Spiker, A. M., Zajac, J. M., Pearson, D., Sinatro, A. M., Dines, J. S., et al. (2018). Decreased hip internal rotation increases the risk of back and abdominal muscle injuries in professional baseball players. *J. Am. Acad. Orthop. Surg.* 26:e198–e206. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-17-00223
- Castagna, C., D’Ottavio, S., Vera, J. G., and Álvarez, J. C. B. (2009). Match demands of professional Futsal: a case study. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 12, 490–494. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2008.02.001
- Cejudo, A., de Baranda, S., Ayala, F., and Santonja, F. (2014). Perfil de flexibilidad de la extremidad inferior en jugadores de fútbol sala / Normative data of Lower-limb muscle flexibility in futsal players. *Rev. Int. Med. y Ciencias la Act. Fis. y del Deport.* 14, 509–525.

- Cejudo, A., Sainz de Baranda, P., Ayala, F., De Ste Croix, M., and Santonja, F. (2020). Assessment of the range of movement of the lower limb in sport: advantages of the ROM-Sport battery. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17, 1–25. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17207606
- Chan, J. M., Zajac, J., Erickson, B. J., Altkhek, D. W., Camp, C., Coleman, S. H., et al. (2020). Upper extremity and hip range of motion changes throughout a season in professional baseball players. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 48, 481–487. doi: 10.1177/0363546519894567
- Dogramaci, S. N., and Watford, M. L. (2006). A comparison of two different methods for time-motion analysis in team sports. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* 6, 73–83. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Domínguez-Díez, M., Castillo, D., Raya-González, J., Sánchez-Díaz, S., Soto-Célix, M., Rendo-Uribe, T., et al. (2021). Comparison of multidirectional jump performance and lower limb passive range of motion profile between soccer and basketball young players. *PLoS One* 16:e0245277. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245277
- Eiling, E., Bryant, A. L., Petersen, W., Murphy, A., and Hohmann, E. (2007). Effects of menstrual-cycle hormone fluctuations on musculoskeletal stiffness and knee joint laxity. *Knee Surgery, Sport. Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 15, 126–132. doi: 10.1007/s00167-006-0143-5
- Ekstrand, J., and Gillquist, J. (1982). The frequency of muscle tightness and injuries in soccer players. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 10, 75–78. doi: 10.1177/036354658201000202
- FIFA (2007). FIFA Big Count 2006: 270 million people active in football. *FIFA Commun. Div. Inf. Serv.* 31, 1–12.
- Fousekis, K., Tsepis, E., Poulmedis, P., Athanasopoulos, S., and Vagenas, G. (2011). Intrinsic risk factors of non-contact quadriceps and hamstring strains in soccer: a prospective study of 100 professional players. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 45, 709–714. doi: 10.1136/bjism.2010.077560
- Fridén, J., and Lieber, R. L. (2001). Eccentric exercise-induced injuries to contractile and cytoskeletal muscle fibre components. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 171, 321–326. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-201x.2001.00834.x
- García-Pinillos, F., Ruiz-Ariza, A., Moreno del Castillo, R., and Latorre-Román, P. (2015). Impact of limited hamstring flexibility on vertical jump, kicking speed, sprint, and agility in young football players. *J. Sports Sci.* 33, 1293–1297. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2015.1022577
- Gerhardt, J., Cocchiarella, L., and Randall, L. (2002). *The Practical Guide to Range of Motion Assessment*. Chicago, IL: American Medical Association.
- Gómez-Jiménez, F., Ayala, F., Cejudo, A., De Baranda, P. S., and Santonja, F. (2015). Effect of the tester level of experience on the criterion-related validity and inter-session reliability of five different ankle dorsal flexion range of motion measures. *Cuad. Psicol. del Deport.* 15, 123–134. doi: 10.4321/s1578-84232015000300012
- Gradoz, M. C., Bauer, L. E., Grindstaff, T. L., and Bagwell, J. J. (2018). Reliability of hip rotation range of motion in supine and seated positions. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 27, 622–627. doi: 10.1123/jsr.2017-0243
- Hahn, T., and Foldspang, A. (1997). The Q angle and sport. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 7, 43–48.
- Holla, J. F. M., Van Der Leeden, M., Roorda, L. D., Bierma-Zeinstra, S. M. A., Damen, J., Dekker, J., et al. (2012). Diagnostic accuracy of range of motion measurements in early symptomatic hip and/or knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 64, 59–65. doi: 10.1002/acr.20645
- Hopkins, W. G. (2000). Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. *Sports Med.* 30, 1–15.
- Kendall, F. P., McCreary, E. K., Provance, P. G., Rodgers, M. M., and Romani, W. A. (2005). *Muscles: Testing and Function, With Posture and Pain*, 5th Edn. Baltimore, MA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Kibler, W. B., McQueen, C., and Uhl, T. (1988). Fitness evaluations and fitness findings in competitive junior tennis players. *Clin. Sports Med.* 7, 403–416.
- Komi, P. V., and Karlsson, J. (1978). Skeletal muscle fibre types, enzyme activities and physical performance in young males and females. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 103, 210–218. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1716.1978.tb06208.x
- L'Hermette, M., Polle, G., Tourny-Chollet, C., and Dujardin, F. (2006). Hip passive range of motion and frequency of radiographic hip osteoarthritis in former elite handball players. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 40, 45–49. doi: 10.1136/bjism.2005.019026
- López-Valenciano, A., Ayala, F., Puerta, J. M., De Ste Croix, M. B. A., Vera-García, F. J., Hernández-Sánchez, S., et al. (2018). A preventive model for muscle injuries: a novel approach based on learning algorithms. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 50, 915–927. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001535
- López-Valenciano, A., Ayala, F., Vera-García, F. J., de Ste Croix, M., Hernández-Sánchez, S., Ruiz-Pérez, I., et al. (2019). Comprehensive profile of hip, knee and ankle ranges of motion in professional football players. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* 59, 1–8. doi: 10.23736/S0022-4707.17.07910-5
- Maloney, S. J. (2019). The relationship between asymmetry and athletic performance: a critical review. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 33, 2579–2593. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000002608
- Mills, M., Frank, B., Goto, S., Blackburn, T., Cates, S., Clark, M., et al. (2015). Effect of restricted hip flexor muscle length on hip extensor muscle activity and lower extremity biomechanics in college-aged female soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther.* 10, 946–954.
- Moreno-Pérez, V., Soler, A., Ansa, A., López-Samanes, Á., Madruga-Parera, M., Beato, M., et al. (2020). Acute and chronic effects of competition on ankle dorsiflexion ROM in professional football players. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 20, 51–60. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2019.1611930
- Mohammed, A., Shafizadeh, M., and Platt, K. G. (2014). Effects of the level of expertise on the physical and technical demands in futsal. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* 14, 473–481. doi: 10.1080/24748668.2014.11868736
- Naser, N., Ali, A., and Macadam, P. (2017). Physical and physiological demands of futsal. *J. Exerc. Sci. Fit.* 15, 76–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jesf.2017.09.001
- Oliver, J. L., Ayala, F., De Ste Croix, M. B. A., Lloyd, R. S., Myer, G. D., and Read, P. J. (2020). Using machine learning to improve our understanding of injury risk and prediction in elite male youth football players. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 23, 1044–1048. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2020.04.021
- Orchard, J. W. (2012). Hamstrings are most susceptible to injury during the early stance phase of sprinting. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 46, 88–89. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2011-090127
- Peat, G., Thomas, E., Duncan, R., Wood, L., Wilkie, R., Hill, J., et al. (2007). Estimating the probability of radiographic osteoarthritis in the older patient with knee pain. *Arthritis Care Res.* 57, 794–802. doi: 10.1002/art.22785
- Pope, R., Herbert, R., and Kirwan, J. (1998). Effects of ankle dorsiflexion range and pre-exercise calf muscle stretching on injury risk in Army recruits. *Aust. J. Physiother.* 44, 165–172. doi: 10.1016/s0004-9514(14)60376-7
- Rey, E., Padrón-Cabo, A., Barcala-Furelos, R., and Mecías-Calvo, M. (2016). Effect of high and low flexibility levels on physical fitness and neuromuscular properties in professional soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 37, 878–883. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-109268
- Ribeiro, J. N., Gonçalves, B., Coutinho, D., Brito, J., Sampaio, J., and Travassos, B. (2020). Activity profile and physical performance of match play in elite futsal players. *Front. Psychol.* 11:1709. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01709
- Roach, S., San Juan, J. G., Suprak, D. N., and Lyda, M. (2013). Concurrent validity of digital inclinometer and universal goniometer in assessing passive hip mobility in healthy subjects. *Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther.* 8, 680–688.
- Robles-Palazón, F. J., Ayala, F., Cejudo, A., De Ste Croix, M., Sainz de Baranda, P., and Santonja, F. (2020). Effects of age and maturation on lower extremity range of motion in male youth soccer players. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 8, 1–9. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000003642
- Romani, W., Patrie, J., Curl, L. A., and Flaws, J. A. (2003). The correlations between estradiol, estrone, estril, progesterone, and sex hormone-binding globulin and anterior cruciate ligament stiffness in healthy, active females. *J. Women's Heal.* 12, 287–298. doi: 10.1089/154099903321667627
- Rommers, N., Rössler, R., Verhagen, E., Vandecasteele, F., Verstockett, S., Vaeyens, R., et al. (2020). A machine learning approach to assess injury risk in elite youth football players. *Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc.* 52, 1745–1751. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002305
- Rossi, A., Pappalardo, L., Cintia, P., Iaia, F. M., Fernández, J., and Medina, D. (2018). Effective injury forecasting in soccer with GPS training data and machine learning. *PLoS One* 13:e0201264. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201264
- Ruiz-Pérez, I., López-Valenciano, A., Hernández-Sánchez, S., Puerta-Callejón, J. M., De Ste Croix, M., Sainz de Baranda, P., et al. (2021). A field-based approach to determine soft tissue injury risk in elite futsal using novel machine learning techniques. *Front. Psychol.* 12:610210. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.610210
- Serrano, C., Felipe, J. L., García-Unanue, J., Ibañez, E., Hernando, E., Gallardo, L., et al. (2020). Local positioning system analysis of physical demands during

- official matches in the spanish futsal league. *Sensors* 20, 1–11. doi: 10.3390/s20174860
- Spyrou, K., Freitas, T. T., Marín-Cascales, E., and Alcaraz, P. E. (2020). Physical and physiological match-play demands and player characteristics in futsal: a systematic review. *Front. Psychol.* 11:569897. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.569897
- Sun, Y., Wei, S., Zhong, Y., Fu, W., Li, L., and Liu, Y. (2015). How joint torques affect hamstring injury risk in sprinting swing-stance transition. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 47, 373–380. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000404
- Taylor, K.-L. L., Sheppard, J. M., Lee, H., and Plummer, N. (2009). Negative effect of static stretching restored when combined with a sport specific warm-up component. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 12, 657–661. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2008.04.004
- Wagenmakers, E. J., Marsman, M., Jamil, T., Ly, A., Verhagen, J., Love, J., et al. (2018). Bayesian inference for psychology. Part I: theoretical advantages and practical ramifications. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 25, 35–57. doi: 10.3758/s13423-017-1343-3
- Witvrouw, E., Mahieu, N., Danneels, L., and McNair, P. (2004). Stretching and injury prevention: an obscure relationship. *Sport. Med.* 34, 443–449. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200434070-00003
- Wojtys, E. M., Huston, L. J., Lindendorf, T. N., Hewett, T. E., and Greenfield, M. L. (1998). Association between the menstrual cycle and anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 26, 614–619. doi: 10.1177/0363546506295699
- Young, W., Clothier, P., Otago, L., Bruce, L., and Liddell, D. (2004). Acute effects of static stretching on hip flexor and quadriceps flexibility, range of motion and foot speed in kicking a football. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 7, 23–31. doi: 10.1016/S1440-2440(04)80040-9
- Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Cejudo, Ruiz-Pérez, Hernández-Sánchez, De Ste Croix, Sainz de Baranda and Ayala. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.