



UNIVERSITY OF  
GLOUCESTERSHIRE

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document, This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in Read, Paul and Oliver, Jon L and De Ste Croix, Mark B and Myer, G D and Lloyd, R S (2016) Consistency of Field-Based Measures of Neuromuscular Control Using Force Plate Diagnostics in Elite Male Youth Soccer Players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 30 (12). pp. 3304-3311. and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 license:

**Read, Paul, Oliver, Jon L, De Ste Croix, Mark B ORCID: 0000-0001-9911-4355, Myer, G D and Lloyd, R S (2016) Consistency of Field-Based Measures of Neuromuscular Control Using Force Plate Diagnostics in Elite Male Youth Soccer Players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 30 (12). pp. 3304-3311. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001438**

Official URL: <http://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/pages/articleviewer.aspx?year=9000&issue=00000&article=96491&type=abstract>  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001438>  
EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/3532>

#### **Disclaimer**

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document:

**Read, Paul and Oliver, Jon L and De Ste Croix, Mark B and Myer, G D and Lloyd, R S (2016). *Consistency of Field-Based Measures of Neuromuscular Control Using Force Plate Diagnostics in Elite Male Youth Soccer Players*. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. ISSN 1064-8011**

Published in Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, and available online at: <http://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/pages/articlevie...>

We recommend you cite the published (post-print) version.

The URL for the published version is <http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000001438>

### **Disclaimer**

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT

# Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research

## CONSISTENCY OF FIELD-BASED MEASURES OF NEUROMUSCULAR CONTROL USING FORCE PLATE DIAGNOSTICS IN ELITE MALE YOUTH SOCCER PLAYERS

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	JSCR-08-6898R1
<b>Full Title:</b>	CONSISTENCY OF FIELD-BASED MEASURES OF NEUROMUSCULAR CONTROL USING FORCE PLATE DIAGNOSTICS IN ELITE MALE YOUTH SOCCER PLAYERS
<b>Short Title:</b>	VARIABILITY OF FORCE PLATE DIAGNOSTICS IN ELITE MALE YOUTH SOCCER PLAYERS
<b>Article Type:</b>	Original Research
<b>Keywords:</b>	Landing force, Injury, Screening
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Paul James Read, MSc Gloucester University Gloucester, Gloucestershire UNITED KINGDOM
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Gloucester University
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Paul James Read, MSc
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Paul James Read, MSc Jon Oliver, PhD Mark De Ste Croix, PhD Greg Myer, PhD Rhodri Lloyd, PhD
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Manuscript Region of Origin:</b>	UNITED KINGDOM
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Deficits in neuromuscular control during movement patterns such as landing are suggested pathomechanics that underlie sport-related injury. A common mode of assessment is measurement of landing forces during jumping tasks; however, these measures have been used less frequently in male youth athletes and reliability data is currently not available for elite male youth soccer players. The aim of this study was to determine the reliability of a field-based neuromuscular control screening battery using force plate diagnostics in this cohort. Twenty six pre-peak height velocity (PHV) and twenty five post-PHV elite male youth soccer players completed a drop vertical jump (DVJ), single leg 75% horizontal hop and stick (75%HOP) and single leg countermovement jump (SLCMJ). Measures of peak landing vertical ground reaction force (pVGRF), time to stabilisation (TTS), time to pVGRF, and pVGRF asymmetry were recorded. A test, re-test design was used to explore the within-subject inter-session reliability. In both groups, pVGRF during the 75%HOP and SLCMJ demonstrated acceptable reliability (CV <math>\leq</math> 10%). Greater variability was evident in the DVJ test as indicated by higher CV values. Asymmetry values reported largely acceptable reliability (CV <math>\leq</math> 10%). All other assessed variables, across all three protocols, exceeded the threshold for acceptable reliability in both groups (CV range = 13.8 - 49.7%). The results of this study suggest that pVGRF and asymmetry can be reliably assessed using a 75%HOP and SLCMJ in male youth soccer players. These measures could be utilized to support a screening battery for elite male youth soccer players and for test re-test comparison.</p>

**Response to Reviewers:**

Dear reviewers, thank you for taking the time to review our manuscript. Your time, effort and expertise is highly appreciated. Additionally, your comments have contributed to enhancement of the paper raising some key and thought provoking points for consideration. In response to your specific comments we have outlined a point by point response below. To make it clearer and more time efficient we have completed the edits using track changes where indicated.

Reviewer #1: Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript assessing reliability of important neuromuscular assessments in male youth athletes. The manuscript is well written but may be improved with the following comments.

General: please check reference formatting for consistency and adherence to journal guidelines

Author response: References have been amended in the text and formatted in the reference list in line with journal guidelines.

Line 28 - What does pre-peak height velocity and post-pre height velocity elite male youth soccer players mean? Please clarify

Author response: Due to the restrictions on word count in this section, the authors feel this is not possible as it will detract from providing an overview of the key findings from this study. Peak height velocity is generally a well-regarded term within paediatric literature but we appreciate it may not be familiar for all readers. In line with one of the later comments below we have added an operational definition with the main body of the manuscript.

Line 49 - very long opening sentence

Author response: The sentence has been re-worded

Line 55 - check spacing

Author response: Spacing error amended

Line 58-67 - have any assessments been previously utilized in male youth athletes or only female (as this sentence implies)? Paragraph states the most common mode of assessment is the DVJ - is this in male youth athletes or in general? If in youth, has reliability not been tested? The last sentence indicates single leg assessment should be considered and lists ICCs in youth athletes - was this for male, female, or both? This paragraph requires some clarification to better understand previous literature on what protocols have been utilized and assessed for reliability in youth female and male athletes.

Author response: This paragraph has been edited to make it clearer for the reader which populations have been tested and areas required for further research to provide greater context.

Lines 66-68 - if these have already been established in youth athletes, what is the gap in the literature?

Author response: In line with the comment above, text has been added at the end of this paragraph to highlight the 'gap' in the literature that warrants further investigation.

Lines 111-112 - please explain or provide an operational definition for pre-peak height velocity and post-PHV soccer players. Many readers may be unfamiliar with what a pre- and post-peak height velocity soccer player is.

Author response: Preceding text has been added to define peak height velocity for the readers.

Lines 124 - how exactly was sitting height measured, and what is the significance of this?

Author response: Text has been added to inform the reader of the procedure for measuring sitting height. This measurement is required to perform the regression equation of Miriwald et al. (2002) as has been included in the original manuscript.

Lines 130 - 131 - Which trial was used for analysis? Were there any criteria to signify a "bad" trial which would not have been analyzed?

Author response: In the experimental design section, there is stated text: 'For the purposes of data collection, three trials were analyzed to reduce the influence of a learning effect.' The criteria for what would be considered an appropriate jump is also provided in the procedures section under the sub-headings for each jump.

Lines 139 - onward - see above comment. Additionally - for all unilateral measurements, how was order of leg tested determined?

Author response: As indicated in the comment above, the instructions for what was considered an acceptable trial is described under each sub-heading and text describing that the mean of 3 trials was used for analysis was included in the experimental design section. Regarding the order of leg testing, the randomization process was also applied in the same way as the other measures and to make this clearer for the readers; text has been included to state this in the experimental design section.

Lines 149 - onward - see above comment

Author response: Please see our responses above.

Lines 187-188 - were data assessed for normality?

Author response: We have inserted text at the start of the statistical analysis section to explain to the reader that the data was checked for normality

Lines 193-194 - what variables were compared with paired-samples t-tests?

Author response: All variables were checked for systematic bias using paired samples t-tests and this has been made clearer for the reader by stating 'for all measures' in the text.

Lines 217-218 - including more information about the two groups, what they represent, and why they were chosen should be explained in the introduction and study purpose so that the results and discussion are better understood.

Author response: The authors agree this is an important point. Text has been included in the final paragraph of the discussion to discuss trends in jump performance shown with advancing age, growth and maturation. Literature citing greater movement variability in younger athletes has also been included to provide a clearer context for the reader as to why it is important to examine the reliability of these measures in the two groups included in this study.

Lines 308-309 - why did subjects not retain the same testing order in a reliability study?

Please address in a limitation sections

Author response: Subjects retaining not retaining rank order does not necessarily indicate that the test is unreliable. In our discussion we have interpreted the ICC data and the relatively low scores, as this is a measure of how well subjects retain rank order across the two test sessions. In this section (last paragraph of the discussion) we have also included considerable discussion on why this mode of analysis may not be appropriate for an elite male youth soccer cohort. The reasons stated include:

- Homogeneity of the participants
- Performance clustering in the pre-PHV group due to players not achieving their peak growth spurt whereas the post PHV group are likely to be at different stages of physical development with some players further past the period of PHV than others; changing of rank order may be less frequent.

Furthermore, we have suggested that subjects should consider using measures of within-subject variation (such as typical error / CV) as they may be more appropriate in this cohort.

Practical applications - Please also include potential recommendations for future study.

Author response: Text has been added at the end of this section to state 'Future research should examine if these measures can discriminate between injured and non-injured soccer players to determine their sensitivity in prospectively predicting injury risk.'

Tables and Figures:

Check consistency of significant figures in tables

Author response: Tables have been amended and checked for consistency.

Reviewer #2: General: This is useful information to the S&C coach and those responsible for athlete screening and injury prevention. My main comments center on firstly establishing that these tests are indeed valid - there is no mention of this and in particular how others have shown they can prevent injury etc. Also in the aim, make light of why you split the groups by APHV - why is this important?

Author response: Thank you for your comments. Regarding the validity of the assessments, within the introduction there are references as to their previous use for identification of athletes with functional deficits and how they may be linked to injury risk. These include:

- Deficits in neuromuscular control and aberrant movement patterns such as cutting, turning, and landing occurring frequently during game activities, (Price et al., 2004) are suggested pathomechanics (Alternton et al., 2009; Sugimoto et al., 2015) that underlie sport-related injury (Gomes et al., 2008).
- Poor attenuation of ground reaction forces during landing tasks may increase the risk of lower extremity injury (Lephart et al., 2002).
- Measurement of dynamic stability may also provide useful information. "Time to stabilization" (TTS) calculations report deficits in postural control and reflex stabilization in subjects with functional ankle instability (Ross et al., 2005) and anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficiency (Webster and Gribble, 2010).

Regarding the splitting of groups, in addressing the comments of reviewer 1, text has been included to highlight the effects of age and associated movement variability reported in jump-landing tasks.

Abstract: Given that you are measuring reliability as an actual aim - all measures of reliability should be mentioned in here, not just the CV

Author response: Text has been included to highlight the key findings of the ICC reliability measures as well as the change in mean and CV's. Thank you for raising this point as on reflection we have decided to remove the TEE values from the tables for the following reasons. Typical errors were provided to show the level of error in raw units for each variable. However, as the different variables are measured in different units, direct comparison of the TE across variables is problematic. The CV expresses the random variation as a percentage, allowing for direct comparison between variables. Also, while TE is calculated from raw data it should be noted that the CV is calculated from log transformed data, which minimized any non-uniformity and heteroscedasticity (as per the Hopkins spreadsheet). Additionally, as an example of testing two boys of very different size (body mass) on a force measure, the CV is going to be much more useful than a TE. Therefore, based on these reasons we have removed the raw TE.

#### Introduction

Because this paper only addresses test reliability - the introduction should be used to explain their validity. Currently only methods to measure pathomechanics (and associated ICC's) are identified, but no mention has been made of whether they are actually any good practically. I think this is important to attract readership beyond those already familiar with the context of these tests

Author response: The authors agree demonstrating to the reader the validity of these tests is important. In responding to your general comments, we have highlighted where this has been included in the manuscript.

Ln. - 66 missing "of"

Author response: Text amended

What is the rationale for later splitting groups based on PHV - some justification must be mentioned here

Author response: As per our previous comments and addressing similar comments from reviewer 1, text has been included in the introduction to make this clearer for the reader.

#### Experimental approach

Ln 103 - surely three trials was for reliability assessment too and familiarisation was also used to avoid a learning effect

Author response: The authors agree this text may be misleading and as such been amended with removal of the text relating to a learning effect.

Is 10-min of dynamic stretching only, an appropriate warm-up? Presumably core temp would continue to rise during testing affecting results?

Author response: The dynamic warm up consisted of multiple whole body movements which were performed with minimal rest in between exercises, thus core temperature was also raised in conjunction with mobilisation of the key muscle and joint structures and movement preparation prior to testing. The text has been amended slightly here to state 'dynamic warm up' as opposed to 'dynamic stretching' as the former is more reflective of what was completed and the authors agree the term dynamic stretching may be misleading.

Not clear why you separated groups into pre and post PHV

Author response: As discussed earlier, text has been inserted into the introduction. Also, additional text has been inserted in the subject's section to further explain for the

readers why this group separation was applied.

#### Subjects

What is "participant assent"?

Author response: In situations when children who are minors (under 18) are included in research, specified regulations ensue that the assent of the child (participant assent) is obtained in addition to permission from the parent (parental consent). This is accepted practice for conducting research with paediatric populations and is required to meet ethical standards.

I don't believe Table 1 is necessary - such a small and non-crucial data set can be easily added to the text

Author response: table 1 has been removed and integrated within the text. All other table numbers have been amended.

#### Statistical analysis

Ln 192 - should read "95%"

Author response: Text amended

#### Discussion

Match your aim with that in the intro - no mention of PHV in intro

Author response: This has been amended in the introduction

Ln 226 - impulse mentioned for first time and analysed. This needs to be stated in the methods

Author response: Impulse was not measured during the current study. The authors agree this text may have been confusing for the readers; therefore we have inserted text to make it clearer that the reference to impulse relates to the work of Stålbom et al. (2007) and included an additional citation.

Ln 227 - "0" missing from SEM

Author response: Text amended

258 - did you not account for this through the post PHV group though?

Author response: This text relates to the preceding citation of the work of Colby et al. (1999) and Ross et al. (2009). Text has been included to state 'in comparison to adult data' to make this clearer for the reader.



**CONSISTENCY OF FIELD-BASED MEASURES OF NEUROMUSCULAR CONTROL USING  
FORCE PLATE DIAGNOSTICS IN ELITE MALE YOUTH SOCCER PLAYERS**

**AUTHORS:**

PAUL READ, MSC, ASCC, CSCS\*D<sup>1</sup>

JON L. OLIVER, PhD<sup>2, 7</sup>

MARK B.A. DE STE CROIX, PhD<sup>3</sup>

GREGORY D. MYER, PhD, CSCS\*D<sup>4, 5, 6</sup>

RHODRI S. LLOYD, PhD, ASCC, CSCS\*D<sup>2, 7</sup>

**AFFILIATIONS:**

1. School of Sport, Health and Applied Science, St Mary's University, London, UK
2. Youth Physical Development Unit, School of Sport, Cardiff Metropolitan University, UK
3. School of Sport and Exercise, University of Gloucestershire, UK
4. Division of Sports Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
5. Department of Pediatrics and Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
6. The Micheli Center for Sports Injury Prevention, Boston, MA, USA
7. Sport Performance Research Institute, New Zealand (SPRINZ), AUT University, Auckland, New Zealand

**CORRESPONDENCE**

Name: Paul Read  
Address: St Mary's University, Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, London, TW1 4SX  
Email: paul.read@stmarys.ac.uk

St Marys University  
Waldegrave Road  
Twickenham  
London  
UK  
TW1 4SX  
[paul.read@stmarys.ac.uk](mailto:paul.read@stmarys.ac.uk)

**10/30/2015**


Dear JSCR Editorial Manager,

Please accept this as the requested cover letter for the journal submission entitled  
**'CONSISTENCY OF FIELD-BASED MEASURES OF NEUROMUSCULAR CONTROL  
USING FORCE PLATE DIAGNOSTICS IN ELITE MALE YOUTH SOCCER PLAYERS'**

This manuscript is original and not previously published, nor is it being considered elsewhere until a decision is made as to its acceptability by the JSCR Editorial Review Board.

I am the corresponding author for this submission and my contact details can be found above. If you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours Sincerely



Paul J. Read

MSc, ASCC, CSCS\*D

**1            CONSISTENCY OF FIELD-BASED MEASURES OF NEUROMUSCULAR CONTROL**  
**2            USING FORCE PLATE DIAGNOSTICS IN ELITE MALE YOUTH SOCCER PLAYERS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

22 **ABSTRACT**

23 Deficits in neuromuscular control during movement patterns such as landing are suggested  
24 pathomechanics that underlie sport-related injury. A common mode of assessment is measurement of  
25 landing forces during jumping tasks; however, these measures have been used less frequently in male  
26 youth soccer players and reliability data is sparse. The aim of this study was to examine the reliability  
27 of a field-based neuromuscular control screening battery using force plate diagnostics in this cohort.  
28 Twenty six pre-peak height velocity (PHV) and twenty five post-PHV elite male youth soccer players  
29 completed a drop vertical jump (DVJ), single leg 75% horizontal hop and stick (75%HOP) and single  
30 leg countermovement jump (SLCMJ). Measures of peak landing vertical ground reaction force  
31 (pVGRF), time to stabilisation (TTS), time to pVGRF, and pVGRF asymmetry were recorded. A test,  
32 re-test design was used and reliability statistics included: change in mean, intraclass correlation  
33 coefficient (ICC) and coefficient of variation (CV). No significant differences in mean score were  
34 reported for any of the assessed variables between test sessions. In both groups, pVGRF and  
35 asymmetry during the 75%HOP and SLCMJ demonstrated largely acceptable reliability ( $CV \leq 10\%$ ).  
36 Greater variability was evident in DVJ pVGRF and all other assessed variables, across the three  
37 protocols (CV range = 13.8 – 49.7%). ICC values ranged from small to large and were generally  
38 higher in the post-PHV players. The results of this study suggest that pVGRF and asymmetry can be  
39 reliably assessed using a 75%HOP and SLCMJ in this cohort. These measures could be utilized to  
40 support a screening battery for elite male youth soccer players and for test re-test comparison.

42 **Key Words**

43 Landing force, Injury, Screening

47 **INTRODUCTION**

48 The ~~demands~~ sport of soccer imposes high physiological demand and an inherent risk of injury due to  
49 frequent repetitions of movements that involve significant musculoskeletal forces ~~and to dampen high~~  
50 ~~velocity~~ joint loads (10). Existing injury incidence data in elite male youth soccer indicates that  
51 injuries occur mainly in the lower extremities (71-80%) and are largely non-contact in nature, with a  
52 high proportion of ligament sprains occurring at the ankle and knee (26, 39). Deficits in  
53 neuromuscular control and aberrant movement patterns such as cutting, turning, and landing occurring  
54 frequently during game activities, (Price et al., 2004) are suggested pathomechanics (1, 47) that  
55 underlie sport-related injury (16).

56 Assessments of neuromuscular control have been analyzed previously in adults and female  
57 athletes ~~using a variety of methods~~, including a predominance of jump-landing tasks using force plate  
58 diagnostics (18, 33, 34, 36, 45). In order to accurately assess neuromuscular control in male youth  
59 soccer players, there is a need for reliable and valid testing protocols (41). Currently in youth males,  
60 the most common mode of assessment is measurement of landing forces during a drop vertical jump  
61 (DVJ) (24, 25, 40). ~~This, a~~ protocol ~~has shown that possesses~~ strong reliability in male and female  
62 high school athletes (ICC range = 0.89 – 0.98) (40). However, single leg horizontal and vertical jumps  
63 should also be considered due to the type and frequency of related movements during game play.  
64 ~~Strong test re-test reliability has also been reported for measures of concentric and the ability of the~~  
65 ~~tests to reliably determine~~ peak force and power during a single leg vertical jump (ICC range = 0.88 –  
66 0.97) in healthy teenagers (ICC range = 0.88-0.97) in youth athletes (5). Available data in male youth  
67 soccer players and assessments of landing force are sparse.

68 In addition to the quantification of landing forces, measurement of dynamic stability may also  
69 provide useful information. “Time to stabilization” (TTS) calculations report deficits in postural  
70 control and reflex stabilization in subjects with functional ankle instability (44) and anterior cruciate  
71 ligament (ACL) deficiency (50). TTS is the speed in which individuals stabilize within a pre-  
72 determined ground reaction force range upon landing (11, 45). Although TTS has been quantified

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

73 from a variety of jump-landing tasks (11), the most common method is a single leg horizontal hop  
74 onto a force plate (3, 33, 43). Limited data is available in youth athletes, however, ground reaction  
75 force measures in adults during single leg horizontal hops appear to be more reliable than centre of  
76 pressure values (ICC range = 0.87-0.97 vs. 0.53-0.75) (6, 45). Strong within-session reliability has  
77 also been reported for both dominant ( $r = 0.82$ ) and non-dominant ( $r = 0.87$ ) limbs (33).

78 In spite of this growing body of evidence, kinetic landing assessments have been utilized less  
79 often in paediatric male athletes. Poor attenuation of ground reaction forces during landing tasks may  
80 increase the risk of lower extremity injury (27). Practitioners utilizing such assessments for pre-  
81 participation screening require a greater understanding of their accuracy in this cohort. Previous  
82 research investigating the effects of age, growth and maturation on jumping tasks has shown a trend  
83 of increased performances with age (12, 37). However, variation across growth and maturation may  
84 also be evident (28, 37) and movement variability during jumping tasks is more evident in younger  
85 athletes (16). To the author's knowledge, no data currently exists to confirm the reliability of jump-  
86 landing kinetic assessments for male youth soccer players, ~~and~~ This paucity of literature does not  
87 permit accurate interpretation of results following intervention or deficit assessments relative to the  
88 typical error. Research is also required to examine the effects of maturation on these measures due to  
89 the likelihood of greater movement variability in younger players. Therefore, the aim of this study is  
90 to determine the within-subject reliability of a field-based neuromuscular control screening battery  
91 using force plate diagnostics in elite male youth soccer players at different stages of growth and  
92 maturation.

## 93 94 **METHODS**

### 95 *Experimental Approach to the Problem*

96 This study used a repeated measures design to determine the intersession reliability of a range of  
97 field-based neuromuscular control assessments. Participants were required to attend the club training  
98 ground on three occasions separated by a period of seven days. The first session was used to

99 familiarize subjects with the test equipment and assessment protocols. In the second and third  
100 sessions, data was collected to determine test-retest within-subject variation for the reliability study.  
101 Three different force plate diagnostic assessment protocols were used, including: a drop vertical jump  
102 (DVJ), a single leg 75% horizontal hop and stick (75%HOP) and a single leg countermovement jump  
103 (SLCMJ). A 10-minute standardized dynamic warm up was completed prior to each session,  
104 ~~consisting of dynamic stretching~~. The order of testing was randomized using a counterbalanced design  
105 to reduce the potential for an order effect. This randomization process was also applied for all  
106 unilateral jumps to determine the order of which leg was tested first. For the purposes of data  
107 collection, three trials were analyzed ~~and to reduce the influence of a learning effect~~. One minute of  
108 recovery was allowed between trials based on previous recommendations (11). Testing was completed  
109 at the same time on each day, and participants were asked to wear the same training kit and footwear,  
110 and refrain from strenuous exercise at least 48 hours prior to testing. Subjects were also asked to eat  
111 according to their normal diet and avoid eating and drinking substances other than water one hour  
112 prior to each test session.

### 114 *Subjects*

115 Participants were grouped as either pre- or post-peak height velocity (PHV) which has been defined as  
116 the maximal rate of growth during the adolescent growth spurt (29). This group separation was  
117 applied to examine if a players stage of maturation affects the reliability of the test measures included  
118 in this study due to previous research indicating greater movement variability is present in younger  
119 children (15). Twenty five pre-PHV (age  $11.93 \pm 0.43$  yr; height  $151.40 \pm 4.84$  cm; body mass  $41.05$   
120  $\pm 5.62$  kg; maturity offset  $-2.34 \pm 0.41$  yr) and twenty five post-PHV (age  $17.26 \pm 0.69$ ; height  $178.22$   
121  $\pm 5.47$ ; body mass  $72.27 \pm 6.93$  kg; maturity offset  $2.91 \pm 0.81$  yr) ~~Twenty five pre-PHV and twenty~~  
122 ~~five post-PHV~~ youth soccer players from the academy of a professional English Championship soccer  
123 club volunteered to take part in the study. ~~Subject characteristics are provided in table 1~~. Subjects  
124 were familiar with regular performance evaluations and none of the players reported injuries at the

125 time of testing. Parental consent, participant assent and physical activity readiness questionnaires  
126 were collected prior to the commencement of testing. Ethical approval was granted by the institutional  
127 ethics committee in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki.

128

## 129 ***Procedures***

### 130 *Anthropometry*

131 Body mass (kg) was measured on a calibrated physician scale (Seca 786 Culta, Milan, Italy). Standing  
132 and sitting height (cm) were recorded on a measurement platform (Seca 274, Milan, Italy). Seated  
133 height was measured with subjects sat on a box and their back against an upright stadiometer. The  
134 height of the box was then subtracted to provide the recorded value. Using anthropometric measures  
135 (age, body mass, standing height and sitting height, biological maturation was measured utilising the  
136 regression equation of Mirwald et al. (32). The equation has previously been validated for boys with a  
137 standard error of estimate of 0.57 years (Mirwald et al., 32).

138

### 139 *Drop Vertical Jump (DVJ)*

140 Participants were positioned on top of a box at a height of 30 cm. Instructions were to drop directly  
141 down with no vertical elevation onto two separate force plates (Pasco, Roseville, California, USA)  
142 positioned 8 cm apart. Upon ground contact, players immediately performed a maximum vertical  
143 jump aiming to jump as high as possible and then land on the plates and stick the landing as per  
144 previous guidelines (34. Hands were freely available to replicate a natural jump-landing position (34,  
145 40). Only the data from the first landing was used for subsequent analysis.

146

### 147 *Single leg 75% Horizontal Hop and Stick (75%HOP)*

148 A tape measure was marked out to a three metre distance on a horizontal line with the zero cm mark  
149 positioned in line with the centre of a force plate (Pasco, Roseville, California, USA). Participants



150 began by standing in line with the force plate on the designated test leg; hands on their hips and toe in  
151 line with a distance marker on the tape measure representing 75% of their predetermined maximal  
152 single leg hop and stick performance. Instructions were to hop forward onto the force plate, landing  
153 on the same leg with the hands remaining on their hips throughout. Players were required to stick the  
154 landing and hold for a period of five seconds, remaining as still as possible without any other body  
155 part touching the floor (11).

156

### 157 *Single Leg Counter Movement Jump (SLCMJ)*

158 Participants stood on a force plate (Pasco, Roseville, California, USA) in a unilateral stance with their  
159 hands on their hips and the opposite hip flexed at 90° to ensure minimal contributions from the  
160 contralateral leg. Instructions were to jump as high as possible using a countermovement by dropping  
161 to a self-selected depth and then immediately triple extending at the ankle, knee and hip in an  
162 explosive concentric action. On ground contact, subjects were required to stick the landing and hold  
163 for a period of five seconds remaining as still as possible. Bending of the knees whilst airborne was  
164 not permitted, and hands remained in contact with hips throughout the test (11).

165

### 166 *Force Plate Variables*

167 Kinetic landing data captured from the force platform included: peak vertical ground reaction force  
168 (pVGRF) recorded in the first 100ms following ground contact, time to pVGRF, and pVGRF  
169 asymmetry during for all tests. A cut-off point of 100ms was used to determine pVGRF due to the  
170 reported timing of non-contact injuries which occur within a similar time-frame following initial  
171 ground contact (22). Forces experienced after this point are unlikely to contribute to acute injury risk  
172 and were therefore not included in the analysis. In the SLCMJ and 75%HOP protocols, time-to-  
173 stabilisation (TTS) was also quantified from the vertical force vector. Vertical TTS was calculated as  
174 the time taken from ground contact to the first point when the vertical force component reached and

175 stayed within 5% of body weight for a period of one second (11, 14). The point of ground contact was  
176 then subtracted from this value in accordance with previous guidelines (11). For the DVJ and  
177 75% HOP protocols, initial contact was defined as the point when vertical ground reaction force first  
178 exceeded 10 N. In the SLCMJ, the same criteria were used to determine initial contact following the  
179 preceding propulsive and flight phases. All data were recorded at a sampling rate of 1000 Hz and  
180 filtered through a fourth-order Butterworth filter. A cut-off frequency of 18, 21, and 26 Hz was used  
181 for the SLCMJ, DVJ, and 75% HOP respectively.

182

### 183 *Asymmetry Calculation*

184 To quantify asymmetry, the percentage difference between the highest and lowest performing limb  
185 was used. The value obtained is expressed as the absolute percentage of performance achieved using  
186 the higher performing limb as the reference (see equation 2).

187

$$188 \quad \text{Asymmetry \%} = \frac{\text{ABS}(\text{lowest performing limb} - \text{highest performing limb})}{\text{highest performing limb} * 100}$$

190

$$191 \quad \text{\% of Performance achieved} = 100 - \text{\% Asymmetry}$$

192 [equation 2]

193

### 194 *Statistical Analysis*

195 The data was checked for normality and descriptive statistics~~The mean and standard deviations~~ for  
196 each test were calculated across the two testing sessions. To determine systematic bias between trials,  
197 a series of paired samples t-tests were used for all measures with a  $p$  value  $\leq 0.05$  indicative of a

198 significant difference between the two trials. Within-subject variation was determined using mean  
199 coefficients of variation (CV %). Further reliability statistics included: change in mean and intra-class  
200 correlation coefficient (ICC). 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were used and all reliability data  
201 was computed through Microsoft Excel® 2010 using a freely available spread sheet (20). Paired  
202 samples t-tests were processed using SPSS ® (V.21. Chicago Illinois).

203

## 204 RESULTS

205 Descriptive statistics and all reliability measures calculated for each test are displayed in tables 1 and  
206 table 2 for pre- and post-PHV groups respectively. No significant differences were reported for the  
207 test variables when the mean scores of the two test trials were analyzed using a series of paired  
208 samples t-tests ( $P > 0.05$ ).

209

210 \*\*\*\*\* *Insert tables 1 and 2 near here* \*\*\*\*\*

211

212 Following the analysis of all variables, measures highlighted with acceptable CV values ( $\leq 10\%$ ) (8)  
213 were then further investigated to determine the reliability of lower-limb asymmetry (table 3). In both  
214 groups, all measures reported acceptable CV values ( $\leq 10\%$ ) with the exception of 75%HOP pVGRF  
215 in pre- (CV = 11.8%) and post-PHV (13.2% post-PHV) cohorts.

216

217 \*\*\*\*\* *Insert table 3 near here* \*\*\*\*\*

218

## 219 DISCUSSION

220 The current study assessed the reliability of a field-based neuromuscular control screening battery  
221 using force plate diagnostics in elite male youth soccer players who were either pre- or post-PHV. In  
222 both groups, pVGRF in the 75%HOP and SLCMJ demonstrated acceptable reliability ( $CV \leq 10\%$ ).  
223 However, greater variability was evident in the DVJ test as indicated by higher CV values.  
224 Irrespective of test protocol, variability was more pronounced in the pre-PHV group than the post-  
225 PHV cohort. Asymmetry values for the measures identified ( $CV \leq 10\%$ ) were also analyzed and  
226 reported largely acceptable reliability ( $CV \leq 10\%$ ). The within-subject variance of all other assessed  
227 variables, across all three protocols, exceeded the threshold for acceptable reliability ( $CV >10\%$ ) in  
228 both groups.

229 In both groups, pVGRF was the most reliable kinetic measurement reflected by the lowest  
230 CV%. These findings are commensurate with Cordova et al. (7) who reported excellent reliability  
231 values ( $ICC = 0.94$ ;  $SEM = 0.003\%$  body weight) during a SLCMJ onto a force plate. Other studies  
232 have also reported high within-session reliability in adults for pVGRF during a single leg hop and  
233 stick ( $ICC = 0.82$  to  $0.87$ ) (3) and inter-session reliability of a single leg horizontal drop jump ( $CV =$   
234  $5.71$ ) (46). Conversely, vertical impulse (a measure comprised of both force and time) was shown to  
235 display greater test re-test variation (8.28%) (46). In the present study, while not a direct measure of  
236 impulse, time to pVGRF also showed higher CV values indicating greater within-subject variation for  
237 these metrics in male youth soccer players.

238 In this study, pVGRF in both the SLCMJ and 75%HOP tests demonstrated lower within-subject  
239 variation than the DVJ. In school children, strong reliability for measures of pVGRF force at landing  
240 ( $ICC = 0.89$ ), and take-off ( $ICC = 0.98$ ) has been reported (40). In the present study, lower reliability  
241 was displayed in the pre-PHV group which may be indicative of reduced skill levels, and immature  
242 pre-frontal motor cortex activation for cognitive control resulting in greater variation in the execution  
243 of motor control tasks (4). Additionally, increased jumping skill has been associated with an enhanced  
244 ability to absorb landing forces (38). As males progress through adolescence they appear to display an  
245 increased ability to attenuate landing forces, possibly due to the presence of the neuromuscular spurt  
246 (40). Conversely, younger children appear to land with greater knee and hip extension, which

247 combined with heightened muscle co-contraction upon impact, will lead to higher pVGRF (9, 48).  
1  
2 248 Supporting this notion, lower pVGRF related to body mass during the breaking phase of a DVJ have  
3  
4 249 been reported in adults versus boys (25). This may be due to more efficient stretch reflex utilization  
5  
6 250 and greater levels of muscle activation prior to landing and during the breaking phase of the jump  
7  
8  
9 251 (24). Data also shows that as children mature they become more reliant on supra-spinal feed forward  
10  
11 252 input and short latency stretch reflexes (28). Cumulatively, the combination of movement inefficiency  
12  
13 253 and higher landing forces may provide a rationale for the greater variability in pVGRF within the pre-  
14  
15 254 PHV soccer players in this study.

18 255 During the 75%HOP and SLCMJ, high CV's were reported for TTS in both groups. These  
19  
20  
21 256 values indicate large within-subject variance and thus, caution should be applied when using this  
22  
23 257 measurement in male youth soccer players. Obtaining high reliability for repeated trials during tasks  
24  
25 258 requiring dynamic postural stability is difficult (11). Single leg jumping and landing activities that  
26  
27 259 rely on reflexive muscle responses, proprioceptive and kinaesthetic feedback will typically utilise a  
28  
29  
30 260 range of movement strategies and therefore increase variability (11, 51). No data is available to  
31  
32 261 compare the results of this study to those of similar populations, however, reliability statistics in  
33  
34 262 adults suggest strong test re-test comparisons in single leg hop tasks (ICC = 0.87 – 0.97) (6, 45). A  
35  
36 263 plausible explanation for the high CV% in this study [in comparison to adult data](#) could be age-related  
37  
38  
39 264 factors, such as, growth, maturation and skill. Previous literature has suggested that maturation of the  
40  
41 265 neurological, visual, vestibular and proprioceptive systems may lead to enhanced performance during  
42  
43 266 single leg balancing tasks (31). Also, younger subjects demonstrate greater postural sway during  
44  
45 267 single leg balance manoeuvres which may compromise stability (31). Thus, measures of reflex  
46  
47  
48 268 stabilization may be subject to greater variability in male youth soccer players.

50  
51 269 Task demands are another factor which may explain the differences in reported reliability from  
52  
53 270 this study and those of previous investigations. In the present study, two single leg landing  
54  
55 271 assessments were used to provide data for both horizontal and vertical jumping tasks. Conversely, the  
56  
57 272 aforementioned studies used horizontal tasks only and a standardised distance from the force plate of  
58  
59  
60 273 either leg length (6), or an arbitrary distance of 70 cm (45). The utilization of anthropometric

1 274 measures or standardised distances may subsequently over or under-estimate an individual's  
2 275 performance. For example, an athlete with short legs may demonstrate a reduced TTS due to the  
3  
4 276 relatively shorter hopping distance required. However, during a maximal single leg hopping task, the  
5  
6 277 same athlete may be capable of much greater jump distances than that of their leg length. These  
7  
8 278 abilities are likely to be replicated under conditions of competitive soccer match play; thus, an  
9  
10 279 individual's inherent risk of injury is likely a product of how far they can jump and how well they can  
11  
12 280 attenuate the resultant forces upon landing.  
13  
14  
15

16 281 The methods of calculating TTS could also account for inconsistencies with the available  
17  
18 282 literature. In the current study, TTS was measured based on previous recommendations (11, 14).  
19  
20 283 Conversely, Colby et al. (6) and Ross et al. (45) used both anterior-posterior and medio-lateral force  
21  
22 284 vectors and a static hold of twenty seconds, scanning the components from the last two windows of  
23  
24 285 the last 10s (i.e. at 10-15s and 15-20s), and the smallest ground reaction force range was accepted as  
25  
26 286 the optimal range variation (43). This method, while displaying sound reliability, raises concerns of  
27  
28 287 ecological validity when screening male youth soccer players. For example, if young soccer players  
29  
30 288 are required to spend up to 20 seconds standing still on a force plate, they are likely to demonstrate  
31  
32 289 greater postural sway, thus affecting their ground reaction force range. The shorter recording period of  
33  
34 290 five seconds used in this study as opposed to 20 seconds (43) also has implications for testing a large  
35  
36 291 number of athletes, particularly youth athletes who may demonstrate lower levels of concentration.  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 292 Despite their frequency of use, limited data is available to report the reliability of limb  
42  
43 293 asymmetry statistics during unilateral jumping tasks. One available study in ACL patients determined  
44  
45 294 the 'limb symmetry index' for a range of hopping based tests using ICC and standard error of  
46  
47 295 measurement (ICC = 0.82 – 0.93; SEM = 3.04 – 5.09) (42). The authors assessed differences between  
48  
49 296 the injured and non-injured leg, whereas, previous research has analyzed the difference between the  
50  
51 297 dominant and non-dominant legs although no reliability data was reported (2, 30). In high school male  
52  
53 298 and female soccer players, strong reliability of force production and attenuation measures has been  
54  
55 299 shown (ICC > 0.97), however, specific outcome measures were not reported (17). The present study  
56  
57 300 showed acceptable reliability values for most of the measures included and calculated asymmetry  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 301 using the highest versus lowest performing leg. This accounts for neuromuscular inhibition which can  
2 302 occur following an injury to a specific limb (13, 35) and the requirement to jump and land repeatedly  
3  
4 303 on both legs during a soccer competition. No data is available in youth male soccer players to  
5  
6 304 compare the findings of this study; further investigations are needed to examine the reliability of  
7  
8 305 asymmetry values using the aforementioned methods during a variety of jump-landing tasks.  
9

10  
11 306 A number of the variables measured in this study demonstrated low ICC statistics. It has been  
12  
13 307 suggested previously that an ICC value  $> 0.75$  is acceptable and values below this provide inadequate  
14  
15 308 reliability (23). However, re-test correlations measure how closely the values of two trials track each  
16  
17 309 other specific to each individual and the reproducibility of the rank order of subjects during the re-test  
18  
19 310 (19). Low values indicate that subjects did not retain their order during the re-test. Furthermore, a  
20  
21 311 homogenous sample will also likely demonstrate a low value (19). The subjects in this study are  
22  
23 312 reflective of a homogenous sample and this provides a plausible explanation for lower ICC values  
24  
25 313 than those in other studies. Specifically, a number of the test variables in this study reported lower  
26  
27 314 ICC values in the pre-PHV players. Due to their status as prepubescent athletes, performance levels  
28  
29 315 may be more clustered as they have not yet experienced their peak growth spurt; the possibility of  
30  
31 316 players changing their rank order is high. The post-PHV players were likely at different stages of  
32  
33 317 physical development with some players further past the period of PHV than others; changing of rank  
34  
35 318 order may be less frequent, as evidenced by predominantly higher ICC values.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 319

## 43 44 45 320 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

46  
47  
48 321 Reliability data is now available for a field-based battery of neuromuscular control assessments using  
49  
50 322 force plate diagnostics to screen male youth soccer players for potential injury risk. Practitioners can  
51  
52 323 benefit from this data by selecting from the wide range of assessments available in the literature by  
53  
54 324 considering their reproducibility as a basis for test re-test comparison. Furthermore, using the  
55  
56 325 reliability statistics derived from this study, the smallest worthwhile change can be determined by  
57  
58 326 calculating the between-subject standard deviation for each test and multiplying this number by 0.2 or  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

327 0.5% of the CV (21). If this value is within the error range (CV %) reported by the test, then it can be  
328 deemed reliable for use (49). Also, coaches applying interventions to reduce injury risk can accurately  
329 establish if the measured effects are reflective of a true change in performance.

330 Acceptable reliability values were reported for a variety of measures. In both the pre and post-  
331 PHV groups, pVGRF in both the 75%HOP, and SLCMJ demonstrated acceptable reliability (CV  $\leq$   
332 10%). These variables should be considered reliable for assessing elite male youth soccer players.  
333 However, greater within-subject variation was evident during the DVJ for all recorded variables; thus,  
334 caution should be applied when utilizing these protocols in this cohort. Overall, the results of this  
335 study suggest that pVGRF and asymmetry can be reliably assessed using a 75%HOP and SLCMJ in  
336 male youth soccer players. These measures could realistically be utilized to support a screening  
337 battery for elite male youth soccer players and for test re-test comparison. Future research should  
338 examine if these measures can discriminate between injured and non-injured soccer players to  
339 determine their sensitivity in prospectively predicting injury risk.

340

## 341 REFERENCES

- 342 1. Alentorn-Geli, E, Myer, GD, Silvers, HJ, Samitier, G, Romero, D, La' zaro-Haro, C,  
343 Cugat, R. Prevention of non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries in soccer players.  
344 Part 1: mechanisms of injury and underlying risk factors. *Knee Surg Sports Traum*  
345 *Arthrosc* 17: 705-29, 2009.
- 346 2. Barber, S, Frank, B., Noyes, F, Mangine, R, McCloskey, J, and Hartman, W. Quantitative  
347 assessment of functional limitations in normal and anterior cruciate ligament-deficient  
348 knees. *Clinical Orth Rel Res* 255: 204–214, 1990.
- 349 3. Brent, JL, Ford, KR, Myer, GD, Harrison, AD, Hewett, TE. Reliability of single leg  
350 landings on a portable force platform. *Med Set. Spurts Exerc* 37(Suppl:S400), 2005.
- 351 4. Bunge, SA, Dudukovic, NM, Thomason, ME, Vaidya, CJ, and Gabriela, JDE. Immature  
352 frontal lobe contributions to cognitive control in children. Evidence from fMRI. *Neuron*  
353 31: 301-311, 2002.
- 354 5. Ceroni, D, Martin, XE, Delhumeau, C, and Farpour-Lambert, NJ. Bilateral and gender  
355 differences during single-legged vertical jump performance in healthy teenagers. *J*  
356 *Strength Cond Res* 26: 452–457, 2012.



- 357 6. Colby, S, Hintermeister, R, Torry, and Steadman, R. Lower limb stability with anterior  
1 358 cruciate ligament (ACL) impairment. *J Orth Sports Phys Ther* 29: 444-454, 1999.
- 2 359 7. Cordova, ML, Armstrong, CW. Reliability of ground reaction forces during a vertical  
3 360 jump: implications for functional strength assessment. *J Athl Training* 31: 342-345, 1996.
- 4 361 8. Cormack, SJ, Newton, RU, McGuigan, MR, L.A. Doyle, T. Reliability of measures  
5 362 obtained during single and repeated countermovement jumps. *Int J Sports Phys Perf* 3:  
6 363 131-144, 2008.
- 7 364 9. Croce, RV, Russell, PJ, Swartz, EE and Decoster, LC. Knee muscular response strategies  
8 365 differ by developmental level but not gender during jump landing. *Electromyography and*  
9 366 *Clinical Neurophysiology* 44: 339-48, 2004.
- 10 367 10. Daniel, DM, Stone, ML, Dobson, BE, Fithian, DC, Rossman, DJ, Kaufman, RF. Fate of  
11 368 the ACL injured patient: a prospective outcome study. *AM J Sports Med* 22: 632-644,  
12 369 1994.
- 13 370 11. Ebben, WP, VanderZanden, T, Wurm, BJ, and Petushek, EJ. Evaluating plyometric  
14 371 exercises using time to stabilization. *J Strength Cond Res* 24: 300-306, 2010.
- 15 372 12. Figueiredo, A.J, Goncalves, C.E, Coelho, M.J, Malina, R.M. Youth soccer players, 11-14  
16 373 years: Maturity, size, function, skill and goal orientation. *Annals of Human Biology* 36:  
17 374 60 - 73, 2009.
- 18 375 13. Friel, K, McLean, N, Myers, C and Caceres, M. Ipsilateral hip abductor weakness after  
19 376 inversion ankle sprain. *J Athl Train* 41: 74-78, 2006.
- 20 377 14. Flanagan, EP, Ebben, WP, and Jensen, RL. Reliability of the reactive strength index and  
21 378 time to stabilization during depth jumps. *J Strength Cond Res* 22: 1677-1682, 2008.
- 22 379 15. Gerodimos, V, Zafeiridis, A, Perkos, S, Dipla, K, Manou, V, and Kellis, S. The  
23 380 Contribution of Stretch-Shortening Cycle and Arm-Swing to Vertical Jumping  
24 381 Performance in Children, Adolescents, and Adult Basketball Players. *Ped Exer Sci* 20:  
25 382 379-389, 2008.
- 26 383 16. Gómez-Barrena<sup>1</sup>, E, Bonsfills, N, Martín, JG, Ballesteros-Massó, R, Foruria, A and  
27 384 Núñez-Molina, A. Insufficient recovery of neuromuscular activity around the knee after  
28 385 experimental anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Acta Orthopaedica* 79: 39-47,  
29 386 2008.
- 30 387 17. Harrison, AD, Ford, KR, Myer, GD, Hewett, TE. Sex differences in force attenuation: a  
31 388 clinical assessment of single-leg hop performance on a portable force plate. *Br J Sports*  
32 389 *Med* 45:198-202, 2011.
- 33 390 18. Hewett, TE, Myer, GD, Ford, KR, Heidt, RS, Colosimo, AJ, McLean, SG, van den  
34 391 Bogert, AJ, Paterno, MV, Succop, P. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control  
35 392 and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female  
36 393 athletes: a prospective study. *AM J Sports Med* 33:492-501, 2005.
- 37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 394 19. Hopkins, WG. Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. *Sports Med* 30: 1–  
1 395 15, 2000.
- 2 396 20. Hopkins, W.G. (2000b). Reliability from consecutive pairs of trials (Excel spreadsheet).  
3 397 In: A new view of statistics. sportsci.org: Internet Society for Sport Science,  
4 398 sportsci.org/resource/stats/xrely.xls.
- 5 399 21. Hopkins, WG. How to interpret changes in an athletic performance test. *Sportscience* 8:  
6 400 1-7, 2004.
- 7 401 22. Krosshaug, T, Nakamae, A, Boden, BP, et al. Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament  
8 402 injury in basketball: video analysis of 39 cases. *AM J Sports Med* 35: 359–67, 2007.
- 9 403 23. Kovalski, JE, Heitman, RJ, Gurchiek, LR, Erdmann, JW and Trundle, TL. Reliability  
10 404 and effects of leg dominance on lower extremity isokinetic force and work using the  
11 405 Closed Chain Rider System. *J Sport Rehabil* 6: 319-26, 1997.
- 12 406 24. Lazaridis, S, Bassa, E, Patikas, P, Giakas, G, Gollhofer, A, Kotzamanidis, C.  
13 407 Neuromuscular differences between prepubescent boys and adult men during drop jump.  
14 408 *Eur J Appl Physiol* 110: 67-74, 2010.
- 15 409 25. Lazaridis, S, Bassa, E, Patikas, D, Hatzikotoulas, K, Lazaridis, FK, and Kotzamanidis,  
16 410 CM. Biomechanical comparison in different jumping tasks between untrained boys and  
17 411 men. *Ped Ex Sci* 25: 101-113, 2013.
- 18 412 26. Le Gall, F, Carling, C, Reilly, T, Vandewalle, H, Chruch, J, and Rochcongar, P. Incidence  
19 413 of injuries in elite French youth soccer players: a 10-season study. *AM J Sports Med* 34:  
20 414 928-938, 2006.
- 21 415 27. Lephart, SM, Ferris, CM, Riemann, BL, Myers, JB, Fu, FH. Gender differences in  
22 416 strength and lower extremity kinematics during landing. *Clin Orth Rel Res.* 2002; 401:  
23 417 162-169.
- 24 418 28. Lloyd, RS, Oliver, JL, Hughes, MG, Williams, CA. Age-related differences in the neural  
25 419 regulation of stretch–shortening cycle activities in male youths during maximal and sub-  
26 420 maximal hopping. *J Electromyography Kinesiol* 22: 37-43, 2012.
- 27 421 29. Malina, RM, Bouchard, C, Bar-Or, O. Timing and sequence of changes during  
28 422 adolescence. In; Growth, Maturation and Physical Activity, Champaign ILL: Human  
29 423 Kinetics, 307-333, 2004.
- 30 424 30. Maulder, P, and Cronin, J. Horizontal and vertical jump assessment: reliability,  
31 425 symmetry, discriminative and predictive ability. *Phys Ther Sport* 6: 74–82, 2005.
- 32 426 31. Mickle, KJ, Munro, BJ, Steele, JR. Gender and age affect balance performance in primary  
33 427 school-aged children. *J Sci Med Sport* 14: 243-8, 2011.
- 34 428 32. Mirwald, RL, Baxter-Jones, ADG, Bailey, DA, and Beunen, GP. An assessment of  
35 429 maturity from anthropometric measurements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 34: 689–694, 2002.
- 36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 430 33. Myer, GD, Paterno, MV, Ford KR, Quatmen, CE, Hewett, TE. Rehabilitation after  
1 431 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: criteria-based progression through the return-to-  
2 sport phase. *JOSPT* 36: 385-402, 2006.
- 3 432  
4 433 34. Myer, GD, Ford, KR, Khoury, J, Succop, P and Hewett, TE. Development and validation  
5 of a clinic based prediction tool to identify female athletes at high risk of ACL injury. *AM*  
6 434  
7  
8 435  
9  
10 436 35. Opar, D, Timmins, R, Dear, N, Williams, M, and Shield, A. The role of neuromuscular  
11 inhibition in hamstring strain injury recurrence. *J Electromyography Kinesiol* 23: 523–  
12 437  
13 438 530, 2013.
- 14 439 36. Padua, DA, Marshall, SW, Boling, MC, Thigpen, CA, Garrett, WE, Beutler, AI. The  
15 landing error scoring system (LESS) is a valid and reliable clinical assessment tool of  
16 440  
17 441 jump-landing biomechanics. *AM J Sports Med* 37: 1996-2002, 2009.
- 18 442 37. Philippaerts, R.M, Vayens, R, Janssens, M, Renterghem, B.V, Matthys, D, Craen, R,  
19 Bourgois, J, Vrijens, J, Beunen, G, Malina, R.M. The relationship between peak height  
20 443  
21 444 velocity and physical performance in youth soccer players. *J Sport Sci* 24: 221-230, 2006.
- 22 445 38. Prapavessis, H, McNair, PJ. Effects of instruction in jumping technique and experience  
23 446  
24 447 jumping on ground reaction forces. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 29: 352–356, 1999.
- 25 448 39. Price, RJ, Hawkins, RD, Hulse, MA and Hodson, A. The Football Association and  
26 449  
27 450 medical research programme: an audit of injuries in academy youth football. *BR J Sports*  
28 451  
29 452  
30 453  
31 454  
32 455 40. Quatman, CE, Ford, KR, Myer, GD, Hewett, TE. Maturation leads to gender differences  
33 in landing force and vertical jump performance: a longitudinal study. *AM J Sports Med*  
34 456  
35 457 34: 1-8, 2005.
- 36 458 41. Read, PJ, Oliver, JL, De Ste Croix, MBA, Myer, GD, Lloyd, GM. Assessment of injury  
37 459  
38 460 risk factors in elite male youth soccer players. *Strength Cond J* 38: 12-21, 2016.
- 39 461 42. Reid, A, Birmingham, TB, Stratford, PW, Alcock, GK, Robert Giffin, J. Hop testing  
40 462  
41 463 Provides a reliable and valid outcome measure during rehabilitation after anterior cruciate  
42 464  
43 465 ligament reconstruction. *Phys Ther* 87: 337-349, 2007.
- 44 466 43. Ross, SE and KM. Guskiewicz. "Time to stabilization: a method for analyzing dynamic  
45 467  
46 468 postural stability." *Athl Ther Today* 8: 37-39, 2003.
- 47 469 44. Ross, SE, Guskiewicz, KM, Bing, Yu. Single-Leg Jump-Landing Stabilization Times in  
48 470  
49 471 Subjects With Functionally Unstable Ankles. *J Athl Train* 40: 298–304, 2005.
- 50 472 45. Ross, SE, Guskiewicz KE, Gross, M and Bing, Y. Balance measures for discriminating  
51 473  
52 474 between functionally unstable and stable ankles. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 41: 399-407, 2009.
- 53 475 46. Stålbom, M, Jonsson Holm, D, Cronin, J, Keogh, J. Reliability of kinematics and kinetics  
54 476  
55 477 associated with horizontal single leg drop jump assessment: a brief report. *J Sports Sci*  
56 478  
57 479  
58 480  
59 481  
60 482  
61 483  
62 484  
63 485  
64 486  
65 487

467  
1  
2 468  
3  
4 469  
5  
6 470  
7  
8 471  
9  
10 472  
11  
12 473  
13  
14 474  
15  
16 475  
17  
18 476  
19  
20 477  
21  
22 478  
23  
24 479  
25  
26 480  
27  
28 481  
29  
30 482  
31  
32 483  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

47. Sugimoto, D, Alernto-Geli, E, Mediguchia, J, Samuelsson, K, Karlsson, Myer, GD. Biomechanical and neuromuscular characteristics of male athletes: implications for the development of anterior cruciate ligament injury prevention programs. *Sports Med* 45: 809-822, 2015.

48. Swartz, EE, Decostert LC, Russell PJ and Crose RV. Effects of developmental stage and sex on lower extremity kinematics and vertical ground reaction forces during landing. *J Athl Training* 40: 9-14, 2005.

49. Turner, A, Brazier, J, Bishop, C, Chavda,S Cree, J, Read, P. Data analysis for strength and conditioning coaches: using excel to analyze reliability, differences, and relationships. *Strength Cond J* 37: 76-83, 2015.

50. Webster, KA, Gribble, PA. Time to stabilization of anterior cruciate ligament reconstructed versus healthy knees in National Collegiate Athletic Association division I female athletes. *J Athl Train* 45: 580–585, 2010.

51. Wikstrom, EA, Tillman, MD, Chmielewski, TL, and Borsa, PA. Measurement and evaluation of dynamic joint stability of the knee and ankle after injury. *Sports Med* 36: 393–410, 2006.

**Table 1** Pre-PHV group mean results and reliability statistics for all test measures

<b>Test Variable</b>	<b>Mean Test 1</b>	<b>Mean Test 2</b>	<b>Change in mean</b>	<b>ICC</b>	<b>CV% (95% CI)</b>
75% HOP pVGRF (N)	1642 ± 297	1617 ± 253	-24.9 ± 254.65	0.62	10.2 (8.5 - 13.2)
75% HOP TTS (s)	1.740 ± 0.709	1.963 ± 0.642	0.223 ± 0.789	0.25	43.6 (35.6 - 56.6)
75% HOP time to pVGRF (s)	0.022 ± 0.005	0.023 ± 0.006	0.001 ± 0.006	0.57	20 (16.6 - 25.3)
DVJ pVGRF (N)	890 ± 217	844 ± 235	-45.59 ± 227.69	0.50	20.5 (17.1 - 25.8)
DVJ time to pVGRF (s)	0.044 ± 0.022	0.045 ± 0.024	0.001 ± 0.02	0.54	49.7 (40.6 - 64.4)
SLCMJ pVGRF (N)	1221 ± 170	1251 ± 245	30.92 ± 194.65	0.59	10.1 (9.1 - 14.1)
SLCMJ TTS (s)	1.226 ± 0.648	1.438 ± 0.508*	0.212 ± 0.614	0.37	39.2 (31.9 - 51.9)
SLCMJ time to pVGRF (s)	0.067 ± 0.043	0.054 ± 0.023	-0.012 ± 0.039	0.64	33.3 (28.6 - 48.9)

Note: pVGRF = peak vertical ground reaction force; TTS = time to stabilization; 75%HOP = 75% horizontal hop and stick

DVJ = drop vertical jump; SLCMJ = single leg countermovement jump; PHV = peak height velocity

**Table 2** Post-pubertal group mean results and reliability statistics for all test measures

<b>Test Variable</b>	<b>Mean Test 1</b>	<b>Mean Test 2</b>	<b>Change in mean</b>	<b>ICC</b>	<b>CV% (95% CI)</b>
75%HOP pVGRF (N)	2825 ± 551	2755 ± 539	-70.92 ± 230.73	0.91	6.1 (5.1 - 7.8)
75% HOP TTS (s)	1.160 ± 0.384	1.326 ± 0.434	0.165 ± 0.544	0.09	35.2 (28.4 - 46.5)
75% HOP time to pVGRF (s)	0.027 ± 0.011	0.029 ± 0.009	0.001 ± 0.010	0.62	22.5 (18.3 - 29.2)
DVJ pVGRF (N)	1781 ± 450.96	1751 ± 462	-29.66 ± 313.39	0.76	13.8 (11.6 - 16.9)
DVJ time to PF (s)	0.056 ± 0.023	0.052 ± 0.018	-0.004 ± 0.016	0.77	23 (19.4 - 28.2)
SLCMJ pVGRF (N)	2369 ± 396	2372 ± 391	-0.03 ± 302.24	0.75	9.5 (8.1 - 11.5)
SLCMJ TTS (s)	1.033 ± 0.435	1.112 ± 0.462	0.079 ± 0.631	0.08	48.4 (40.3 - 60.3)
SLCMJ time to pVGRF (s)	0.060 ± 0.019	0.057 ± 0.018	-0.002 ± 0.013	0.72	21.8 (18.4 - 26.8)

Note: pVGRF = peak vertical ground reaction force; TTS = time to stabilization; 75%HOP = 75% horizontal hop and stick

DVJ = drop vertical jump; SLCMJ = single leg countermovement jump; PHV = peak height velocity

**Table 3** Pre- and Post PHV group asymmetry mean values and reliability statistics expressed as % of performance achieved

<b>Group</b>	<b>Test variable</b>	<b>Mean test 1</b>	<b>Mean test 2</b>	<b>Change in mean</b>	<b>ICC</b>	<b>CV % (95% CI)</b>
Pre-PHV	SLCMJ pVGRF	88.08 ± 7.18	86.34 ± 7.70	-1.74 ± 11.63	-0.22	10.9 (8.7 - 14.2)
	75%HOP pVGRF	86.63 ± 10.39	90.68 ± 10.21	4.05 ± 13.21	0.17	11.8 (9.2 - 14.5)
Post-PHV	SLCMJ pVGRF	89.84 ± 7.71	88.53 ± 8.78	-1.38 ± 9.78	0.25	8.6 (6.8 - 11.6)
	75%HOP pVGRF	84.15 ± 9.51	77.09 ± 10.60	-1.80 ± 14.00	0.01	13.2 (10.1 - 14.5)

Note: pVGRF = peak vertical ground reaction force; SLCMJ = single leg countermovement jump; 75%HOP = 75% horizontal hop and stick;

PHV = peak height velocity