



This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document, "This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in (provide complete journal citation)". and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 license:

Hodun, Megan, Clarke, Richard, De Ste Croix, Mark B ORCID: 0000-0001-9911-4355 and Hughes, Jonathan ORCID: 0000-0002-9905-8055 (2016) Global positioning system analysis of running performance in female field sports: A review of the literature. Strength and Conditioning Journal, 38 (2). pp. 49-56. doi:10.1519/SSC.0000000000000200

Official URL: <http://journals.lww.com/nsca-scj/Pages/default.aspx>

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0000000000000200>

EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/3299>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document:

Hodun, Megan and Clarke, Richard and De Ste Croix, Mark B and Hughes, Jonathan (2016). *Global positioning system analysis of running performance in female field sports: A review of the literature.* Strength and Conditioning Journal. ISSN 1524-1602

Published in Strength and Conditioning Journal, and available online at:

<http://journals.lww.com/nsca-sci/Pages/default.asp...>

We recommend you cite the published (post-print) version.

The URL for the published version is <http://journals.lww.com/nsca-sci/Pages/default.asp...>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

1 **GPS ANALYSIS OF RUNNING PERFORMANCE IN FEMALE FIELD SPORTS: A**
2 **REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE**

3

4 **KEYWORDS**

5 GPS; global positioning system; geographic information systems; soccer; field hockey;
6 rugby; female sport; performance analysis.

7

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 The use of global positioning systems (GPS) in analyzing field sport performance is a recent
10 development, particularly in soccer, rugby and field hockey. Research with GPS in female field
11 sports has centered on match performance, fatigue, and training intensity. Of particular
12 concern for GPS analysis is the need for standardized methods to determine the occurrence
13 of high intensity running and sprinting. GPS analysis for female field sport athletes **can** assist
14 in development of training plans and monitoring protocols in field sports. The aim of this article
15 is to review studies published to provide useful information to inform coaches' S&C
16 programming.

17

1 suggest that aerobic running programming may be generalized for intermittent field sports,
2 with sport-specific focus centering on skill sets and positional differences.

3 *Distance as an indicator of fatigue within a match*

4 Total distance and work rate are useful performance parameters for monitoring fatigue
5 between match periods. Though a reduction in distance or work rate might also be a result of
6 contextual variables such as match result, opposition ranking, or player formation, (10,25)
7 GPS analysis of substitutes in soccer demonstrate that substitutes into a match had higher
8 work rates in the final 12 minutes of match play compared to players completing a full match,
9 (21) suggesting fatigue is still a confounding variable of the reduction in work rate.

10 In soccer, fatigue during the second half and particularly in the last 15 minutes of play has
11 been cited as a potential factor in injury risk during the same time period. (9) Barbero-Álvarez
12 et al (2) reported an 8% reduction in distance for the second half in a friendly match with U13
13 players. In competitive youth matches, Vescovi (24) found a reduction of approximately 100-
14 150 m (~3%) in total distance during the second half compared to the first half across all player
15 positions (i.e. defenders, midfielders, and forwards). In elite women's soccer, Hewitt et al (10)
16 reported a 4.8% decrease in the second half; in particular, distance travelled in the last two 15
17 minute periods of the game were significantly different to distance in the first 15 minute period.
18 Vescovi and Frayne (22) reported similar work rate reduction patterns of 7-9% in female field
19 hockey for all player positions, despite rolling substitutions.

20 Reduction in work rate in the second half of competition was reported in rugby sevens for both
21 international- and national-level teams: national-level team average work rate decreased from
22 103 m/min in the first half to 88 m/min in the second half; international-level team average
23 work rate decreased from 126 m/min to 104 m/min. (14) The one published study on women's
24 rugby union demonstrated no reduction in second half distance, similar to results in men's
25 rugby union. (18) Though the data do not demonstrate consistent percentage decrements,
26 current published studies show decreases in work rate occur regardless of competition level
27 or sport, with the exception of rugby union. Monitoring of fatigue during matches may impact
28 substitution strategy and assist in fatigue-related injury prevention.

29

30 *Analysis of high-intensity running and sprinting*

31 While work rate is a useful indicator of external work load, it does not indicate the amount of
32 high-speed work performed during a match. High-intensity running (HIR) efforts can be critical
33 to match results, (16) and the amount of HIR and the ability to repeat HIR are distinguishing
34 performance attributes of elite players. (1) Sprinting is also a key component of performance

1 in field sports. The ability to sprint faster and for longer distances are characteristics that
2 distinguish top-class from lower-level players (13,14) and, as a component of HIR, are similarly
3 critical to match results. (1) Maximal speeds during match play are reported in Table 2.

4 *****Insert Table 2 near here*****

5 HIR and sprinting have been researched in some depth in female field sports. Dwyer and
6 Gabbett (7) proposed HIR velocity ranges and sprinting velocity thresholds for women's soccer
7 and field hockey, based on normal curves of best fit from average distribution of speed from
8 anonymized elite match data (HIR soccer: 12.2-19.1 km/hr, HIR field hockey: 13.3-19.1 km/hr,
9 Sprinting both: ≥ 19.44 km/hr). However, the definitions of high-intensity running and sprinting
10 in published research have still varied greatly. A summary of HIR and sprint thresholds and
11 ranges used in GPS analysis of female field sports is in Table 3.

12 *****Insert Table 3 near here*****

13 Researchers argue that using velocity thresholds based on male data will underestimate high-
14 intensity work of female athletes. (4,5) In one example, a study of NCAA soccer using a HIR
15 threshold of >13.0 km/hr (similar to proposed HIR for female soccer players noted above) and
16 sprinting threshold of >22.0 km/hr (higher than proposed sprinting threshold for females)
17 reported 138.41 ± 36.43 HIR efforts but only 4.31 ± 3.51 sprints (mean \pm SD) per game. Not only
18 does this underestimate work performed, but also underestimates the physiological cost of the
19 work from both aerobic and anaerobic systems.

20 HIR and the ability to perform above this threshold is an important physiological component of
21 intermittent field sports. As such, practitioners experimented with the use of high-intensity
22 thresholds based on physiological tests. Clarke et al (5) tested 12 international rugby seven's
23 players for maximal aerobic running capacity ($\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ test). Researchers used speed at the
24 second ventilatory threshold ($\dot{V}T_{2\text{speed}}$) to define high-intensity running. When individual
25 thresholds based on $\dot{V}T_{2\text{speed}}$ were applied to match running data, distances travelled at high-
26 intensity speeds were highly correlated with HIR capacity. This association declined using a
27 group mean threshold of 12.6 km/hr (3.5 m/s). Because laboratory-based $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ tests are
28 not always available, Bradley and Vescovi (4) have suggested using 80% of maximal aerobic
29 velocity to estimate the second ventilatory threshold for individualized HIR thresholds.
30 Maximal aerobic velocity can be determined through the use of a range of field-based fitness
31 tests including a 5-minute time trial and the University of Montreal Track Test. (3) However,
32 the use of a group mean threshold would allow for player comparison and comparison
33 between age-matched sports, as the reported group mean threshold is similar to the HIR
34 thresholds previously suggested for women's soccer and field hockey. (7)

1 Research has illustrated that players run a large percentage of matches below defined HIR
2 thresholds. (5,21,22) A player's ability to run at low and moderate speeds for long periods can
3 increase **overall** work rate, highlighting the importance of defining HIR threshold and
4 suggesting that monitoring of player ability to perform sustained low-intensity work could be
5 another component of performance analysis.

6 Sprinting and the ability to repeat sprinting efforts stress players anaerobically. However,
7 anaerobic capacity varies greatly based on age and competition level. When studying the use
8 of different sprint thresholds in professional women's soccer, Vescovi (23) found that 11% of
9 sprints were in excess of 25.0 km/hr, though previous research in soccer and rugby shows
10 that lower-standard players perform significantly less high-intensity work than international or
11 top-class professional players (13,14). If this threshold were to be used for female players at
12 sub-professional levels, the amount of sprinting during matches could be underestimated.

13 *Positional differences*

14 Another key use of GPS analysis is to assess positional differences within a sport. In field
15 hockey and soccer, positions are generally categorized as forwards, midfielders, and
16 defenders. In rugby, positions are broadly categorized as forwards and backs.

17 One article on international soccer players (10) reported midfielders covering significantly
18 more total distance than defenders, and midfielders covering significantly more distance at
19 high-intensity velocities than both defenders and forwards. Both midfielders and forwards
20 covered significantly more distance at sprinting velocities (>19 km/hr). A study evaluating
21 sprinting in professional women's soccer matches (23) found that forwards completed more
22 sprints per match (43 ± 10) than defenders and midfielders (36 ± 12 and 31 ± 11 , respectively). In
23 NCAA soccer, (21) defenders covered less total distance than forwards and midfielders.
24 Forwards and defenders both covered more distance at sprint speeds than midfielders. In
25 youth soccer, (24) midfielders were found to cover more total distance than defenders,
26 primarily due to more work performed at speeds lower than the HIR threshold. Forwards
27 recorded more distance at sprint speeds and greater peak speeds than midfielders.

28 In field hockey, positional differences in the women's game are less clear. In one study on
29 elite field hockey, (22) forwards had significantly less playing time than defenders, though total
30 distance between all positions was similar. Defenders performed more low-intensity running
31 and had a lower work rate than forwards and midfielders. Based on mean distance travelled,
32 midfielders ran more during match play, though forwards recorded longer distances at sprint
33 speeds and on average reached higher peak speeds. Gabbett (8) found that on average
34 midfielders cover greater total distances during match play, and also covered longer distances
35 at high-intensity velocities (HIR > 18 km/hr). Another study (11) reported defenders covering

1 greater total distances and forwards covering the least, with no differences in mean sprint or
2 high-intensity distance between positions. In a study focusing on sprint performance in field
3 hockey matches, players had similar peak speeds, though forwards recorded higher peak
4 speeds during some matches.

5 Positional differences were not evaluated in the literature on female rugby sevens. However,
6 in rugby union (comprising teams of fifteen players), backs covered significantly more total
7 distance than forwards. Backs also performed more work at higher intensities than forwards
8 (5.4% v 1.4% of total time) (18) which results are in agreement with elite male rugby union
9 data. (6)

10 Differences in running performance indicators between positions in sport have implications for
11 position-specific training, though these may vary by competition level. In soccer and field
12 hockey, midfielders generally run the length of the pitch, switching between defense and
13 offense, so it would seem logical that midfielders cover a greater total distance. Forwards in
14 soccer and field hockey tend to sprint faster and more often than other positions. Defenders
15 might not demonstrate high total distance or high sprint distances due to opposition
16 movement. Rugby backs are expected to run and pass the ball and to score, and so make
17 frequent runs across the pitch accumulating high total distance. Forwards tend to run shorter
18 distances than forwards, instead having a larger strength component for scrums and set
19 pieces. Data from GPS analysis can assist in developing sprint training over sport-specific
20 distances, HIR and repeated sprinting, and aerobic training specific to positions in order to
21 reflect match demands.

22

23 **TRAINING**

24 GPS allows for tracking and analyzing movement patterns during training, where training often
25 occurs away from stadium structures and large numbers of sessions make video analysis
26 time-consuming. Only four peer-reviewed GPS analysis studies have evaluated training
27 sessions in female field sports (2 soccer, 2 field hockey).

28 Gabbett (8) analyzed training sessions of small-sided games and competition performance of
29 an elite women's field hockey team. More low-intensity work occurred during small-sided
30 games compared to competition (~60% of training time v ~35% competition time), and less
31 moderate (10.8 – 18 km/hr) and high-intensity work (>18 km/hr). Another study analyzed
32 small-sided training games in field hockey, assessing the effect of team ranking on training
33 status. (25) In this study, work rate and team ranking were highly correlated (Pearson's $r =$
34 0.95, adjusted $R^2 = 0.87$) with the highest training work rate (~84 m/min) performed by the top-

1 ranked team, however high-intensity running did not have the same relationship with team
2 ranking. Future research might investigate the effect of training work rate on team ranking.

3 Mara et al (12) used GPS to assess training in an elite female soccer team across an entire
4 season. Training session times were not provided, though assuming analyzed sessions were
5 of a similar length throughout the season, a decrease in work rate is inferred through the
6 decrease in total distance from preseason to late season (6646 ± 111 to 4604 ± 110 m,
7 respectively). This is consistent with expected decreases in training demand due to match
8 scheduling, however acceleration and sprint performance also decreased throughout the
9 season as monitored through periodic performance tests. Further understanding of training
10 demands and physical performance indicators and the interaction of these variables
11 throughout a competitive season would help inform coaches on effective periodization
12 strategies specific to the female game.

13 Tan et al (19) studied the relationship of training demands and hemolysis relating to potential
14 iron store depletion in professional female soccer field players ($n = 7$) and goalkeepers ($n =$
15 3). Training effected a similar hemolytic response in field players and goalkeepers, despite
16 GPS analysis showing field players covering significantly ($P < 0.05$) more distance and
17 spending more time at higher speeds than goalkeepers. This would suggest that hemolysis
18 occurs due to goalkeeper-specific training demands such as plyometrics, acceleration within
19 the box, tackles, and frequent landing on the ground to save goals that might be quantified
20 through accelerometry in further studies. This study also found that the work rate of field
21 players in training was significantly less than match work rate (74.5 ± 8.8 and 105.6 ± 9.2 m/min,
22 respectively) which is consistent with differences in work rate between training and match play
23 in field hockey. (25)

24 Although small-sided games are meant to replicate match situations, these studies illustrate a
25 possible lack of adaptation from training to appropriately prepare for match play, as training
26 efforts do not replicate the physiological demands of matches. GPS analysis could be useful
27 for monitoring training and informing coaching and training staff if training goals are being met.

28

29 **CONCLUSIONS AND PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS**

30 Understanding the requirements of field sport competition is a crucial factor in an effective
31 training program if specific training adaptations are to have an optimal transfer to performance
32 and reduce fatigue and subsequent injury. By understanding average work rates during
33 performance (see Table 1), coaches are able to assess an athlete's current level of fitness in
34 relation to the required competition demands. Subsequently, it is expected that on average,

1 female soccer may have greater running demands than other female field sports including
2 field hockey and rugby. The usefulness of designing training based on competition demands
3 may be limited due to the reliance on averaged performance results. Match running demands
4 may therefore be underestimated due to the impact of long active rest periods (walking) on
5 the average work rate calculation. To more accurately reflect match demands, periods of
6 active rest may be removed from the performance duration and work rate determined from
7 periods with a significant physiological demand. Further, it may be possible to convert this
8 newly calculated work rate to m/s to estimate the level of maximal aerobic velocity required to
9 sustain performance without a rapid onset of fatigue. This combined with the use of GPS to
10 monitor training allows for the creation of drills which replicate a similar intensity to competition.

11 Furthermore, the understanding of a performance profile (considering HIR and sprinting
12 efforts) can reduce the impact of averaged data and improve training program specificity.
13 However, as presented in Table 3, there is a lack of agreement on the methods used to
14 determine HIR thresholds and sprints within female field sports with some methods informed
15 from the respective male field sport and from adult data, despite youth participants, a result of
16 limited data in female field sports. While the specific velocities utilized in analysis are expected
17 to be different between sports, as well as individualized between athletes, it is important that
18 a standard definition is used in order to allow inter-study comparisons. However, regardless
19 of these limitations, HIR, sprinting data and work rate should still be utilized to inform training
20 especially with consideration of positional and age-specific differences as demonstrated in
21 soccer and rugby union.

22 It is evident that female performance attributes vary considerably to male counterparts,
23 highlighting the need for more female-specific data. A greater abundance of data combined
24 with more specific determination methods will allow coaches and training staff to develop
25 appropriate practices to enhance competition preparation in female field sports.

26 References

- 27 1. Andersson, HA, Randers, MB, Heiner-Møller, A, Krstrup, P, and Mohr, M. Elite
28 female soccer players perform more high-intensity running when playing in
29 international games compared with domestic league games. *J Strength Cond Res* 24:
30 912–919, 2010.
- 31 2. Barbero-Álvarez, JC, López, MG, Barbero-Álvarez, Verónica Granda, J, and
32 Castagna, C. Heart rate and activity profile for young female soccer players. *J Hum*
33 *Sport Exerc* 3: 1–11, 2008.
- 34 3. Berthon, P, Fellmann, N, Bedu, M, Beaune, B, Dabonneville, M, Coudert, J,
35 Chamoux, A. A 5-min running field test as a measurement of maximal aerobic
36 velocity. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 75: 233–238, 1997.

- 1 4. Bradley, PS and Vescovi, JD. Velocity Thresholds for Women's Soccer Matches: Sex
2 Specificity Dictates High-Speed-Running and Sprinting Thresholds—Female Athletes
3 in Motion (FAiM). *Int J Sport Physiol Perform* 10: 112–116, 2015.
- 4 5. Clarke, AC, Anson, J, and Pyne, D. Physiologically based GPS speed zones for
5 evaluating running demands in Women's Rugby Sevens. *J Sports Sci* 33: 1101-1108,
6 2015.
- 7 6. Cunniffe, B, Proctor, W, Baker, JS, and Davies, B. An evaluation of the physiological
8 demands of elite rugby union using Global Positioning System tracking software. *J*
9 *Strength Cond Res* 23: 1195–1203, 2009.
- 10 7. Dwyer, DB and Gabbett, TJ. Global Positioning System Data Analysis: Velocity
11 Ranges and a New Definition of Sprinting for Field Sport Athletes. *J Strength Cond*
12 *Res* 26: 818–824, 2012.
- 13 8. Gabbett, TJ. GPS analysis of elite women's field hockey training and competition. *J*
14 *Strength Cond Res* 24: 1321-1324, 2010.
- 15 9. Hawkins, RD and Fuller, CW. A prospective epidemiological study of injuries in four
16 English professional football clubs. *Br J Sports Med* 33: 196–203, 1999.
- 17 10. Hewitt, A, Norton, K, and Lyons, K. Movement profiles of elite women soccer players
18 during international matches and the effect of opposition's team ranking. *J Sports Sci*
19 32: 1874–1880, 2014.
- 20 11. Macutkiewicz, D and Sunderland, C. The use of GPS to evaluate activity profiles of
21 elite women hockey players during match-play. *J Sport Sci* 29: 967-973, 2011.
- 22 12. Mara, JK, Thompson, KG, Pumpa, KL, and Ball, NB. Periodization and Physical
23 Performance in Elite Female Soccer Players. *Int J Sport Physiol Perform* 10: 664-669,
24 2015.
- 25 13. Mohr, MA, Krstrup, P, Andersson, H, Kirkendal, D, and Bangsbo, J. Match activities
26 of elite women soccer players at different performance levels. *J Strength Cond Res*
27 22: 341–349, 2008.
- 28 14. Portillo, J, González-Ravé, JM, Juárez, D, García, JM, Suárez-Arrones, L, and
29 Newton, RU. Comparison of Running Characteristics and Heart Rate Response of
30 International and National Female Rugby Sevens Players During Competitive
31 Matches. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 2281-2289, 2014.
- 32 15. Schutz, Y and Chambaz, A. Could a satellite-based navigation system (GPS) be used
33 to assess the physical activity of individuals on earth? *Eur J Clin Nutr* 51: 338–339,
34 1997.
- 35 16. Spencer, M, Bishop, D, Dawson, B, and Goodman, C. Physiological and metabolic
36 responses of repeated-sprint activities: specific to field-based team sports. *Sports Med*
37 35: 1025–1044, 2005.
- 38 17. Suarez-Arrones, L, Nuñez, FJ, Portillo, J, and Mendez-Villanueva, A. Match Running
39 Performance and Exercise Intensity in Elite Female Rugby Sevens. *J Strength Cond*
40 *Res* 26: 1858–1862, 2012.

- 1 18. Suarez-Arrones, L, Portillo, J, Pareja-Blanco, F, De Villareal, ES, Sánchez-Medina, L,
2 and Munguía-Izquierdo, D. Match-play activity profile in elite women's rugby union
3 players. *J Strength Cond Res* 48: 452-458, 2014.
- 4 19. Tan, D, Dawson, B, and Peeling, P. Hemolytic Effects of a Football-Specific Training
5 Session in Elite Female Players. *Int J Sport Physiol Perform* 7: 271-276, 2012.
- 6 20. Vescovi, J and Goodale, T. Physical Demands of Women's Rugby Sevens Matches:
7 Female Athletes in Motion (FAiM) Study. *Int J Sports Med* 36: 887-892, 2015.
- 8 21. Vescovi, JD and Favero, TG. Motion characteristics of women's college soccer
9 matches: Female Athletes in Motion (FAiM) study. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 9:
10 405–414, 2014.
- 11 22. Vescovi, JD and Frayne, DH. Motion Characteristics of Division I College Field
12 Hockey: Female Athletes in Motion (FAiM) Study. *Int J Sport Physiol Perform* 10: 476-
13 481, 2015.
- 14 23. Vescovi, JD. Sprint profile of professional female soccer players during competitive
15 matches: Female Athletes in Motion (FAiM) study. *J Sports Sci* 30: 1259–1265, 2012.
- 16 24. Vescovi, JD. Motion Characteristics of Youth Women Soccer Matches: Female
17 Athletes in Motion (FAiM) Study. *Int J Sports Med* 35: 110–117, 2014.
- 18 25. White, AD and MacFarlane, NG. Contextual effects on activity profiles of domestic
19 field hockey during competition and training. *Hum Mov Sci* 40: 422-431, 2015.

20

21

Table 1 - Reported match work rate and total distance per sport

| References | Year | <i>n</i> | Competition level | Total distance (m) | Work rate (m/min) |
|-----------------------------|------|----------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Soccer | | | | | |
| Tan et al. | 2012 | 7 | Elite | 8533±2449 | 105.6±9.2 |
| Vescovi | 2012 | 79 | Elite | 9997±928 | 111* |
| Hewitt et al. | 2014 | 15 | Elite | 9631±175 | 107* |
| McCormack et al. | 2014 | 10 | Elite | 8953.9±1035.4 | 100* |
| Vescovi and Favero | 2014 | 113 | Elite | 4413 - 5540 m*** | 102* |
| McCormack et al. | 2015 | 10 | Elite | N/A | 118* |
| Vescovi | 2014 | 11 | Elite Youth, U15 | 6961±238 | 86±3 |
| | | 63 | Elite Youth, U16 | 8024±101 | 100±1 |
| | | 15 | Elite Youth, U17 | 8558±223 | 100±3 |
| Barbero-Alvarez et al. | 2008 | 12 | Youth, U13 | 3977.8±324.7**** | 79.3±7.5 |
| Field Hockey | | | | | |
| Gabbett | 2010 | 14 | Elite | 6154 - 6931 (mean, position) | 94* |
| Macutkiewicz and Sunderland | 2011 | 25 | Elite | 5541±1144 | 115* |
| Vescovi and Frayne | 2015 | 68 | Elite | 6062 - 6765 m (mean, position) | 106* |
| Vescovi | 2014 | 44 | High-level, U17 and U21 | 5541 (SD not reported) | 79* |
| Rugby 7s | | | | | |
| Suarez-Arrones et al. | 2012 | 12 | Elite | 1556.2±189.3 | 111* |
| Clarke et al. | 2014 | 22 | Elite | 1204* | 86±7 |
| Portillo et al. | 2014 | 21 | Elite - National | 1363.4±221.8 | 97* |
| | | 29 | Elite - International | 1642.2±171.2 | 117* |
| Clarke et al. | 2015 | 12 | Elite | 916 m (14 min) - 1660 m (Final, 20 min) | 105±4.9** |
| Vescovi and Goodale | 2015 | 13 | Elite - Development | 1252 ± 135 | 91 ± 11 |
| | | 16 | Elite - International | 1468 ± 88 | 95 ± 5 |
| Rugby Union | | | | | |
| Suarez-Arrones et al. | 2014 | 8 | Elite | 5820±512 | 68* |

* Work rate approximated from available data

** Omitting Cup Final match due to longer playing time

*** Distance travelled during 45 minute half

**** Distance travelled during 50 minute match

1

2 **Table 2****Table 2 - Mean maximal speed and highest reported speed during match play**

| Reference | Year | Competitive level | <i>n</i> | Mean maximal speed (km/hr) | Highest reported speed (km/hr) |
|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Soccer | | | | | |
| Vescovi | 2012 | Professional | 79 | 21.8±2.3 | 26.5 |
| McCormack et al | 2014 | Elite | 10 | 27.5** | N/A |
| Vescovi | 2014 | Elite Youth, U15 | 11 | 24.3±0.5 | 25.3 |
| | | Elite Youth, U16 | 63 | 25.6±0.2 | 26.1 |
| | | Elite Youth, U17 | 15 | 25.6±0.5 | 26.6 |
| Barbero-Alvarez et al | 2008 | Youth, U13 | 12 | 19.5*** | |
| Field Hockey | | | | | |
| Vescovi and Frayne | 2015 | Elite | 68 | 22.4* | 25.6 |
| Vescovi | 2014 | High-level, U17 | 24 | 24.2* | 24.6**** |
| | | High-level, U21 | 20 | 24.6* | 25.0**** |
| Rugby Sevens | | | | | |
| Suarez-Arrones et al | 2012 | Elite | 12 | 23.1* | 28.3 |
| Portillo et al | 2014 | Elite - National | 21 | 21.5* | N/A |
| | | Elite - International | 29 | 24.6* | N/A |
| | | Elite - Development | 13 | 26.0±1.5 | 26.8 |
| Vescovi and Goodale | 2015 | Elite - International | 16 | 27.3±0.7 | 27.7 |
| Rugby Union | | | | | |
| Suarez-Arrones et al | 2014 | Elite | 8 | 22.9±2.8 | 27.9 |

*Average maximal speed approximated from reported data

** Reported as 7.64±0.49 m/s

*** SD not reported

**** Mean maximum speed from sprint speed zone >20.0 km/hr

3

Table 3 - Sprint and HIR definitions

| Authors | Year | Level | HIR threshold or range (km/hr) | Sprint threshold or range (km/hr) | HIR and Sprint reference |
|-----------------------------|------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Soccer | | | | | |
| Barbero-Alvarez et al | 2008 | Amateur Youth | 13.1-18 | >18.1 | Male youth soccer (Castagna, 2003) |
| Vescovi | 2014 | High-level | 15.6 - 20.0 | >20.0 | Adult female soccer (Dwyer & Gabbett 2012, Vescovi 2012) |
| Vescovi and Favero | 2014 | Elite/NCAA | 15.6 - 20.0 | >20.0 | Adult female soccer (Dwyer & Gabbett 2012, Vescovi 2012) |
| McCormack et al | 2015 | Elite/NCAA | >13.0* | >22.0 * | No reference provided |
| Hewitt et al | 2014 | Elite | 12 - 19 | >19 | Adult female soccer (Dwyer and Gabbett, 2012) |
| McCormack et al | 2014 | Elite/NCAA | >13.0 | >22.0 | No reference provided |
| Tan et al | 2012 | Elite | >16.0 | N/A | Original - two speed zones, >75% maximum speed from group mean 20-m running times |
| Mara et al | 2015 | Elite | >12.2* | ≥19.4 * | Adult female soccer (Dwyer and Gabbett, 2012) |
| Dwyer and Gabbett | 2012 | Elite | 12.2 - 19.1* | ≥19.4 * | Original - best fit curves based on velocity from match data |
| Field Hockey | | | | | |
| Macutkiewicz and Sunderland | 2011 | Elite | 15.1 - 19.0 | >19.0 | Adult male soccer (Bangsbo, 1992); Adult female hockey (Lothian and Farrally, 1992) |
| Vescovi and Frayne | 2015 | Elite/NCAA | 16.1 - 20.0 | 20.1 - 32.0 | Adult female hockey (Dwyer and Gabbett, 2012) Adult female soccer (Vescovi 2012) |
| Vescovi | 2014 | High-level | N/A | >20.0 | Adult female hockey (Dwyer and Gabbett, 2012) Adult female soccer (Vescovi 2012) |
| White and MacFarlane | 2015 | High-level | >18.0 | N/A | No reference provided |
| Gabbett | 2010 | Elite | 18 - 25.2 * | >25.2* | No reference provided |
| Dwyer and Gabbett | 2012 | Elite | 13.3-19.1 * | ≥19.4 * | Original - best fit curves based on velocity from match data |
| Rugby Sevens | | | | | |
| Suarez-Arrones et al | 2012 | Elite | 18.1 - 20.0 | >20.0 | Adult male rugby union (Cunniffe et al 2009) |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|---|-------------|-------------|--|
| Clarke et al | 2014 | Elite | >18* | >21.6 * | No reference provided |
| Portillo et al | 2014 | Elite - International and National) | 18.1 - 20.0 | >20.0 | Adult male rugby union (Cunniffe et al 2009) |
| Clarke et al | 2015 | Elite/International | >12.6 * | >18.0 * | Original - based on physiological threshold second ventilatory threshold |
| Vescovi and Goodale | 2015 | Elite - International and Developmental | 16.1 - 20.0 | 20.1 - 32.0 | Adult female field hockey (Dwyer and Gabbett, 2012); Adult female soccer (Vescovi, 2012; Bradley and Vescovi, 2015) |
| Rugby Union | | | | | |
| Suarez-Arrones et al | 2014 | Elite | 18.1 - 20.0 | >20.0 | Adult male rugby union (Cunniffe et al 2009), Adult female rugby sevens (Suarez-Arrones et al 2012) |

*Converted from m/s