

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 license:

James, David V ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0805-7453, Munson, Steven C., Maldonado-Martin, Sara and De Ste Croix, Mark B ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9911-4355 (2012) Heart Rate Variability : Effect of Exercise Intensity on Postexercise Response. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 83 (4). pp. 533-539. doi:10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142

Official URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142 EPrint URI: https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/320

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.



This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document:

James, D. V., Munson, S. C., Maldonado-Martin, S., & De Ste Croix, M. B. (2012). Heart rate variability: effect of exercise intensity on postexercise response. *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*, *83*(4), 533-539.

Published in Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, and available online at:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142#.VBxD_JRdX To

We recommend you cite the published (post-print) version.

The URL for the published version is http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

1 ABSTRACT

2 Heart rate variability (HRV) is widely considered as a non-invasive method of 3 evaluating autonomic influence on the cardiac rhythm. Following exercise, 4 through HRV assessment, acute manipulations of autonomic influence have 5 been demonstrated, but the influence of exercise intensity remains unclear. 6 **Objective:** The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of 7 exercise of two different intensities on HRV response following exercise. 8 **Design:** Sixteen runners completed two distance-matched conditions; moderate 9 (90% of the speed at gas exchange threshold, MOD) and severe (1 km.h⁻¹ 10 below the speed at maximal oxygen uptake, SEV) intensity interval training 11 sessions (6 x 800m runs with 3 min recovery intervals) to study post exercise 12 HRV. At one hour prior to (-1 h) and at +1 h, +24 h, +48 h and +72 h following 13 each exercise session participants sat quietly for 20 min whilst breathing at 0.20 14 Hz. Resting HRV data was collected over the final 5 min of each 20 min period, 15 followed by measurement of arterial blood pressure. Results: Time domain 16 indices and high frequency component showed a significant decrease (p<0.001) 17 between -1 h and +1 h in SEV and low frequency component in normalised 18 units significantly increased (p<0.01). Systolic blood pressure significantly 19 decreased (p = 0.001) for SEV at +1 h compared with -1 h. No changes were 20 demonstrated at +24 h, +48 h, +72 h for any outcome or any condition 21 compared with -1 h. **Conclusions:** These findings suggest that severe intensity 22 exercise is required to elicit a change in HRV outcomes post exercise, resulting 23 in a reduction in parasympathetic influence on the heart at +1 h, with values 24 returning to baseline by +24 h.

25

26 Key words:

- 27 Autonomic nervous system; parasympathetic; sympathetic.
- 28
- 29

1 Introduction

2

Heart rate variability (HRV) has been established as a useful non-invasive
method of evaluating autonomic influence on the cardiac rhythm.¹ It is widely
accepted that regular exercise training induces adaptations in HRV (i.e., the
oscillation in the interval between consecutive heartbeats) outcomes with a shift
of autonomic balance towards higher parasympathetic activity, consistent with
improved cardiac health.¹

9

10 Less attention has been paid to the HRV response following a single bout of 11 exercise, but there is a growing body of evidence based on studies of trained athletes, ²⁻⁴ trained students, ⁵ moderately trained, ^{6,7} detrained ⁸ and untrained 12 active participants.^{3,9-12} Findings indicate that single bout of exercise may 13 14 result in an increase in sympathetic influence at one hour post exercise cessation ^{2,3,5,7,9,10,12} and that by 24 h post exercise sympathetic influence 15 returns to baseline levels.^{2,7,12} Such findings are of interest, since an elevated 16 17 sympathetic influence has been associated with increased risk of cardiac events and compromised health. 13-15 18

19

Following an initial suppression of parasympathetic influence at one hour post
exercise cessation, elevated parasympathetic influence has been observed at
48 h following prolonged exercise. ⁴ In contrast, in one study, moderate
intensity exercise has been associated with elevations in parasympathetic
influence within an hour after exercise cessation. ¹¹ This is an interesting
finding, since such changes are known to have a cardio-protective effect. ¹⁶

1 Even though different studies have examined HRV responses at different intensities, ^{7,10,12,17} to the best of our knowledge only one study by Mourot et al 2 3 has directly explored the impact of exercise intensity over 48 h following exercise cessation.⁷ In this study, both the moderate and high intensity 4 5 exercise resulted in reduced parasympathetic influence at one hour following 6 exercise cessation, and there appeared to be no notable differences between 7 exercise intensity condition in the time course of the response up to 48 h post exercise. However, the study by Mourot et al⁷ included a potential confounding 8 9 variable, since participants undertook continuous exercise for the moderate 10 intensity condition and interval exercise for the severe intensity condition. It is 11 therefore difficult to be certain whether the findings were a result of the exercise 12 intensity or exercise type (*i.e.*, continuous versus interval). To our knowledge no 13 previous studies have examined the effect of exercise intensity, using a fixed 14 exercise type, on HRV response.

15

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of exercise of two differing intensities (moderate and severe) on the HRV response post-exercise. Both exercise conditions were applied as interval exercise, and the distance covered was consistent between conditions. We were interested in the nature of the response over 72 h following cessation of exercise, and the contrast in the response following the differing exercise intensities.

23

24

25 Methods

2 Participants

4	Sixteen (14 male; 2 female) experienced (8.8 \pm 5.3 years of training) runners
5	involved in regular endurance training sessions $(3.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ session.wk}^{-1})$
6	volunteered to participate (Table 1). All participants completed a health
7	screening procedure and were fully informed of the nature of the study.
8	Participants then provided written consent to participate. All procedures were
9	approved by the University Research Ethics Committee. Participants had no
10	history of diabetes, hypertension or cardiovascular disease, no symptoms of
11	underlying disease, and received no medication during the course of the study
12	for any diagnosed condition.
13	
14	Study Design
15	
10	
16	A moderate (MOD) and severe (SEV) intensity condition were administered in a
	A moderate (MOD) and severe (SEV) intensity condition were administered in a counterbalanced order within a fully repeated measures design. Both
16	
16 17	counterbalanced order within a fully repeated measures design. Both
16 17 18	counterbalanced order within a fully repeated measures design. Both conditions consisted of six 800 m bouts of running with 3 min recovery intervals.
16 17 18 19	counterbalanced order within a fully repeated measures design. Both conditions consisted of six 800 m bouts of running with 3 min recovery intervals. The MOD was completed at 90% of the velocity at gas exchange threshold (v-
16 17 18 19 20	counterbalanced order within a fully repeated measures design. Both conditions consisted of six 800 m bouts of running with 3 min recovery intervals. The MOD was completed at 90% of the velocity at gas exchange threshold (v-GET). The SEV was completed at a velocity corresponding to 1 km·h ⁻¹ below
16 17 18 19 20 21	counterbalanced order within a fully repeated measures design. Both conditions consisted of six 800 m bouts of running with 3 min recovery intervals. The MOD was completed at 90% of the velocity at gas exchange threshold (v-GET). The SEV was completed at a velocity corresponding to 1 km·h ⁻¹ below the velocity at maximal oxygen uptake (v-VO _{2max}). A minimum of 72 h

One hour prior to (-1 h) and at various intervals following (+1 h, +24 h, +48 h, 1 2 +72 h) each interval exercise session, participants sat guietly for 25 min whilst HRV and blood pressure were recorded. Participants were instructed not to 3 4 consume alcohol or caffeine for 24 h prior to each assessment, and not to 5 consume food or fluid other than water "ad libitum" in the 4 h prior to each 6 assessment. In addition to performing no exercise for 72 h prior to the first 7 assessment, participants were instructed to perform no exercise between 8 subsequent assessments. All tests were conducted at the same time of day for 9 each participant in a comfortable temperature controlled (temperature 19 ± 2.0 10 °C; humidity 34 ± 6 %; barometric pressure 766.2 ± 7.7 mmHg) exercise 11 physiology laboratory. Prior to exposure to the first condition, participants 12 attended the laboratory for a familiarization visit. In addition to familiarizing 13 participants with the procedures for the determination of HRV and blood 14 pressure outcomes, participants' descriptive anthropometric and physiological 15 characteristics were assessed, including the determination v-GET and v-VO_{2max}. 16

17 HRV Outcome Measures

18

According to the Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology ¹ there are a variety of indices that are used to assess HRV, which can be divided into two major categories: time domain indices and frequency domain indices. In the present investigation the included time domain indices are the mean NN interval (MNN) (*i.e.*, normal-to-normal intervals between adjacent QRS complexes), the standard deviation of the NN intervals (SDNN), square root of the mean sum of squares of the differences between adjacent NN intervals (rMSSD), and

1	proportion of pairs of adjacent NN intervals differing by more than 50 ms
2	(pNN50). The included frequency domain indices are: high frequency (HF), HF
3	in normalized units (HF _{nu}), low frequency (LF), LF in normalized units (LF _{nu}),
4	very low frequency (VLF), total power (TP) and LF:HF ratio.
5	
6	Procedures
7	
8	During the HRV assessment points at -1 h, +1 h, +24 h, +48 h and +72 h,
9	participants sat quietly for 20 min and controlled their breathing frequency.
10	Frequency was set at 0.20 Hz (12 breath.min ⁻¹), ¹⁸ with each breath comprising
11	2 s of inspiration and 3 s of expiration. 5 Breathing frequency was paced using
12	a computer-based metronome providing both audio and visual cues.
13	Participants were instructed to maintain a normal depth of breathing throughout.
14	Participants wore a chest strap consisting of two electrodes and a transmitter
15	(T61, Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). The NN interval data were
16	transmitted to a watch receiver (Polar S810i series) by coded short-range
17	telemetry and then to a computer via an infrared interface (Polar Electro Oy,
18	Kempele, Finland) for storage. NN interval data were collected over the final 5
19	min of the 20 min period and stored for subsequent analysis. The data were
20	presented graphically and visually inspected to identify any spurious beats. No
21	spurious beats were identified, and this was confirmed with the error detection
22	algorithm in the Precision Performance analysis programme (Polar Electro Oy,
23	Kempele, Finland) which filters the data using median and moving average
24	based methods in order to identify artefacts in the signal. During a further 5 min
25	period, participants continued to sit quietly whilst three repeat measurements of

arterial blood pressure were made using a clinical grade automated
 sphygmomanometry (DynaPulse DP-200M, Pulse Metric Inc., San Diego,
 U.S.A) according to the approach of Forjaz et al. ¹⁹ with two minutes between
 each measurement. Collected data were transmitted via the communication
 cable to a computer for storage and analysis (DynaPulse software version 3.8).

6

7 The progressive exercise test and the two interval exercise sessions were 8 conducted on a motorised treadmill (Ergo ELG 70, Woodway, Weil am Rhein, 9 Germany) and for participant comfort laboratory air was circulated using a floor 10 mounted fan. Prior to the progressive exercise test participants undertook a five 11 minute warm-up at a self selected speed below v-GET and completed their 12 personal stretching routine. In accordance with the recommendations of Buchfuhrer et al.²⁰ starting speed was selected so that exhaustion was 13 observed at 10 ± 2 min. Participants initiated running when the treadmill had 14 reached the pre-determined start speed of 8 km h⁻¹. Following two minutes at 15 the first speed, treadmill speed increased 1.2 km·h⁻¹·min⁻¹ (0.16 km·h⁻¹ every 8 16 17 s) at a 0% gradient. The progressive exercise test was used to determine VO_{2max}, v-VO_{2max}, GET, v-GET and HR_{max}. Throughout the test HR was 18 19 measured using a two-electrode chest strap and data was transmitted by coded 20 short-range telemetry to a watch receiver (S810i series, Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland) for storage. During the progressive test, expirate was 21 collected continuously and analysed using a conventional Douglas bag 22 technique.²¹ The procedure for determination of VO_{2max}, GET and the 23 associated velocities has been described previously.²¹ 24

25

3 Prior to analysis, the NN interval time-series were interpolated at a rate of 4 Hz 4 and detrended using the smoothness priors approach. NN interval data were 5 analysed using HRV Analysis Software (version 1.1 for Windows, Biomedical Signal Analysis Group, University of Kuopio, Finland).²² A continuous five 6 7 minute data segment was selected for analysis consistent with previous studies ^{5,11,23} since spectral approaches are conventionally performed on stationary 8 records of 200-500 consecutive heart beats.²⁴ NN intervals were at a steady 9 level which is a requirement for the application of spectral analysis.²⁵ Power 10 11 spectrum analysis was undertaken using the autoregressive method. 12 Autoregressive coefficients were estimated using the forward-backward-linearleast-squares-algorithm with a fixed model order of 18. Frequency bands were 13 selected conventionally¹: VLF 0.00-0.04 Hz; LF 0.04-0.16 Hz and HF 0.16-0.40 14 15 Hz. TP and LF:HF ratio was also computed. The LF and HF power 16 components were also expressed using normalized units (nu) providing a relative value for each power component.¹ The normalization procedure 17 requires dividing either HF or LF component (ms²) by total spectral power (TP) 18 minus the VLF component (ms²)¹ which results in a dimensionless ratio.⁵ 19 Normalization is suggested to result in a reduction of the effect total power 20 changes would have on LF and HF components.^{1,26} HRV outcomes in the 21 frequency domain are not normally distributed ²⁷ so, consistent with the 22 approach of Bernardi et al.² and James et al.,⁵ these data were transformed 23 24 using the natural logarithmic function prior to statistical analysis. The 25 logarithmic transformation provides data that meets the assumptions required

for parametric statistical analysis. ¹¹ Consistent with James et al., ⁵ all data are
presented as mean (68% confidence interval) as it is not possible to 'backtransform' a log transformed standard deviation into the original measurement
unit. The presentation of data as mean (68% confidence interval) is consistent
with the normal convention of presenting data as mean (one standard
deviation).

7

8 Interactions between condition (exercise intensity; SEV or MOD) and time (-1 h, 9 +1 h, +24 h, +48 h, +72 h) were explored using 2 x 5 fully repeated measures 10 ANOVA. Whether or not a significant interaction was present, we were also 11 interested in main effects for time, since we were interested in the response 12 following each condition. One-way repeated measures ANOVA were 13 conducted for each condition to examine effects over time. When significant 14 main effects were observed, post-hoc t-tests were conducted to locate the 15 differences. Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05. 16

17 Results

18

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. The heart rate responses to the moderate and severe interval exercise bouts are shown in Figure 1. The mean (68% confidence interval) heart rate during the moderate exercise demonstrated a slight increase from 131 (123-139) to 139 (128-150) b·min⁻¹ across the six 800 m bouts (i.e., 68-72 %HR_{max}). Heart rate during the severe exercise increased significantly (p < 0.01) from 165 (156-174) to 178 (167-189) b.min⁻¹ across the six bouts (i.e., 86-93% HR_{max}).

Data for the time domain and frequency domain HRV parameters are presented
in Table 2 and 3, respectively.

4

5 Time domain outcomes. Interactions between condition and time were 6 revealed for SDNN, rMSSD and pNN50, but not for MNN. Post-hoc analysis 7 revealed lower values for SEV than MOD at +1 h (p < 0.01) for all time domain 8 outcomes (MNN, 11%; SDNN 31%; rMSSD 12%; pNN50 55%). Main effects 9 for time were revealed for SEV for all time domain outcomes (p < 0.001) but not 10 for MOD. Compared to the values at -1 h, values at +1 h were significantly (p < 111 0.001) decreased for SEV condition (MNN, 20%; SDNN, 39%; rMSSD, 54%; 12 and pNN50, 68%) but values returned to baseline levels by +24 h (Table 2). 13 14 **Frequency domain outcomes**. A significant interaction (p = 0.001) between 15 condition and time was observed for TP, with the value at +1 h significantly 16 lower (50%, p = 0.003) for SEV (404ms²) than MOD (810ms²).

17

18 A main effect for time for SEV was revealed for TP (p < 0.001), HF_{ms}^{2} (p <

19 0.001), LF_{nu} (p = 0.003) and HF_{nu} (p = 0.021), with post-hoc analysis revealing a

20 significant decrease for TP (64%, p < 0.001), HF_{ms}^{2} (78%, p < 0.001) and HF_{nu}

21 (47%, p = 0.008) respectively, and a significant increase for LF_{nu} (42%, p =

22 0.007) between -1 h and +1 h, but all of these values had returned to baseline

23 by +24 h. No condition by time interaction was revealed (Table 3).

No interaction between condition and time, and no main effects for time were
 revealed for the LF: HF ratio (Table 3).

4	Blood pressure outcomes. Although no interaction was revealed between
5	condition and time for either systolic or diastolic blood pressures, a main effect
6	for time was revealed for systolic blood pressure for SEV exercise, with post
7	hoc analysis indicating a significant decrease (7%, $p = 0.001$) at +1h compared
8	with -1h but values had returned to baseline by +24 h (Table 4).
9	
10	
11	Discussion
12	
13	Although an increasing number of studies have investigated the HRV response
14	following a single bout of exercise, the majority of these studies have included
15	either one exercise intensity, ^{5,8,9,11} or those that have included different
16	intensities ^{7,10,12,17} have not assessed HRV after long term recovery (i.e., >24h).
17	This growing body of evidence is further compounded, for comparative
18	purposes, by the diverse range of exercise bouts and the methodological
19	differences between the studies. Therefore, to our knowledge, the present
20	study is the first to explore the influence of exercise intensity alone on the post-
21	exercise HRV response in a controlled design.
22	
23	The findings of the present study suggest that severe intensity exercise reduces
24	indicators of parasympathetic influence on the heart (MNN, SDNN, rMSSD,
25	pNN50, HF, HF_{nu}) and increases an indicator of sympathetic influence on the

heart (LF_{nu}) at +1 h following the exercise bout. Interestingly, these changes
were observed in the presence of a reduction in systolic blood pressure. All
changes were reversed by +24 h, and values remained constant at +24h, +48 h
and + 72 h at the baseline (i.e., -1 h) level.

5

An increase in sympathetic influence on the heart between 30 min and one-hour post exercise cessation has been noted in a number of studies. 2,3,7,9 A decrease in parasympathetic influence on the heart between 30 min and onehour post exercise cessation has also been noted in a number of studies with a decrease in the HF component of the total power spectrum consistently observed. 2,3,5,7,9 Reductions in other indicators of parasympathetic influence have also been observed, including HF_{nu} 3,9 and SDNN. 2,5

13

14 It is possible that the elevation in sympathetic influence and accompanying 15 reduction in parasympathetic influence on the heart at one-hour post severe 16 intensity exercise cessation in the present study was a compensatory response 17 to a reduced systolic blood pressure. A reduced systolic blood pressure at one 18 hour following exercise cessation has been reported previously in normotensive participants.²⁸ It is interesting that, even in the presence of an increased 19 20 sympathetic influence and an associated decrease in MNN in the present study, 21 blood pressure was not restored to baseline values. We cannot be sure that the 22 increased heart rate (i.e., reduced MNN) provided an increased cardiac output, 23 so the shortfall in systolic blood pressure at one hour is either a result of 24 reduced stroke volume (and therefore cardiac output) or reduced vascular 25 resistance. A reduced stroke volume at rest has been reported following a

single bout of exercise, ²⁹ although typically such reductions have been
 demonstrated following very prolonged exercise. ²⁹ Reduced arterial
 resistance, as indicated by increased arterial diameter, has also been reported
 at one-hour post exercise. ³⁰

5

6 In many of the previous studies in this area, it is difficult to determine the exact 7 exercise intensity from the information provided, not least due to the lack of data 8 regarding the physiological response to the exercise bout. Perhaps this is not 9 surprising, given that these studies were not primarily designed to compare the responses following exercise of differing intensity. Mourot et al ⁷ compared 10 continuous and interval exercise where the work done was fixed at 9.4 kJ.kg⁻¹. 11 In the present study, interval exercise was conducted at 1 km.h⁻¹ below the 12 13 velocity at VO_{2max} (i.e., severe intensity) and 90% of the velocity at GET (i.e., 14 moderate intensity), respectively. It was important to ensure that the exercise 15 that took place was genuinely of a moderate and severe intensity respectively, 16 thereby ensuring significantly different exercise intensities in the two conditions. 17 The physiological responses to exercise at these two intensities confirmed the 18 differing nature of the physiological challenge, where 86-93 %HR_{max} was 19 attained in the severe intensity exercise condition as opposed to 68-72 %HR_{max} 20 in the moderate condition. It was considered particularly important to ensure 21 that the moderate intensity condition was completed at a speed below that 22 attained at gas exchange threshold.

23

The findings of the present study have implications for practice. In particular, it appears that severe intensity exercise may acutely alter the autonomic

1 influence on the heart post-exercise towards a greater sympathetic influence. 2 This suggests that for the duration of this changed autonomic influence, it is 3 likely that the exercise participant is at an increased risk of cardiac events such 4 as arrhythmias. Although it is well recognised that the acute risks of conducting 5 high intensity exercise are far outweighed by the chronic benefits of regular moderate exercise in the healthy population, ³¹ a cautious approach might be 6 7 necessary in higher risk populations particularly when exercise intensity 8 exceeds a moderate intensity. It is also important to note that in the present 9 study, a moderate intensity exercise bout had no influence on post-exercise 10 HRV at +1 h compared with baseline values. It would therefore appear that 11 moderate intensity exercise (86-93 %HR_{max}) may present less of an acute risk. 12

13 In summary, the findings of the present study demonstrate a clear intensity 14 effect on the post-exercise heart rate variability response, where severe 15 intensity exercise results in a reduced parasympathetic influence on heart 16 rhythm at one hour after exercise cessation. In contrast, moderate intensity 17 exercise results in no change in either sympathetic or parasympathetic 18 influence on the heart. Future studies should explore the time course of the 19 heart rate variability response following severe intensity exercise, particularly 20 between one hour and 24 h following exercise cessation.

21

1		
2		
3		
4		Reference List
5		
6	(1)	Electrophysiology Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology.
7		The North American Society of Pacing. Heart Rate Variability. Heart Rate
8		Variability : Standards of Measurement, Physiological Interpretation, and
9		Clinical Use. Circulation 1996;93:1043-65.
10	(2)	Bernardi L, Passino C, Robergs R, Appenzeller O. Acute and persistent
11		effects of a 46-kilometer wilderness trail run at altitude: cardiovascular
12		autonomic modulation and baroreflexes. Cardiovasc Res 1997;34:273-
13		80.
14	(3)	Furlan R, Piazza S, Dell'Orto S et al. Early and late effects of exercise
15		and athletic training on neural mechanisms controlling heart rate.
16		Cardiovasc Res 1993;27:482-8.
17	(4)	Hautala A, Tulppo MP, Makikallio TH, Laukkanen R, Nissila S, Huikuri
18		HV. Changes in cardiac autonomic regulation after prolonged maximal
19		exercise. Clin Physiol 2001;21:238-45.

1	(5)	James DV, Barnes AJ, Lopes P, Wood DM. Heart rate variability:
2		response following a single bout of interval training. Int J Sports Med
3		2002;23:247-51.

4	(6)	James DV, Reynolds LJ, Maldonado-Martin S. Influence of the duration
5		of a treadmill walking bout on heart rate variability at rest in physically
6		active women. J Phys Act Health 2010;7:95-101.

7	(7) Mourot L, Bouhaddi M, Tordi N, Rouillon JD, Regnard J. Short- and long-
8	term effects of a single bout of exercise on heart rate variability:
9	comparison between constant and interval training exercises. Eur J Appl
10	Physiol 2004;92:508-17.

- (8) Raczak G, Pinna GD, La Rovere MT et al. Cardiovagal response to
 acute mild exercise in young healthy subjects. Circ J 2005;69:976-80.
- 13 (9) Heffernan KS, Kelly EE, Collier SR, Fernhall B. Cardiac autonomic
- 14 modulation during recovery from acute endurance versus resistance
- 15 exercise. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2006;13:80-6.
- (10) Parekh A, Lee CM. Heart rate variability after isocaloric exercise bouts of
 different intensities. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2005;37:599-605.

(11) Pober DM, Braun B, Freedson PS. Effects of a single bout of exercise on
 resting heart rate variability. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004;36:1140-8.

3	(12)	Terziotti P, Schena F, Gulli G, Cevese A. Post-exercise recovery of
4		autonomic cardiovascular control: a study by spectrum and cross-
5		spectrum analysis in humans. Eur J Appl Physiol 2001;84:187-94.

6	(13)	Dekker JM, Schouten EG, Klootwijk P, Pool J, Swenne CA, Kromhout D.
7		Heart rate variability from short electrocardiographic recordings predicts
8		mortality from all causes in middle-aged and elderly men. The Zutphen
9		Study. Am J Epidemiol 1997;145:899-908.

- (14) Priori SG, Aliot E, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C et al. Task Force on Sudden
 Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J
 2001;22:1374-450.
- (15) Tsuji H, Larson MG, Venditti FJ, Jr. et al. Impact of reduced heart rate
 variability on risk for cardiac events. The Framingham Heart Study.
 Circulation 1996;94:2850-5.
- 16 (16) Lown B, Verrier RL. Neural activity and ventricular fibrillation. N Engl J
 17 Med 1976;294:1165-70.

1	(17)	Seiler S, Haugen O, Kuffel E. Autonomic recovery after exercise in
2		trained athletes: intensity and duration effects. Med Sci Sports Exerc
3		2007;39:1366-73.
4	(18)	Strano S, Lino S, Calcagnini G et al. Respiratory sinus arrhythmia and
5		cardiovascular neural regulation in athletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc
6		1998;30:215-9.
U		
7	(19)	Forjaz CL, Matsudaira Y, Rodrigues FB, Nunes N, Negrao CE. Post-
8		exercise changes in blood pressure, heart rate and rate pressure product
9		at different exercise intensities in normotensive humans. Braz J Med Biol
10		Res 1998;31:1247-55.
11	(20)	Buchfuhrer MJ, Hansen JE, Robinson TE, Sue DY, Wasserman K,
12		Whipp BJ. Optimizing the exercise protocol for cardiopulmonary
13		assessment. J Appl Physiol 1983;55:1558-64.
14	(21)	James DV, Sandals LE, Draper SB, Wood DM. Relationship between
15		maximal oxygen uptake and oxygen uptake attained during treadmill
16		middle-distance running. J Sports Sci 2007;25:851-8.
17	(22)	Niskanen JP, Tarvainen MP, Ranta-Aho PO, Karjalainen PA. Software
18		for advanced HRV analysis. Comput Methods Programs Biomed
19		2004;76:73-81.

1	(23)	Winsley RJ, Battersby GL, Cockle HC. Heart rate variability assessment
2		of overreaching in active and sedentary females. Int J Sports Med
3		2005;26:768-73.

4	(24) Cerutti S, Bianchi AM, Mainardi LT. Spectral Analysis of the Heart Rate
5	Variability Signal. In: Malik M, Camm AJ, eds. Heart Rate Variability. NY:
6	Futura Publishing Company 1995:63-74.

7	(25)	Brenner IK, Thomas S, Shephard RJ. Autonomic regulation of the
8		circulation during exercise and heat exposure. Inferences from heart rate
9		variability. Sports Med 1998;26:85-99.

- 10 (26) Pagani M, Lombardi F, Guzzetti S et al. Power spectral analysis of heart 11 rate and arterial pressure variabilities as a marker of sympatho-vagal 12 interaction in man and conscious dog. Circ Res 1986;59:178-93.
- 13 (27) Bigger JT, Jr., Fleiss JL, Steinman RC, Rolnitzky LM, Kleiger RE,
- Rottman JN. Correlations among time and frequency domain measures 14
- of heart period variability two weeks after acute myocardial infarction. Am 15
- J Cardiol 1992;69:891-8. 16
- 17 (28) MacDonald J, MacDougall J, Hogben C. The effects of exercise intensity 18 on post exercise hypotension. J Hum Hypertens 1999;13:527-31.

1	(29) Whyte GP, George K, Sharma S et al. Cardiac fatigue following
2	prolonged endurance exercise of differing distances. Med Sci Sports
3	Exerc 2000;32:1067-72.

4	(30)	Studinger P, Lenard Z, Kovats Z, Kocsis L, Kollai M. Static and dynamic
5		changes in carotid artery diameter in humans during and after strenuous
6		exercise. J Physiol 2003;550:575-83.

7	(31) Balady GJ, Chaitman B, Driscoll D et al. Recommendations for
8	cardiovascular screening, staffing, and emergency policies at
9	health/fitness facilities. Circulation 1998;97:2283-93.