



This is a peer-reviewed, final published version of the following document, © 2025 Eastwood, Edwards, Ingram, Ayre, Fielke and Renwick. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 license:

Eastwood, Callum, Edwards, John Paul, Ingram, Julie ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0712-4789>, Ayre, Margaret, Fielke, Simon and Renwick, Alan (2025) Editorial: On-farm implementation of transformative technologies and practices for sustainability transitions in agriculture. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 9. doi:doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1616512

Official URL: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1616512>

DOI: doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1616512

EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/15043>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Ademola Braimoh,
World Bank Group, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Callum R. Eastwood
✉ callum.eastwood@dairynz.co.nz

RECEIVED 23 April 2025
ACCEPTED 24 April 2025
PUBLISHED 08 May 2025

CITATION
Eastwood CR, Edwards JP, Ingram J, Ayre M,
Fielke S and Renwick A (2025) Editorial:
On-farm implementation of transformative
technologies and practices for sustainability
transitions in agriculture.
Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 9:1616512.
doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2025.1616512

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 Eastwood, Edwards, Ingram, Ayre,
Fielke and Renwick. This is an open-access
article distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC
BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in
other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication
in this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: On-farm implementation of transformative technologies and practices for sustainability transitions in agriculture

Callum R. Eastwood^{1*}, John Paul Edwards¹, Julie Ingram²,
Margaret Ayre³, Simon Fielke⁴ and Alan Renwick^{5,6}

¹DairyNZ, Hamilton, New Zealand, ²Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, ³School of Agriculture, Food and Ecosystem Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia, ⁴Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Canberra, ACT, Australia, ⁵Department of Global Value Chains and Trade, Faculty of Agribusiness and Commerce, Lincoln University, Lincoln, New Zealand, ⁶Centre of Excellence in Transformative Agribusiness, Lincoln University, Lincoln, New Zealand

KEYWORDS

sustainability, digitalization, agroecology, diversification, uncertainty

Editorial on the Research Topic

On-farm implementation of transformative technologies and practices for sustainability transitions in agriculture

There is increased pressure on agri-food sectors globally to transition to more sustainable food systems (Klerkx and Begemann, 2020). Transformative technology and practices advocated by scholars and policy makers include digitalization and automation (Ingram et al., 2022; Kukk et al., 2022), agroecological systems (Wezel et al., 2020), diversification (Roesch-McNally et al., 2018), de-intensification, local food systems, circularity (Velasco-Muñoz et al., 2022; Bracke et al., 2023), transformative value chains (Mechri et al., 2023), and land-use change for net zero. These practice changes ultimately need to be implemented at a farm scale, but the implications will impact regional and global food systems.

Theories of transformative agricultural technologies and practices are widely researched and modeled. However, greater scholarly focus is required on the farm system level implications, including the positive and negative effects on the livelihoods of those being urged to change (Vermeulen et al., 2018). Transformation can be a complex process that intersects land, livelihoods, and security of the wider food supply system. Moving from theoretical concepts to reality in farming systems can be difficult to implement for farmers due to unforeseen implications (Romera et al., 2020). Better understanding of farmer experiences is needed for effective and viable transformation.

This Research Topic includes nine articles related to innovation processes, land use transitions, roles of advisors, application of agroecological practices, and farmer perspectives in change processes. The research represents regional contexts including Kenya, Australia, the UK, the Netherlands, Ghana, and the Philippines.

Farmers or land managers are at the front line of both sustainability challenges and societal pressure to change approaches to agricultural production. The process of change

can involve significant uncertainty and risk, therefore empirical studies of novel practices are vital to provide farmers with evidence and knowledge of what change processes actually involve including associated challenges and benefits. [Juventia and van Apeldoorn](#) note that there are limited empirical studies related to diversification using intercropping. Their study evaluated the edge effects of strip cropping in the Netherlands and highlights the importance of practical management considerations when designing crop systems. More broadly, in their research of agroecological design in Kenya, [Kuria et al.](#) show that it is vital to understand constraints to agroecological transition that are context-specific, from soil and water constraints to implications of household dynamics. While including farmers in co-design and experimentation is critical to achieving positive change, [Stone et al.](#) highlight that this approach will only lead to sustainable transition if integrated in governance frameworks and value chains that support the farm systems. They also note that care is needed to ensure collaboration does not only involve more privileged farmers while excluding those who have the biggest impediments to change.

[Dumas et al.](#), in their study of Ghanaian agroforestry transitions, highlight the role of blocking mechanisms, such as institutional frameworks with land tenure security, uncertainty related to long-term investments, and lack of financial resources or access to finance of upfront investments. Farmer knowledge, confidence in managing new systems, and negative past experiences are important in such changes. Through a study of an innovative tiller device for Laboy fields in the Philippines, [Manalo et al.](#) examined scaling ingredients and highlight that while popular, some components of land practice change may not thrive if specific conditions are not met. They also propose the role of altruism in successful scaling, an important insight deserving further research attention. Acceleration and sustainable scaling of agroecological integration for regreening in Kenya ‘requires tools and processes that foster responsive external support for community empowerment, agency, and action’ according to [Fuchs et al.](#) Transformation agendas need to be driven by local communities to ensure sustainable change.

Critical to scaling are farm advisors and extension networks. [Jakku et al.](#) highlight the role of advisors in longer term decision making for farmers, in a context of regional uncertainties in a changing climate. The authors show how advisors can be important trusted intermediaries for information and change practices, especially in contested spaces such as climate change, as they can make personal connections, and help understand regionally specific and long-term climate trends. [Nettle et al.](#) examine how smart farming technologies (SFTs) are changing the nature of work for advisors in the UK and Australia and present new insights on the bifurcation and specialization of roles, leading to increased importance of intermediaries, and those advisors engaging in “digiwork.”

Digital transformation was investigated by [Jakku et al.](#) and [Yeo and Keske](#). The latter used technology acceptance theory to show that “trust operates as a moderating factor to the desire for economic returns” in farmer decisions on technology investments. Increasing adoption of digital tools is therefore not just about theoretical financial benefits. However, without digital technologies

presenting value to smaller scale farm businesses, these farms may be further marginalized.

[Stone et al.](#) examined the process of fostering agricultural transition and emphasize that farmers make decisions related to their specific context and should not be considered a homogenous group. [Kuria et al.](#), [Manalo et al.](#), and [Stone et al.](#) highlight that change is a nuanced process which can be incremental and/or transformative and there needs to be consideration of where the burden of change falls, as it is often placed upon the farmer. They call for rebalancing the burden across governance, food and farm systems. [Nettle et al.](#) also question where the responsibility lies for building advisory capacity to support transitions with smart farming technologies.

From this Research Topic we propose the following research agenda:

- What are benefits or costs and operational implications experienced by farmers when implementing transformative technologies and practices?
- What are the linkages between transformational practices and positive sustainability outcomes?
- How is transformation addressed in a context of deep uncertainty?
- How is responsible innovation enacted in farm-level transformations?
- What is the role of advisory networks that farmers require during transformative change?
- What are implications for advisors with respect to their work, roles, capacities and businesses?
- How can we improve our methodologies for assessing socioeconomic and sustainability impacts of farm system transformation and on-farm digitalization?

Author contributions

CE: Project administration, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Investigation. JE: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Project administration. JI: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Project administration. MA: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Investigation. SF: Project administration, Writing – review & editing, Investigation. AR: Project administration, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. At the time of writing, CE and JE were funded by New Zealand dairy farmers through DairyNZ Inc, Grant DNZ6384.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Bracke, M. B. M., Boumans, I. J. M. M., Nijland, H. J., and Bokkers, E. A. M. (2023). Review: Connecting circularity to animal welfare calls for a 'novel' conceptual framework based on integrity. *Animal* 17:100694. doi: 10.1016/j.animal.2022.100694
- Ingram, J., Maye, D., Bailie, C., Barnes, A., Bear, C., Bell, M., et al. (2022). What are the priority research questions for digital agriculture? *Land Use Policy* 114:105962. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105962
- Klerkx, L., and Begemann, S. (2020). Supporting food systems transformation: the what, why, who, where and how of mission-oriented agricultural innovation systems. *Agric. Syst.* 184:102901. doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102901
- Kukk, M., Pöder, A., and Viira, A.-., H. (2022). The role of public policies in the digitalisation of the agri-food sector. A systematic review. *NJAS* 94, 217–248. doi: 10.1080/27685241.2022.2147870
- Mechri, A., Hanisch, M., and Hänke, H. (2023). The transformative value chain: rethinking food system interventions. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 7:1149054. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2023.1149054
- Roesch-McNally, G. E., Arbuckle, J. G., and Tyndall, J. C. (2018). Barriers to implementing climate resilient agricultural strategies: the case of crop diversification in the U.S. Corn Belt. *Global Environ. Change* 48, 206–215. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.12.002
- Romera, A. J., Bos, A. P., Neal, M., Eastwood, C. R., Chapman, D., McWilliam, W., et al. (2020). Designing future dairy systems for New Zealand using reflexive interactive design. *Agric. Syst.* 181:102818. doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102818
- Velasco-Muñoz, J. F., Aznar-Sánchez, J. A., López-Felices, B., and Román-Sánchez, I. M. (2022). Circular economy in agriculture. An analysis of the state of research based on the life cycle. *Sustain. Prod. Consumpt.* 34, 257–270. doi: 10.1016/j.spc.2022.09.017
- Vermeulen, S. J., Dinesh, D., Howden, S. M., Cramer, L., and Thornton, P. K. (2018). Transformation in practice: a review of empirical cases of transformational adaptation in agriculture under climate change. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 2:65. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2018.00065
- Wezel, A., Herren, B. G., Kerr, R. B., Barrios, E., Gonçalves, A. L. R., Sinclair, F., et al. (2020). Agroecological principles and elements and their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 40:40. doi: 10.1007/s13593-020-00646-z