

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following in press document:

Brain, Jonathan ORCID: 0009-0007-9978-099X, Hunter, Heather ORCID: 0000-0001-9863-2230, Franklin, George H. ORCID: 0000-0002-6825-7975, Quartiroli, Alessandro ORCID: 0000-0001-6488-4210, Wagstaff, Christopher R. D. ORCID: 0000-0002-5513-6015 and Brown, Daniel J ORCID: 0000-0002-2210-3225 (2024) Two Confessional Tales of Trainee Sport Psychology Practitioners' Experiences of Operating in Trinidad and Tobago. The Sports Psychologist. doi:10.1123/tsp.2024-0018 (In Press)

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from The Sports Psychologist, 2024, https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2024-0018]. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

Official URL: https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2024-0018 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2024-0018

EPrint URI: https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/14503

#### **Disclaimer**

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

1	Two Confessional Tales of Trainee Sport Psychology Practitioners' Experiences of
2	Operating in Trinidad and Tobago
3	
4	Jonathan Brain*
5	University of Portsmouth
6	
7	Heather Hunter
8	University of Portsmouth
9	University of Gloucestershire
10	
11	George H. Franklin
12	University of Portsmouth
13	
14	Alessandro Quartiroli
15	University of Portsmouth
16	University of Wisconsin - La Crosse
17	
18	Christopher R.D. Wagstaff
19	Daniel J. Brown
20	University of Portsmouth
21	
22	This manuscript was accepted for publication in The Sport Psychologist journal on
23	05/08/2024.
24	
25	*Corresponding author: [Jonathan Brain]

26	Abstract
27	We present two confessional tales of our transnational experience as UK-based
28	trainee Sport Psychology Practitioners when working in a professional sport organisation in
29	Trinidad and Tobago. We first provide contextual elements of our placement before sharing
30	the confessional tales regarding the nuances of providing sport psychology services in a
31	cultural context different to the one we are currently training in. Within the confessional
32	tales, we share some challenges and hurdles we experienced relating to our culturally led
33	assumptions. The tales are titled "Boundary Issues" and "Punctuality is a Privilege". We then
34	share some reflections in which we explore our lessons learned about cultural humility and
35	describe our underestimation of our cultural arrogance, and the challenges experienced
36	during our cultural reflection process. We conclude this professional practice paper by
37	offering several practical implications for trainees and qualified sport psychology
38	practitioners to consider when developing a culturally grounded approach to practice.
39	
40	Keywords: Arrogance, Cultural Humility, Euro-American Centrism, Professional
41	Development, Reflexivity, Transnational Placement
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	

50

51

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

# Two Confessional Tales of Trainee Sport Psychologists Practitioners' Experiences of

**Operating in Trinidad and Tobago** 

## The increased internationalisation and globalisation of sport has led the industry to 52 become culturally diverse, with athletes (Agergaard & Ryba, 2014) and sports professionals

(i.e., coaches, managers, and sport medicine staff; Quartiroli et al., 2021) migrating to

different parts of the globe to pursue their careers. Since athletes, coaches, and support staff

hold different intersecting identities, Sport Psychology Practitioners (SPPs) must consider

the role of cultural diversity within their practice when working with individuals from various

multinational and cultural backgrounds (Ryba et al., 2024). Scholars have described culture

as a complex, multifaceted and developmental construct rooted in internal processes and

social interactions, whereby a person's identity is shaped by significant social dynamics and

their environment (Quartiroli et al., 2021a). Despite some SPPs recognising the importance of

developing cultural awareness and sensitivity to enhance the chances of effective service

delivery (Quartiroli et al., 2021), their approach to practice is often guided by frameworks

grounded in Euro-centric and westernised values, beliefs, and worldviews (Ryba & Schinke,

2009). When working with clients from diverse backgrounds, traditional Euro-Western-

centred theories of practice in psychology may not be as effective or applicable (Sue, 2001),

highlighting the importance of SPPs deliberately developing a more culturally grounded

approach to practice (Ryba et al., 2024).

In recent years, scholars have advocated for SPPs to honour the beliefs, customs, and values of others as well as to reflect on one's own cultural heritage, values, and biases to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this manuscript, the authors used the term, "Sport Psychology Practitioner(s)" [SPP(s)], to depict professionals engaged in sport psychology applied practice, regardless of their formal qualifications (e.g., licensed, registered, chartered, certified) as professional qualifications vary among countries and could include or exclude the title "psychologist".

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

develop what is known as cultural humility (Perelman & Reel, 2022). Cultural humility has been conceptualised as a repackaging of components related to cultural competence and antioppressive practices (Danso, 2018). Despite being used as a framework for respecting cultural diversity and differences for many years (Sue, 2006), the notion of cultural competence has recently been challenged for its focus on skill mastery and knowledge acquisition instead of accountability and advocacy. Thus, the concept of cultural humility moves away from an emphasis on achieving cultural competency or mastery of another person's culture (Fisher-Borne et al., 2015), to a stance that acknowledges the need for an endless process of self-discovery and self-improvement (Krane & Waldron, 2021). Rather than focusing on avoiding appearing "incompetent", cultural humility encourages SPPs to embrace their discomfort and is an essential process for professional growth and accountability (Hook et al., 2017). A culturally humble approach to practice can help practitioners build their awareness of the way they interact with others, and reduce the impact of potential implicit biases (Hook et al., 2013), and remain mindful of the structural and systematic dynamics that shape individual experiences (Fisher-Borne et al., 2015). Taken collectively, cultural humility appears a concept that has high relevance for SPPs' work with increasingly diverse client groups.

Despite the potential value that cultural humility holds for practitioner development and practitioner-client relations, current training routes do not appear to reflect this worth (Lee, 2015). A way in which trainee sport psychology practitioners (tSPPs) can begin to understand and develop more cultural humility in their practice is through transnational experiences (Quartiroli et al., 2021b). Yet, to the best of our knowledge, there are currently no published reflective accounts documenting tSPPs' experiences of operating within a different cultural context from their own. We aim to address this gap through the current professional practice paper and hope that by sharing our experiences, we highlight the value

that transnational experiences can have in tSPPs' development and the importance of considering cultural humility as part of their training to enhance service delivery.

Additionally, due to supervisors' crucial role in supporting tSPPs' training and professional development (Fogaca et al., 2020), we aim to shed light on the importance of culturally competent supervision prior to, during and following such transnational opportunities.

#### **The Practice Context**

The Republic of Trinidad and Tobago (T&T) is an independent island country located in the south-eastern West Indies. The country has experienced a turbulent colonial history being first colonised by the Spanish in 1592 (and remained for two centuries) and subsequently by the British in 1797 (Lougheed, 2021). T&T gained independence from the British Empire in 1962 and obtained membership in the Commonwealth that same year, later becoming a republic in 1976. Since the 20th century, the two dominant ethnic groups in the country are those of African and South Asian heritage. A third group consisting of people of mixed ethnicity (i.e., migrants from European countries, Africa, East and Southeast Asia, the Middle East, mixed-race Cocoa Panyols [combined Afro-Spanish-Indigenous descent], and indigenous inhabitants) also contribute to the ethnic diversity and composition of the country.

As part of a newly established strategic partnership between the [University] and a professional sport organisation in T&T, I [Author #1] along with [Author #2] and [Author #3] had the opportunity to undertake a three-month work placement (i.e., a form of employment in which university members work full-time in an industry relevant to the field of study; Brooks & Youngson, 2014) in the system's elite development and performance unit. The organisation is governmentally funded and provides technical, physical, and psychological support to T&T's national level athletes across a range of sports (e.g., athletics, cricket, rugby, squash, swimming) and age groups (e.g., junior, senior). The aim of the placement was to encourage a two-way relationship based on a reciprocal exchange of

knowledge and experiences within the local cultural contexts. Whilst fully embedded in the organisation, [Author #2], [Author #3] and I worked daily with the organisation's four applied SPPs and their multidisciplinary team. The placement took place during a twelve-week period and was split into two blocks of six weeks. [Author #2] and I, undertook the work placement together during the first block, whilst [Author #3], was alone for the second block. [Author #2] and I, stayed in regular contact with [Author #3] and shared our experiences of working in T&T before his arrival. Towards the end of our working period, [Author #3] had arrived in T&T and was able to spend some days with us onsite before beginning his working cycle. The SPPs hosting us were all from T&T and undertook their sport psychology training in institutions within the United Kingdom (UK) and the United States of America (USA), and often operated across the Caribbean region.

#### **Situating the Authors**

[Author #2], [Author #3], and I are tSPPs currently enrolled on a Professional Doctorate degree in Sport and Exercise Psychology in the UK. The degree encompasses both an applied and research component, leading us to qualify as doctoral level academics and be eligible to register with the Health and Care Professions Council as qualified practitioners in the UK. During the placement, I was in my first year of training while [Author #2] and [Author #3] were in their second year. Through the Professional Doctorate at [University], [Author #4] and [Author#5] are the primary practice and research supervisors for [Author #2] and I, while [Author #3] is being supervised by [Author#5] for applied work and [Author #6] for research. At the time of this placement, our ages ranged from 24 to 27 years old, and each of us had 1 to 2 years of applied practice experience in the UK, primarily offering our applied services through our individual private practices or as employees of sports teams. [Author #3] and I, identify as White heterosexual cis men and [Author #2] as a White heterosexual cis woman. While [Author #2] and I, have personal transnational experience of

living in different countries, none of us have any prior transnational professional experience. Before our placement experience, we had limited knowledge concerning T&T's history with British colonialism and were largely unaware of how our identity as White European middle-classed individuals may impact people's perceptions of us. [Author #4] and [Author #5] are experienced researcher-practitioners with extensive applied expertise, and we regularly received supervision from them before, during and after our time in T&T. All three supervisors are White males. [Author #4] is from Italy with extensive transnational personal experience as well as professional experience engaging in culturally grounded sport psychology as a practitioner and scholar. [Author #4] provided feedback on our applied practice during the placement and extensive guidance in the development of this manuscript. [Author #5] and [Author #6] are from the UK and provided editorial guidance and supported the writing process. Together, they used their knowledge and experience to engage in critical dialogue with [Author #2], [Author #3], and I during the write up to facilitate greater clarity in the confessional tales, thereby helping to provide a more detailed and evocative narrative.

#### **Confessional Tales**

To share some of our reflections on the various hurdles we encountered during our transnational experience, [Author #2], [Author #3] and I constructed two confessional tales. Confessional tales are narrative accounts where researchers and/or practitioners openly and creatively share and discuss the challenges encountered and lessons learned during their research or applied work (e.g., Cavallerio et al., 2019; Darpatova-Hruzewicz, 2021). In this professional practice paper, we used confessional tales as a novel way to illuminate the complex interactions between our biases, feelings, social positions, and intersecting identities and how these impacted our professional experiences in T&T.

#### **Constructing the Tales**

To ensure rigour in the reflections in each confessional tale, they were formulated by incorporating elements and techniques of the autoethnography method. Autoethnography is an approach to writing that draws on individuals' personal experiences in relation to the culture and sub-cultures of the context in which they are embedded (Allen-Collinson, 2012). This method requires the individual to deeply and carefully reflect on their intersections between the self and society, thus allowing them to become more culturally centred in their practice (McGannon & Smith, 2015). The autoethnography elements used to formulate our reflections included active participation in, and observation of, the cultural and professional environment in T&T (Poulus, 2021); individual reflections recorded in personal diaries and professional practice logbooks (Gupta, 2022); and peer reflections conducted with our supervisors (Fogaca et al., 2018). These processes enabled us to capture and analyse the complex interactions between our biases, feelings, social positions, and intersecting identities, and how these impacted our professional experiences in T&T.

[Author# 2], [Author# 3], and my reflective practice process began while onsite in T&T to help us make sense of our experiences as they were unfolding. During this reflection-in-action process (Schön, 1983), in supervision we shared some of the nuances identified and reflections made when observing *how* the hosting SPPs operated with their athletes. Our supervisors played a crucial role in challenging our reflections, thoughts, feelings, and behaviours while in T&T, which, on reflection, we may not have entirely appreciated or considered at the time. Upon our return to the UK, we reflected individually on our placement to provoke deeper thinking about our personal experiences. During a peer supervision session, we shared our individual reflections and realised a common thread in our experiences, learnings, and insights. Due to the potentially insightful contribution that our reflections could have if shared with the wider sport psychology community, we were encouraged by our supervisors to consider writing a manuscript on our experiences.

We began by refining our reflections into two "key moments" that we felt were important to us and insightful to report in a manuscript. We then shared these key moments, accompanied by a variety of reflections, with our supervisors in written form. [Author# 4] and [Author# 5]'s feedback on our reflections included that they were superficial and lacked the depth and detail necessary for the messages we hoped to convey. Subsequently, [Author# 2], [Author# 3], and I established our own peer-supervision sessions during which we spent extended periods of time critically discussing our reflections and challenging each other's beliefs and perceptions, this necessitated that we were more expansive in describing how our experiences impacted us. Throughout this time, we also continued having individual sessions with our supervisors which additionally helped us make sense of our reflections and experiences in a safe and supportive environment (Poczwardowski et al., 2023).

Eventually, we felt ready to share a second written draft of our reflections in the form of confessional tales to our Professional Doctorate cohort supervision group consisting of current tSPPs and our supervisors. We believed it was important to share our reflections to an audience who had no preconceptions of our experiences, as a way of critically assessing them. The feedback from the group was that our confessions lacked detail on the explicit assumptions, thoughts, and feelings we had before, during, and after the placement and once again came across as superficial. We were therefore encouraged by our peers and supervisors to be more comfortable in being vulnerable with ourselves and the reader. [Author# 2], [Author# 3], and I continued to spend sessions thinking about how to communicate our reflections as authentically as possible, and finally settled on the confessional tales below.

#### **Contextualising the Tales**

The following confessional tales centre on the main theme of cultural arrogance.

Specifically, the tales expose our arrogance of over-relying on our international backgrounds and previous transnational experiences and how this led us to overlook and fail to consider

the cultural norms and traditions of T&T. Indeed, these stories shed light on our lack of cultural humility regarding T&T's colonial history, awareness of cultural differences and even our own cultural backgrounds, despite conversations on this topic with supervisors prior to our departure. While each tale has a different emphasis, both serve as examples of how we demonstrated our lack of cultural humility during our time in T&T. In the first tale, the reader will notice that reference is made to academic literature (see Cavallerio et al., 2019 for an illustrative example) to frame and contextualise some of our reactions and reflections in the moment. [Author #2] and I collaboratively wrote the first confessional tale focused on our time together in T&T, while [Author #3] wrote the second confessional tale based on his personal experience.

#### Confessional Tale 1: Boundary Issues

During the first week of our placement at the sport organisation, we ([Author #2] and I) focused on immersing ourselves in both the T&T and professional contexts. We travelled with the hosting SPPs to different locations and attended the training sessions of various teams across individual and team sports to familiarise ourselves with the environment. We had the opportunity to observe teams' training sessions while also experiencing how the in situ SPPs interacted with their clients. As the first week unfolded, we noticed a consistent pattern during these client-practitioner interactions. Specifically, when athletes spotted their SPPs watching them on the sideline, they greeted and embraced them with open arms and would joke with and tease each other, just like old friends who had known each other for years. Listening to the conversations unfold, we noticed that both parties had engaged in substantial personal disclosure with each other in the past, and this seemed to have helped establish a sense of familiarity and friendship. Their relationships appeared strong, and they engaged in a great deal of playful physical contact (e.g., pushing each other's arms when laughing, hugging).

Although we acknowledge it is not unusual for practitioners to engage in humour with athletes at home in the UK (Pack et al., 2019a; Pack et al., 2019b), these behaviours stood out to us because, in our inexperience, we felt a dissonance between the level of familiarity they were displaying and the guidance around professional boundaries espoused to us during our training. To elaborate, our beliefs, specifically around boundary setting, were particularly rigid. This was perhaps grounded in fears about breaking ethical rules, anxieties about litigation, and worry about intimacy with clients; fears which are not uncommon in trainees as discussed by Foster (2007) and Tribe (2015). These resulted in a tendency for us to ensure minimal voluntary self-disclosure and carefully monitoring the use of humour with the aim of protecting both the practitioner and client. By contrast, we observed T&T to have a very open and warm culture, where sociability and gregariousness are encouraged. Consequently, when observing our hosting SPPs engaging with their clients, we became nervous, sceptical, and feared ethical repercussions. In other words, we were afraid of the potential criticism from the UK or US-based sport psychology field associated with their familiar and informal approach to interacting with clients.

Our level of discomfort about our perceived vulnerability to being criticised for overstepping an ethical boundary resulted in a culturally led knee-jerk reaction where we subconsciously perceived ourselves as occupying the "higher ground" professionally speaking. We felt, as tSPPs from the UK, that perhaps we had a responsibility to uphold the expectations we had around what we believed "being ethical and professional" to be (e.g., through establishing rigid boundaries with clients, and that perhaps those were not being appropriately adhered to in this cultural context). Our fear of being criticised or accidentally crossing any ethical lines led us to lean into subconscious prejudices and assumptions we held prior to arriving in T&T, concerning the quality of the service delivery practice we were going to encounter. In other words, prior to our arrival we assumed that the SPPs may not

appreciate the rigidity of ethical practice as much as we did as they were operating in a region in which applied sport psychology was less developed than in America and Europe. Anxiety led us to participate in—and therefore revealed—a culturally led assumption that our UK-based knowledge and experience of practice boundaries could be situated above theirs (from a less economically developed cultural context). Rather than stepping back, examining the uncomfortable feelings with curiosity and humility, and choosing our next course of action with intentionality as we would now hope to do, we dove in with the assumption that we came from an educational and socioeconomic background that meant we would know more than the T&T practitioners we were working with.

With time, we began to settle into our new surroundings, relax, and reduce our focus on ourselves. We began to open up and learn about how the T&T practitioners approached their clients, characterised by upbeat and positive conversations. We also saw the client-practitioner relationships; their authenticity and the strength of the therapeutic alliance, and we began to recognise the fallacy in our culturally led assumptions. We saw how both the practitioner and client were able to feel comfortable and open around each other outside the consulting context (Sharp & Hodge, 2013). Our observation led us to reflect together on the positive aspects of the SPPs' approach to interacting with clients, which resulted in a new kind of discomfort. We now felt uncomfortable about the arrogance we had carried into this placement. We remembered that we had a lot to learn ourselves, we saw the presumptions our anxieties had exposed, and started wondering how the approach these SPPs employed with clients might fit our philosophy and approaches to practice in our home cultural context.

To help make sense of our reflections, during the placement, we shared our observations about the closeness of the client-practitioner relationship to one of the T&T supervising SPPs. They empathised with our reflections and explained that, on returning to T&T following their training in the US, they too experienced some incongruence between

their professional boundaries and the T&T cultural norms when interacting with clients outside of sessions. Indeed, the SPP explained that Trinidadian cultural norms *required* practitioners to be very open and friendly with their clients, as opposed to engaging in a more reserved and neutral approach that we believed to be effective and necessary to maintain a level of professionalism. The SPP explained to us that if any of the practitioners in the department were to uphold the rigid boundaries that we assumed were appropriate, through avoidance or minimal interaction in public, it would most likely upset the client or be perceived as rude, thus damaging the client-practitioner working relationship.

Upon further discussion during a peer-supervision session about the potential ethical implications of the T&T's practitioners' approach to practice, particularly concerning playful physical contact with clients, [Author #4] encouraged [Author #2] and I to reflect on the lack of cultural sensitivity in our approach to ethical practice. To elaborate, we discussed how our ethical framework was not contextually driven and how as practitioners we failed to consider and acknowledge the cultural norms and differences of the environment in which we were operating (see e.g., Dudley-Grant et al., 2018; Roopnarie et al., 2021). These reflections underscored the importance for practitioners to be contextually and culturally driven within their practice and to adapt their ethical standards according to the context's traditions and norms (Stambulova & Schinke, 2017). Learning how our assumptions may have led us to make relationship-damaging mistakes in our service delivery, as well as in our professional relationships with colleagues, helped us recognise the need to move ourselves towards a humbler standpoint to further our personal and professional growth in the future (Hook et al., 2013).

#### Confessional Tale 2: Punctuality is a Privilege

I, [Author #3], have always prided myself on my punctuality. Indeed, punctuality has been ingrained into my upbringing as a valuable commodity which shows respect towards

others. It is a value which had never been challenged and I therefore perceived punctuality to be a universal value. During my time at the T&T sport organisation where I completed my placement, meetings and client sessions were often delayed or cancelled, sometimes with no further explanation than "I am not going to make it". The more this would occur throughout the placement, the more frustrated I got. I perceived that the athletes and staff did not value punctuality in the same way I did, and neither did they value my time. I felt disrespected.

A specific example comes from my time working with a youth athlete, where I was asked to be present in their strength and conditioning sessions. My task was to develop a sense of how the athlete may benefit from psychological support by observing them in their context and through informal conversations. The athlete, however, would often arrive late to training by 30 minutes. Since they trained before my contracted working hours (i.e., 7am), I found myself feeling disheartened; I felt I was showing high levels of commitment and respect to best support the athlete, but that this respect was not being reciprocated. I truly felt my time was being wasted.

Initially, I questioned whether the lack of punctuality I was experiencing was down to some error on my part. Maybe this athlete found my presence distracting, or perhaps the informal questions I was asking them in between exercises made them feel uncomfortable. I reflected that maybe my presence as a White British person was playing a part. That perhaps my Whiteness was making this individual feel nervous or intimidated. Maybe I was seen by this athlete as an authoritative figure, who held more power due to my education and our countries' colonial histories. All these factors combined may have led the athlete to make excuses for being late in the hope I was not observing his session.

When looking back, my frustration about the athlete's lateness was perhaps the first indicator that I was becoming aware of the differing cultural dynamics at play; yet, at the time, I chose to not explore them further, perhaps due to how uncomfortable I thought the

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

process may have been for myself. After sharing the punctuality concerns with my T&T colleagues, it became clear that they were accustomed to this lack of punctuality and did not necessarily experience it as a challenge to themselves or their work. That is, most of my colleagues experienced delayed or cancelled meetings during my placement, but were notably far less bothered when it occurred. In fact, they sought humour in my frustrations by observing my first experiences of "Trini time"; a joke made in T&T to refer to lateness commonly occurring.

During a later conversation with a colleague about everyone's patience with "Trini time" compared to my own, the colleague, who had studied and lived previously in the UK, explained how the cultural and contextual parameters were totally different to what I was used to. For example, in T&T, there is minimal public transport, heavy traffic, and extreme, often unpredictable weather conditions (e.g., flash flooding, tropical storms) which consequently make punctuality difficult for even the most conscientious person. This observation reinforced an informal conversation that I had with the athlete, who explained that they travelled<sup>2</sup> to training and, thus, they were completely reliant on a notoriously unreliable form of transport. Following these discussions, I reflected on the importance I ascribed to punctuality, how this was framed within my British ideals regarding professionalism (i.e., it is professional to be punctual), the meaning this frame placed on my interpretation of the athlete's "lateness", and how I had arrogantly failed to consider the cultural and contextual parameters in which I was operating. On learning about the cultural expectations, my feelings of frustration turned to guilt. I was concerned that the arrogance and frustration I showed to my T&T colleagues regarding punctuality may have offended them and that my lack of cultural sensitivity was being seen as being disrespectful. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In T&T, *travelled* is the term for using a form of taxi service which you cannot book and often have to wait on a main road until one drives past.

conversation with the T&T SPP made me realise that rather than centring the athlete's personal factors regarding their experiences of lateness, I had centred myself in the narrative. I had focused on what this experience said about their regard for me and the efficacy of my practice, as opposed to trying to understand the components that had shaped their experiences regarding punctuality. This meant that I did not show up authentically for the athlete's needs and, instead, was focused on what *I* wanted from this relationship.

My experience has given me the opportunity to learn the importance of stepping back and reflecting on the extent to which our work is congruent with not only our values, but also the values of those we are working with. Furthermore, I now realise that a culturally humble practitioner is required to be active in their attempts to gain knowledge regarding one's socio-cultural components. That is, for one to truly centre the athlete's needs in their work, they actively need to seek information which maps out the cultural context of their client. Overall, this experience has highlighted personal prejudices that I was previously unaware of, establishing an acceptance that we all have subconscious biases and that, at times, these can be difficult to acknowledge. I believe that it is important that SPPs dismantle their arrogance as well as practice self-awareness, to consciously explore where such assumptions come from and within their cultural context, regardless of how uncomfortable the process may be.

Our Reflections

In the following section, we [Author #1], [Author #2] and [Author #3] offer some further reflective thoughts which expand upon our cultural arrogance and lack of cultural humility as described in the confessional tales. These reflections also aim to provide insight on the important role our supervisors played along with our general reflective process which enabled us to develop the aforementioned tales. While we appreciate not all practitioners may have access to such transnational experiences, we hope that the reader is able to consider the

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

relevance of culture and context within their practice and how their intersecting identities play a role in how they see the world and deliver their services.

#### Reflection 1 – Ignorance and Arrogance Go Hand-in-Hand.

Due to our past experiences of cultural diversity throughout our upbringing (e.g., through school and sport) and having friends and partners from different cultures, we believed ourselves to have developed a solid foundation of cultural sensitivity and understanding. We therefore felt comfortable and confident when interacting with those from another culture to our own. Indeed, prior to our arrival in T&T, we (arrogantly) considered ourselves able to effectively understand and adjust to the contextual and cultural nuances that this placement would have brought us. However, the thoughts, feelings and emotions described in both confessional tales suggest that we knew much less about what cultural diversity and what being inclusive meant than we originally thought. In both instances, we failed to consider the T&T SPPs and athletes as individuals with distinctive and contextually determined cultural backgrounds and experiences. Despite living in a different cultural context, we arrogantly expected them to behave in ways which were aligned with our culturally led values and beliefs. Specifically, we underestimated the power of our privileges and the influence of these privileges within a primarily non-white, lower socioeconomic status practice context. We failed to ask ourselves specific and crucial questions on how intersectionality impacts our service delivery. It was not until after our transnational experience that we realised the true importance of cultural context within applied practice and how unprepared we were for this.

Practitioners reading the current manuscript can learn from our mistakes and arrogant approach to practice by proactively engaging in self-reflection and reflexivity. Like clients, SPPs also have their own cultural background and identities such as heritage, ethnicity, nationality, socioeconomic status and gender, as well as beliefs, attitudes, values, biases,

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

assumptions, and stereotypes of others and themselves (Champ et al., 2021; Quartiroli et al., 2020). Engaging in reflective practice specifically focused on one's cultural humility allows practitioners to become aware of how their identity may impact their service delivery. Cultural identities are not always visible and are often unconscious and automatic, yet these can become apparent through self-reflections and self-awareness (Storry & Childs, 2022). Although we support the use of self-reflection as a starting point, we encourage practitioners to move toward being self-reflexive. Reflexivity is a form of reflective practice, offering practitioners a critical lens on how their positionality within power structures shape their behaviours, perspectives and understanding of the applied context in which they are operating (Darpatova-Hruzewicz et al., 2021). While self-reflection promotes growth and development, self-reflexivity allows for a deeper insight into how one's social position, intersecting identities, cultural backgrounds and sense of self shape their practice and interaction with the broader socio-cultural context (Price et al., 2022). In sum, being self-reflexive can enhance multicultural awareness and sensitivity, leading to more effective and ethical practice. Consequently, being self-reflexive could prevent practitioners from adopting a cultural arrogant stance and promote cultural humility when starting to work in a different cultural context.

Practitioners should also consider the role of contextual-driven sport psychology practice; that is, drawing on a thorough analysis and understanding of a client's cultural context (Stambulova & Schinke, 2017). Being contextually driven suggests that there is not a one size fits all approach and that we need to consider each client's unique circumstances; we were ignorant, if not arrogant, to the need for such sensitivity. For instance, it was not until after our ([Author #1] and [Author #2]) conversation with the T&T SPP and subsequently [Author #4] surrounding boundaries, that we became aware of the importance of embracing a culture-centred and context-driven approach to practice (Schinke & Stambulova, 2017) for

effectively establishing a positive and meaningful working relationship with clients. We now recognise that our initial approach to practice, which did not account for the contextual and cultural nuances of T&T, would have led us to engage with our clients in a rigid and sub-optimal manner. Indeed, our approach may have been received as cold, rude, or insensitive by the T&T athletes, potentially jeopardising our ability to positively connect with them and to develop effective working relationships. These reflections also demonstrate the importance of practitioners to recognising and holding the client at the centre of the relationship, and to allowing them to be the driving structure rather than arrogantly imposing our own assumptions and approach. Therefore, to effectively engage in service delivery, SPPs should adapt their approach based on the cultural background characterising the context within which they work, and the cultural identities and nuances brought by the clients in the consulting relationships.

### Reflection 2 – A Need for Humility

Developing an understanding of our subconscious prejudices also revealed a position of arrogance. As previously mentioned, a key aim of our placement was for us to work collaboratively with the host organisation through the exchange of sport psychology knowledge and ideas. However, the reflective process with [Author #4] exposed the small extent to which we believed this would be the case. Before this placement, we held the belief that our training, which is grounded in the Euro-American assumptions and theories, was the golden standard. Indeed, we believed any individual who did not have access to such education and operated outside of the Euro-American regions could not have the same level of knowledge and expertise; this included the T&T SPPs with whom we would collaborate with. Whilst it is uncomfortable to admit, we arrogantly assumed that much of the exchange in knowledge was going to be unidirectional (i.e., us to them). These reactions depict elements of the concept of ethnocentrism which refers to the attitude that other cultures are

perceived as inferior when compared to one's own, and that one's own perspective is judged as right while the other is judged as wrong or less than (Atingdui, 2011). Our prejudices were soon exposed after we began to work with the hosting SPPs and realised that they had far greater experience and knowledge within the field than all of us combined. Further reflections during supervision allowed us to become aware of how we had subconsciously engaged in a colonial hangover power dynamic (i.e., "we are better").

Reporting these reflections in the current manuscript has brought up feelings of discomfort, shame, and guilt. Who are we, as trainees with next to no experience, to judge and think in this way? Our sense of superiority was very much underserved and exposing our limits to practice in this reflective account was daunting. We were initially resistant to sharing our experiences, fearful about what the sport psychology community and the hosting SPPs, with whom we are now friends, would think of us. In initial drafts, we subconsciously held back from fully sharing our thoughts to protect ourselves from any potential challenging confrontations which may have resulted from the publication of this professional practice paper. This instinctive reaction of wanting to suppress our discomfort and struggle to come to terms with how we presented ourselves in T&T illustrates our lack of cultural humility (cf. Hook et al., 2017). We were protecting ourselves from the "risk" of being judged. [Author #4] challenged us to become aware of this defensiveness and of our reluctance to face these uncomfortable feelings, and to explore ways of how to make sense of them.

After reflecting in many supervision sessions, we began to overcome the "feeling sorry for yourself" perspective to one of learning to embrace these feelings of discomfort. This discomfort is a key part of the process of cultural humility, and it is only once one becomes comfortable with the idea of making mistakes and to be vulnerable that they allow themselves the space to grow (Hook et al., 2017). We, therefore, encourage SPPs who are faced with difficult and sensitive cultural dilemmas to acknowledge and embrace their

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

mistakes and engage in the lifelong process of self-reflection toward cultural humility, rather than focusing on how they may appear or come across to others.

Given his scholarly and applied expertise and knowledge of cultural competence and humility, [Author #4] played a pivotal role in helping us arrive at embracing such reflections through peer and individual supervision sessions. The supervision process, however, was characterised by resistance and friction between us trainees and [Author #4], during and after our transnational experience. Despite having regular conversations with [Author #4] and him challenging us over the course of many months, including during the initial stages of writing the current manuscript, we failed to come to terms with the arrogance we displayed in T&T. We adopted an arrogant stance not only before and during our experience in T&T, but also during the supervision sessions after our return. Beyond simply reporting on the lessons learned regarding "functional boundaries" and "punctuality", we found it difficult to go deeper into the cultural aspects of our initial reflections despite [Author #4]'s encouragement and collaborative approach (Watson et al., 2014). We overlooked the deeper and underlying messages of our overall experiences in T&T, as well as failed to initially overcome the discomfort of deeper reflection, to push ourselves to be vulnerable, and to share an authentic account with the reader by exploring our past limitations and ongoing opportunities for growth in cultural humility. Not only does this struggle between supervisor and trainees demonstrate the importance for supervisors to provide culturally competent supervision but also highlights how trainees should embrace and be open-minded when supervisors' challenge them.

Overall, our experience in T&T provided us with the opportunity for our privileges, assumptions, and prejudices to be challenged. An opportunity that would not have been possible had we stayed operating within a system built for us and our sets of identity, and within which our worldviews, biases, stereotypes, and privilege were systematically

supported rather than challenged (i.e., in the Euro-American system). Based on our experience, we have a better understanding of the value of adopting an open, humble, and non-judgemental stance when operating in an unfamiliar and foreign cultural context. We believe these reflections are not only relevant for when we work in a different cultural setting but also apply when working with individuals from different gender identities, gender expressions and, sexual identities for instance. We now appreciate that to be more ethical and effective in our practice, we need to become more culturally grounded and to continually engage in critical reflections regarding our intersecting identities (Quartiroli et al., 2020). We realise that we are merely at the beginning of our journeys towards the development of a more culturally humble and safe approach to practice and there is still much we need to learn (Ryba et al., 2024).

#### **Professional Practice Recommendations and Conclusion**

Drawing from our learnings in these confessional tales, we [All Authors] now offer some applied recommendations and considerations to support tSPPs and qualified SPPs when beginning their own journey to becoming more culturally grounded in their practice. We hope the reader can learn from our mistakes and recommendations by engaging in reflection-in-action as their transnational experience unfolds. This approach would enable them to address and acknowledge their potential prejudices, biases, privileges, and assumptions in real-time, rather than reflecting on these aspects post-transnational experience.

Due to our lack of preparedness and understanding of the T&T context, we may have benefited from engaging in a pre-trip training on the cultural context (e.g., colonial history) prior to our departure. Such training would have been appropriate to help us better take on the transnational experience so that not all of the experience gained comes from learning on the job. Additionally, engaging with Trinidadian, West Indian, and Caribbean-specific theories and approaches available in the literature prior to our departure (e.g., Dudley-Grant et al.,

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

2018; Roopnarine et al., 2021) would have been beneficial. This engagement would have provided us with a deeper understanding of the cultural context of T&T, thereby grounding our practice more effectively and enhancing our journey towards cultural humility during our transnational experience. While such training or immersion into the T&T and Caribbean literature may be helpful to prepare practitioners, this should not be the be-all and end-all (i.e., "I now know everything about the cultural context"). Indeed, practitioners should continue to adopt a humble stance, be open to learning, and employ a context-driven approach to practice while operating in the context itself.

Further, despite current training programs not appearing to reflect the need for practitioners to develop a more culturally grounded approach to practice, practitioners could consider engaging in transnational experiences. Based on our experiences and the realisation of our own lack of preparation and cultural humility, such transnational experiences are of great value. Such first-hand experiences provide a unique opportunity to develop cultural humility, and these placements will encourage practitioners to consider the importance of their positionality when working in different cultural contexts or with individuals from different cultural backgrounds. Additionally, these placement opportunities underscore the ethical and moral imperative for practitioners to develop cultural humility and competence, thereby ensuring effective service delivery to clients (Quartiroli et al., 2023). Nonetheless, we recognise that we were extremely privileged to have had the opportunity to undertake such a placement, which may not be feasible for all trainees. To mitigate these barriers, professional organisations, qualifying bodies and education institutions could work collaboratively to offer additional training and professional development opportunities to tSPPs and qualified SPPs focused on cultural humility (see also, Lee, 2015; Quartiroli et al., 2020), as well as accessible transnational work placements.

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

For those unable to complete transnational experiences, there are a variety of ways in which practitioners can proactively make their practice more culturally grounded. First, they may consider engaging in cultural discourses with practitioners from different cultural backgrounds and experiences to that of the Westernised and Euro-centric contexts (Quartiroli et al., 2021b). Gaining an understanding of the nuanced approaches of practitioners who work in different cultural contexts may help SPPs become aware of their potential blind spots and lack of cultural humility. Second, practitioners can attend local cultural festivals or immerse themselves in local cultural neighbourhoods to learn about and enhance one's awareness of different cultures and cultural norms (Quartiroli et al., 2022). Third, SPPs may engage with clients from culturally diverse backgrounds to modify existing beliefs about, and prevent, possible stereotyping towards a cultural group (Campinha-Bacote, 2011). In this way, SPPs may view clients as individuals rather than as members of a group, and they may familiarise themselves with the cultural nuances within different groups. Additionally, such cultural encounters allow the opportunity for the practitioner to be inquisitive, self-reflective, and engage in the process of life-long learning (Fahlberg et al., 2016). Dos Santos and Dallos (2012) encourage practitioners to develop skills that enable them to engage effectively and openly discuss cultural elements with clients from diverse backgrounds during sessions, helping to overcome any associated anxieties which stem from the fear of making cultural related mistakes. Quartiroli and colleagues (2022) suggest that it is important for SPPs to be comfortable with making mistakes when engaging in difficult conversations around culture with clients, as this is a key process in developing cultural humility. By paying closer attention and identifying when such fear or reluctance arises, practitioners may adopt a more culturally sensitive approach to practice, and thus co-construct a safe space with the client in which they are able to explore core aspects of their identity within the therapeutic work. These recommendations can be applied to practitioners across their career span. Indeed, from

trainee to supervisor status, these recommendations can support one's own applied work and enhance their ability to engage in culturally sensitive practice.

Fourth, given the vital role that supervisors play in supporting tSPPs' and qualified practitioners' training and development, supervisors are encouraged to integrate cultural humility into their supervision (Poczwardowski et al., 2023). Integrating cultural humility can help supervisors create a safe reflective space which embraces mistake making and vulnerability and considers how culture and cultural identities play a key part of practice and personal life (see Quartiroli et al., 2022). To enhance multi-culturally competent supervisory practices, supervisors can encourage and guide their trainees' cultural knowledge, skills, and abilities, engage in cultural broaching (i.e., the willingness to deliberately discuss cultural issues and differences with supervisees; see Tibbetts & Smith, 2022), and openly and authentically commit to active learning about their supervisees to build a solid multicultural supervisory alliance (see Mitchell & Butler, 2021). Supervisors can also collaborate with their supervisees on culturally attuned interventions and skills to be delivered to supervisees' clients and hold multi-cultural focused discussions with supervisee (see Poczwardowski et al., 2023).

607 Conclusion

In summary, we have presented two confessional tales to highlight the challenges we faced as tSPPs during our transnational experience in T&T. Our reflections move beyond exploring the challenges of boundary setting and punctuality to reveal the limitations of our initial cultural humility and how this was influenced by our values and privileges. To support other tSPPs in their professional training and development of cultural humility, we have offered practical recommendations for self-reflection and the development of self-awareness. We also highlight the crucial role that supervisors have in supporting tSPPs to engage in cultural humility. By sharing our challenges and lessons learned during our transnational

- experience in T&T, we hope that the current professional practice paper will continue to stimulate discourse around the importance of cultural humility, contribute to the cultural sport
- 618 psychology literature, and, ultimately, inform effective service delivery.

619	References
620	Agergaard, S., & Ryba, T. V. (2014). Migration and career transitions in professional sports:
621	Transnational athletic careers in a psychological and sociological perspective.
622	Sociology of Sport Journal, 31(2), 228-247. https://doi.org/f59kxs
623	Allen-Collinson, J. (2012). Autoethnography: Situating personal sporting narratives in socio-
624	cultural contexts. Research in the Sociology of Sport, 191-212. https://doi.org/mqjp
625	Atingdui, N. (2011). Ethnocentrism. In: Goldstein, S., Naglieri, J.A. (eds) Encyclopedia of
626	Child Behavior and Development. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/bp9vsn
627	Brooks, R., & Youngson, P. L. (2014). Undergraduate work placements: An analysis of the
628	effects on career progression. Studies in Higher Education, 41(9), 1563-
629	1578. https://doi.org/gkh4kg
630	Campinha-Bacote, J. (2011). Coming to know cultural competence: An evolutionary process.
631	International Journal of Human Caring, 15(3), 42-48. https://doi.org/k93x
632	Cavallerio, F., Wadey, R., & Wagstaff, C. R. (2019). Member reflections with elite coaches
633	and gymnasts: Looking back to look forward. Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise
634	and Health, 12(1), 48-62. https://doi.org/gkdvvf
635	Champ, F., Ronkainen, N., Tod, D., Eubank, A., & Littlewood, M. (2020). A tale of three
636	seasons: A cultural sport psychology and gender performativity approach to
637	practitioner identity and development in professional football. Qualitative Research in
638	Sport, Exercise and Health, 13(5), 847-863. https://doi.org/fjqk
639	Danso, R. (2018). Cultural competence and cultural humility: A critical reflection on key
640	cultural diversity concepts. Journal of Social Work, 18(4), 410-430.
641	https://doi.org/ghx9zs

642	Darpatova-Hruzewicz, D. (2021). Reflexive confessions of a female sport psychologist: From
643	REBT to existential counselling with a transnational footballer. Qualitative Research
644	in Sport, Exercise and Health, 14(2), 306-325. https://doi.org/ggxb
645	Dos Santos, O., & Dallos, R. (2012). The process of cross-cultural therapy between white
646	therapists and clients of African-Caribbean descent. Qualitative Research in
647	Psychology, 9(1), 62–74. https://doi.org/gf9gnc
648	Dudley-Grant, G. R., Hamilton, D., & Parilla, S. J. (2018). Mental health issues and therapy
649	with Caribbean peoples: Reflections of seasoned psychologists. Caribbean Journal of
650	Psychology, 10(1), 223-259.
651	Fisher-Borne, M., Cain, J. M., & Martin, S. L. (2015). From mastery to accountability: Cultural
652	humility as an alternative to cultural competence. Social Work Education, 34(2), 165-
653	181. <a href="https://doi.org/ggc3b6">https://doi.org/ggc3b6</a>
654	Fogaca, J. L., Koppang, R. M., & Zizzi, S. J. (2018). Three pathways to supervision in applied
655	sport psychology: Challenges and strategies to deliver effective supervision in graduate
656	programs. Journal of Sport Psychology in Action, 9(3), 172–181. https://doi.org/dwjh
657	Fogaca, J. L., Watson, J. C., & Zizzi, S. J. (2020). The journey of service delivery competence
658	in applied sport psychology: The arc of development for new professionals. Journal of
659	Clinical Sport Psychology, 14(2), 109-126. https://doi.org/gh4r
660	Foster, N. (2007). "Why can't we be friends?" An exploration of the concept of 'friendship'
661	within client—Music therapist relationships. British Journal of Music Therapy, 21(1),
662	12-22. https://doi.org/k932
663	Fahlberg, B., Foronda, C., & Baptiste, D. (2016). Cultural humility: The key to patient/family
664	partnerships for making difficult decisions. Nursing, 46(9): 14-16. https://doi.org/k93z
665	Hook, J. N., Davis, D., Owen, J., & DeBlaere, C. (2017). Cultural humility: Engaging diverse
666	identities in therapy. American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/k93w

667	Hook, J. N., Davis, D. E., Owen, J., Worthington, E. L., & Utsey, S. O. (2013). Cultural
668	humility: Measuring openness to culturally diverse clients. Journal of Counseling
669	Psychology, 60(3), 353-366. https://doi.org/gf5cg8
670	Jones, C. T., & Welfare, L. E. (2017). Broaching behaviors of licensed professional counselors
671	A qualitative inquiry. Journal of Addictions & Offender Counseling, 38(1), 48-64.
672	https://doi.org/gh549f
673	Krane, V., & Waldron, J. J. (2020). A renewed call to queer sport psychology. Journal of
674	Applied Sport Psychology, 33(5), 469-490. https://doi.org/f67d
675	Lee, S. (2015). Cultural competence development in sport and exercise psychology graduate
676	programs. Athletic Insight, 7(3), 269-289. ISSN: 1947-6299
677	Lougheed, K. (2021). 'Teach the mutual interests of the mother country and her dependencies'
678	Education and reshaping colonial governance in Trinidad. History of Education, 50(6),
679	745-763. <a href="https://doi.org/mtgx">https://doi.org/mtgx</a>
680	McGannon, K.R., & Smith, B. (2015). Centralizing culture in cultural sport psychology
681	research: The potential of narrative inquiry and discursive psychology. Psychology of
682	Sport and Exercise, 17, 79-87. https://doi.org/f62mpf
683	Mitchell, M. D., & Butler, S. K. (2021). Acknowledging intersectional identity in supervision:
684	The multicultural integrated supervision model. Journal of Multicultural Counseling
685	and Development, 49(2), 101-115. https://doi.org/mqb9
686	Pack, S., Arvinen-Barrow, M., Winter, S., & Hemmings, B. (2019a). Sport psychology
687	consultants' reflections on the role of humor: "It's Like Having Another Skill in Your
688	Arsenal". The Sport Psychologist, 34(1), 54-61. https://doi.org/k55c
689	Pack, S., Hemmings, B., Winter, S., & Arvinen-Barrow, M. (2019b). A preliminary
690	investigation into the use of humor in sport psychology practice. Journal of Applied
691	Sport Psychology, 31(4), 494-502. https://doi.org/k55d

692	Perelman, H., & Reel, J. J. (2022). Using a culturally humble approach to examine and address
693	disordered eating in sport. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 35(4), 643-657.
694	https://doi.org/k93h
695	Poczwardowski, A., Andersen, M. B., Van Raalte, J. L., Harwood, C., Si, G., Tshube, T., &
696	Noce, F. (2023). ISSP position stand: Competent supervision in sport psychology.
697	International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 21(6), 931-950.
698	https://doi.org/gsmg59
699	Poulos, C. N. (2021). Essentials of autoethnography. In American Psychological Association
700	eBooks. https://doi.org/m6k6
701	Price, D., Wagstaff, C. R. D., & Quartiroli, A. (2022). "Rocked by racism": A confessional
702	tale from a trainee practitioner following a racism scandal at an elite youth soccer
703	Academy. Case Studies in Sport and Exercise Psychology, 6(S1), S1-1-S1-9.
704	https://doi.org/jzr9
705	Quartiroli, A., Schinke, R. J., Giffin, C., Vosloo, J., & Fisher, L. A. (2022). Cultural
706	competence in a multinational group of sport psychology professionals. Journal of
707	Applied Sport Psychology, 35(6), 919-940. https://doi.org/jn2p
708	Quartiroli, A., Vosloo, J., Fisher, L., & Schinke, R. (2020). Culturally competent sport
709	psychology: A survey of sport psychology professionals' perception of cultural
710	competence. The Sport Psychologist, 34(3), 242-253. https://doi.org/d9qf
711	Quartiroli, A., Vosloo, J., Anderson, S. N., Ditter, J., & Keeley, M. (2021a). The transnational
712	experience of sport psychology practitioners from training to practice. Psychology of
713	Sport and Exercise, 54, 101903. <a href="https://doi.org/f7bv">https://doi.org/f7bv</a>
714	Quartiroli, A., Vosloo, J., Schinke, R. J., Anderson, S. N., Fisher, L. A., & Giffin, C. E.
715	(2021b). Sport psychology professionals' perceptions of the roadblocks to cultural spor

716	psychology. Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology, 10(2), 240.
717	https://doi.org/f7bt
718	Roopnarine, J. L., Chadee, D., & Primus, M. A. (2021). Psychology in Guyana and Trinidad
719	and Tobago. International and Cultural Psychology, 259-270. https://doi.org/m6hj
720	Ryba, T.V., & Schinke, R.J. (2009). Methodology as a ritualized Euro- centrism: Introduction
721	to the special issue. International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 7, 263-
722	274. https://doi.org/dbrx4p
723	Ryba, T. V., Schinke, R. J., Quartiroli, A., Wong, R., & Gill, D. L. (2024). ISSP position stand
724	on cultural praxis in sport psychology: reaffirming our commitments to the ethics of
725	difference, cultural inclusion, and social justice. International Journal of Sport and
726	Exercise Psychology, 1-20. <a href="https://doi.org/mtfp">https://doi.org/mtfp</a>
727	Gupta, S. (2022). Reflect in and speak out: An Autoethnographic study on race and the
728	embedded sport psychology practitioner. Case Studies in Sport and Exercise
729	Psychology, 6(S1), S1-10-S1-23. <u>https://doi.org/m6k7</u>
730	Schinke, R. J., & Stambulova, N. (2017). Context-driven sport and exercise psychology
731	practice: Widening our lens beyond the athlete. Journal of Sport Psychology in Action,
732	8(2), 71-75. <a href="https://doi.org/gbvvdr">https://doi.org/gbvvdr</a>
733	Schön, D. A. (1983) The Reflective Practitioner. New York: Basic Books.
734	Sharp, L., & Hodge, K. (2013). Sport psychology consulting effectiveness: The athlete's
735	perspective. International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 12(2), 91-105.
736	https://doi.org/m2md
737	Sparkes, A. (2002). Telling tales in sport and physical activity: A qualitative journey. Human
738	Kinetics.
739	Sue, S. (2006). Cultural competency: From philosophy to research and practice. Journal of
740	Community Psychology, 34(2), 237-245. https://doi.org/bnmf5h

741	Sue, D. W. (2001). Multidimensional facets of cultural competence. The Counseling
742	Psychologist, 29(6), 790-821. https://doi.org/b6vv9c
743	Stambulova, N. B., & Schinke, R. J. (2017). Experts focus on the context: Postulates derived
744	from the authors' shared experiences and wisdom. Journal of Sport Psychology in
745	Action, 8(2), 131-134. https://doi.org/gbvxk7
746	Steele, S. (1990). White guilt. The American Scholar, 59(4), 497–506.
747	https://www.jstor.org/stable/41211829
748	Storry, M., & Childs, P. (Eds.). (2022). British cultural identities. Taylor & Francis.
749	Tibbetts, E., & Parks Smith, K. (2022). Beyond "a good fit": Examining effective mentorship
750	for BIPOC practitioners in a predominantly white profession. Journal of Applied Sport
751	Psychology, 35(1), 46-62. https://doi.org/j7gp
752	Tribe, R. (2015). Trainee perspectives on professional and ethical practice. In Tribe &
753	Morrissey (eds.) Handbook of professional and ethical practice for psychologists,
754	counsellors and psychotherapists. 314-327. Routledge.
755	Watson, J. C. II, McAlarnen, M. M., & Shannon, V. R. (2014). Facilitating our future: Roles,
756	responsibilities, and the development of the sport, exercise, and performance
757	supervisor. In J. G. Cremades & L. S. Tashman (Eds.), Becoming a sport, exercise, and
758	performance psychology professional: A global perspective. 236–242. Psychology
759	Press.