

This is a presentation of the following published document and is licensed under All Rights Reserved license:

Hobson, Jonathan ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8081-6699 (2023) What does research tell us about working restoratively with complexity? In: Wales Restorative Approaches Partnership Conference, 2023. Restorative Approaches: Working With Complexity, November 14th, 2023, Cardiff.

EPrint URI: https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/13432

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.



Cardiff Metropolitan University

| Prifysgol | Metropolitan | **Caerdydd**



Partneriaeth Gweithredu Adferol Cymru

Wales Restorative Approaches Partnership

What does research tell us about working restoratively with complexity?

Dr Jonathan Hobson – jhobson@cardiffmet.ac.uk



Cardiff Metropolitan University

Today...

an | Prifysgol An | Metropolitan | **Caerdydd**



Partneriaeth Gweithredu Adferol Cymru Wales Restorative Approaches Partnership

1. What do we mean by sensitive and complex?

2. Operationalizing complex definitions

3. What does the evidence say?









- II. Does your organization have a definition for serious and complex?
- III. Do you think that definition captures what it needs to?





2. Operationalizing complex definitions



Partneriaeth Gweithredu Adferol Cymru Wales Restorative Approaches Partnership

Restorative Justice Council (2020, p6)

Sensitive and complex case – any case involving:

- Actual, or threats of, serious or sexual violence
- Vulnerable participants for example, vulnerable because of physical disability, age or mental impairment
- Domestic abuse
- Harm caused over a substantial period of time (over three years)
- More than three perpetrators and/or more than three victims
- Risk of continuing harm or intention to cause further harm
- Multiple agencies

ACPO (2011)

"Risks - vulnerability / sensitivity of the victim owing to their personal circumstances or the specifics of the case"

CoP (2022)

"Level three: will usually occur post-sentence but can also be used pre-sentence and can take place in prison. This may be for complex and sensitive cases where the offenders are prolific and must be monitored. All levels require facilitators that are experienced in RJ."

HMPPS (2022)

Referrals outside the target criteria

- 4.4 Whilst the definitions of a sensitive or complex case can vary between restorative justice providers, Community Offender Managers/probation practitioners must obtain managerial oversight prior to signposting, referring or sharing information with a restorative justice service provider when they have identified or assessed the case as having any of the following factors:
 - sexual violence or abuse
 - domestic abuse or intimate partner violence
 - victim is a child
 - hate or extremism (TACT)
 - loss of life as a result of the offence
 - organised crime group
 - limited access/high profile
 - management at MAPPA Level 2 or 3
 - concern about a specific vulnerability of anyone likely to be involved in the process
- 4.5 For all sensitive and complex cases, Community Offender Managers/probation practitioners (with the endorsement of their line manager) must also apply to HMPPS re:hub so that if appropriate, a restorative justice approval panel can be convened and a decision reached on suitability before proceeding to signpost, refer or share information with a restorative justice service provider. Further guidance on the role of re:hub can be found at 6.16 and information about restorative justice approval panels at 6.17.







Key Recommendations

7. End to blanket bans. PCCs should remove any blanket bans on funding restorative justice for certain offence types, instead they should ensure that there are specialist staff trained for serious and complex cases available to assess the risks associated with a particular type of offence or additional need. This should be underpinned by a robust organisational (or ideally a national) policy that provides referring agencies and potential service users with a clear explanation as to why a case cannot be progressed.





What is common from this?

- That these definitions are typically:
 - Associated with certain 'types' of risk
 - Often made as decisions outside of the control of RJ practitioners

Therefore, questions remain over who is gatekeeping practice

- To 'do no further harm' there are some circumstances that require:
 - additional knowledge
 - additional caution
 - additional precautions

In part, as there are certain types of **power** involved that are more complex









The evidence is clear that RJ/RP can work in a wide range of different and complex circumstances

Two examples of work I've been involved with:

- . Post-conflict Sierra Leone
- II. With VAWG





Population: 7.65 million (WB, 2018).

Life Expectancy at birth: 54.31 (WB, 2018).

GNI/capita: US\$490 (WB, 2018).

Human Development Index: 0.438 (UNDP, 2018). (181 out of 189 countries).

Civil War 1991-2002.

Ebola epidemic 2014-2015.







Civil War 1991-2002

- 10,000 15,000 children were abducted
- 30 40% were females subjected to rape, forced marriages
- Often drugged, forced to commit murder or atrocities against relatives or neighbours
- 27,000 people disabled through maiming or amputation
- Adults and children forced into diamond mining labour
- +1 million people displaced from their homes
- >50,000 people killed









Fambul Tok



'Special Court' (UN backed) National courts

Truth and Reconciliation process



A restorative practice, based on restoring dignity, and making communication between the community and individual victims or perpetrators possible.'

- mediation between victims, perpetrators, communities
- 'confession' of victim and perpetrator in front of community members at a large bonfire







Reconciliation workCommunity mediatorsPeace mothersEbola preventionPeoples Planning Process



CardiffPrifysgolMetropolitanMetropolitanUniversityCaerdydd

See: Fambultok (2023); Lynch et al (2020); Hobson et al (2022)



RJ/RP and war: Takeaway points

- War damages everything. So reconciliation is often about much broader issues – context is key.
- There must be 'space' for restorative work (social, political, physical, economic)
- The importance of understanding the issues and the ways in which they shape power between people, and between people and the state









Cardiff Prifysgol Metropolitan Metropolitan University Caerdydd

See: Hobson et al (2022b)



Opportunities

- Empowerment for victims
- Impacts offender's future behaviour
- Increases community engagement in prevention
 - Feed into culturally sensitive discussion
 - Complimentary to court system

Challenges

- Need to manage safety
- Implementation challenges
- Overt state control might mean loss of 'voluntaryism'

However ... there is a big distinction to be made between Sexual Violence and Domestic Violence





Sexual Violence

The use of RJ in this context is more established than in other types of serious and complex cases and practitioners are ahead of policy makers and academics in this area:

- Keenan and Zinsstag, 2019 found 40% of practitioners were using RJ for 10 years; 60% for 5 years
- In some countries there are established practices, for example, Denmark, Norway, Netherlands, and Belgium – although approaches differ between jurisdictions.
- This includes CSE (Denmark).
- In the UK and Ireland, we are still questioning if we should be doing this kind of restorative work.

Domestic Violence

DV often involves SV, but for DV the most important thing is not prosecution, it is getting safety and protection. Consequently, different considerations should be applied.

- DV is not about conflict, it is about abuse / violence
 / power
- Consequently, there is often an enduring risk (weaponizing children from past and current relationships; weaponizing the RJ process; increased risk of femicide/familicide).
- The purpose and language of RJ needs to reflect this. RJ cannot be about reconciliation, it is about justice for victims and accountability for offenders.
- Perpetrator programmes are not as developed in DV as SV (and problematic).
- The danger of **Coercive Control**.



Carcliff Prifysgol Metropolitan University Caerdydd See: Hobson and Monckton-Smith (2021); Mercer and Madsen, 2015; Bolitho, 2015; McGlynn and Westmarland, 2019; Keenan, 2018; Zinsstag & Keenan, 2022).



RJ and SV in practice: knowledge and use of different approaches

Restorative Justice as a broad church

- Face-to-face (direct)
 - Non face-to-face 'contact' (indirect)

Potentially overlapping processes

Discrete processes



See: Hobson and Monckton-Smith (2021)



RJ and SV in practice: engaging expert knowledge

SCHOOL OF NATURAL & SOCIAL SCIENCES	UNIVERSITY OF GLOUCESTERSHIRE	
HOMICIDETIMELINE		
Pre-relationship history Criminal record or allegations from forme partners of construct, domastic buse or stabling. Victims often aways but de not adways below		
	2	Early relationship Relationship sped up with early declarations of love, possessiveness and jealousy.
Relationship Relationship dominated by coercive control, quality with some of the high risk markers.		
	•	Trigger/s An event occurs which threatens the control of the perpetrator. Usually separation or its potential. May be a physical or mental illness or fisanatal problems.
Escalation An increase in frequency or severity of control tactics, like suicide threats, begging, violence and stabling.		
	6	Change In thinking Feelings of reverge, injustice or humilistion may drive a decision to resolve issues, through either moving on, revenge, or potentially humicide
Planning May include buying weapons, iseking opportunities to get victim alone, stalking and threats.		
	8	Homicide May involve extreme violence, suidde, suspicious death, missing parson, multiple victims (Including children).

How do we access expert knowledge?

Training (but is this enough? Who gets trained and in what?)

Is there enough awareness of the *lack* of knowledge?

In the meantime, the importance of partnership case-working







RJ and SV in practice: Takeaway points

- That we don't always understand / acknowledge the complexities of power – and if so, it can be very hard to 'flatten the power' in a restorative process.
- The importance of building knowledge and in the meantime working with those that have it.
- What about other examples of where power is not always understood / acknowledged?
 - ➢ Racism
 - ►Sexism
 - Gender-based violence







Take away points



- Definitions of 'serious and complex' can often be about gatekeeping processes (sometimes with good reason)
- Although there is no unfired definition, are we often talking circumstances with significant power imbalances or challenges?
- The evidence shows that RJ/RP can be effective and safe across a range of 'complex and sensitive' contexts
- The importance of understanding the context
- The importance of understanding the nature and role of power
- The importance of expert knowledge, good practice, suitable training, and expert partnerships

References

RJC (2020) Restorative Practice Guidance 2020. available here

ACPO (2011) Restorative Justice Minimum standards guidance

College of Policing (2022) Restorative justice Evidence briefing. available here

HMPPS (2022) Restorative Practice (incorporating Restorative Justice Services) Policy Framework. available here

Fambul tok (2023) About us. Available at: www.fambultok.org/about

Lynch, Kenneth, Hobson, Jonathan and Bangura, Kabba Santigie (2020) Making and maintaining peace in Sierra Leone: the role of religion, courts, and community. In: Social Vulnerabilities Conference 2020, 15/07/2020, University of Gloucestershire.

Hobson, Jonathan, Payne, Brian, Bangura, Kabba Santigie and Hester, Richard (2022) <u>'Spaces' for restorative development:</u> <u>international case studies on restorative services.</u> Contemporary Justice Review, 25 (2). pp. 143-162. doi:<u>10.1080/10282580.2022.2044802</u>

Hobson, Jonathan and Monckton-Smith, Jane (2021) <u>Translating the recommendations from the APPG on Restorative Justice</u> into practice: key considerations for Coercive Control and the potential of the 8-stages of Homicide model as an aid to risk and threat assessment for coercive control. In: Scottish Government and COSLA Joint Roundtable Event on Restorative Justice and Gender-Based Violence.

Hobson, Jonathan, Twyman-Ghoshal, Anamika, Ash, Daniel P and Banwell-Moore, Rebecca (2022b) Metropolitan Police Service restorative justice Rapid Evidence Assessment for Violence Against Women and Girls and youth violence. Project Report. University of Gloucestershire. (Available <u>here</u>)



