



UNIVERSITY OF  
GLOUCESTERSHIRE

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document, © Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ. and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 license:

**Barden, Craig ORCID: 0000-0001-5504-2548 (2023) Injury epidemiology and prevention in youth rugby union (PhD Academy Award). British Journal of Sports Medicine, 57 (21). pp. 1407-1408. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2023-106844**

Official URL: <http://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2023-106844>

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2023-106844>

EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/12751>

#### **Disclaimer**

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

# **Injury Epidemiology and Prevention in Youth Rugby Union**

## **Corresponding and sole author:**

**Dr Craig Barden<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.

<sup>2</sup>School of Natural, Social and Sport Sciences, University of Gloucestershire, Gloucester, UK. (**Corresponding address**).

[Cbarden@glos.ac.uk](mailto:Cbarden@glos.ac.uk)

**Word Count:** 800

**Figures:** 1

**References:** 8

**Keywords:** Rugby, Injury, Prevention, Youth, Implementation

## What did I do?

This mixed-methods thesis assessed the implementation and effectiveness of the *Activate injury prevention exercise programme* in English schoolboy rugby union. *Activate* is a rugby-specific warm-up designed to be used three times per week prior to training and games[1].

Initially, I conducted a systematic review investigating the implementation of rugby injury prevention strategies[2] to inform and develop the following research questions (figure 1):

- What are the knowledge, perceptions and awareness of schoolboy rugby coaches towards injury prevention, risk and *Activate*?
- Does attending an *Activate* workshops change these perceptions and affect *Activate* implementation?
- What barriers and facilitators are there to coaches implementing *Activate*?
- Is *Activate* effective in reducing injury risk in schoolboy rugby?

I co-authored the Youth Rugby Injury Surveillance and Prevention Project, to further understand the risk, types and mechanisms of injury in schoolboy rugby, providing epidemiological data to support the thesis[3].

## Why did I do it?

Rugby Union has come under intense scrutiny due to the associated injury risk and the prevalence of injuries, such as concussion[3]. Various preventative strategies have been developed with one breakthrough being *Activate*. In 2015, *Activate* efficacy was assessed in a randomised controlled trial, reporting a 72% reduction in match injury incidence and 59% lower match concussion incidence for those using the programme three times per week[1]. In 2017, *Activate* was endorsed and disseminated by World Rugby (international governing body) and the Rugby Football Union (RFU; English governing body). However, efficacious interventions often do not have their intended effect in the applied-setting[4]. As such, there was a need to assess if and how *Activate* was being implemented in the 'real-world' and the effectiveness to reduce injury risk.

## How did I do it?

I conducted a systematic review investigating the implementation of rugby injury prevention strategies to inform subsequent studies[2]. Articles were evaluated against the RE-AIM (reach, effectiveness, adoption, implementation, maintenance) framework, evaluating the reporting and assessment of implementation determinants.

Next, I invited coaches and players to complete a baseline survey investigating their perceptions towards injury risk, awareness of *Activate* and current injury prevention behaviours[5].

The RFU developed free *Activate* coach workshops as part of their dissemination strategy. The workshops were based upon constructs of the Health Action Process Approach (HAPA) model, specifically targeting improving self-efficacy. However, there was a need to assess the workshop's effect on attendees' perceptions and *Activate* behaviour[6].

A qualitative approach, through semi-structured interviews, was used to gain feedback from coaches exploring the barriers and facilitators to using *Activate*[7], with constructs of the HAPA model guiding interview themes and questions.

Finally, *Activate* effectiveness was assessed in a pragmatic study, assessing whether team weekly adherence and individual player exposure was associated with lower injury risk[8].

## What did I find?

The systematic review highlighted the focus of rugby injury prevention research on intervention efficacy or effectiveness, with minimal reporting or assessment of intervention adoption, implementation, and maintenance[2].

Poor *Activate* implementation was highlighted through baseline surveys, with only 13% of players aware of *Activate*[5]. Coaches reported good *Activate* adoption during the season (76%), suggesting coaches made the decision whether to use *Activate*. Coaches generally did not implement *Activate* as intended, with a median adherence of two sessions per week and shortening the programme's duration.

Attending a pre-season *Activate* workshop did not change coaches' perceptions towards injury risk or prevention[6]. However, attendees had significantly greater *Activate* adoption and adherence during the season than non-attendees, associated with improvements in self-efficacy, supporting the use of a workshop to target behaviour change.

When interviewed, coaches reported positive perceptions towards *Activate*. However, none implemented *Activate* as designed, often selecting only a few exercises or gamifying the programme[7]. Some coaches adapted *Activate* to make it suitable for multiple sports, reflective of the school sport context, whilst others asked players to deliver *Activate* themselves, despite players being largely unaware of the programme.

Teams adopting *Activate* had a reduction in match and training injury incidence (23% and 59% respectively)[8]. A positive dose-response relationship existed between adherence and injury incidence, with the greatest effect found when completing *Activate* three times per week (match incidence 21.7/1000h) versus one-two times (28.8/1000h) or less than once per week (31.3/1000h).

## What is the most important clinical impact / practical application?

This body of work showed *Activate* is effective at reducing injury risk in schoolboy rugby union, especially when used thrice weekly, and the programme should be further advocated for use in this population. A quarter of coaches were unaware of *Activate* and consideration should be given to maximising awareness. Workshops significantly improved coach behaviour, but are no longer being offered by the RFU. Making workshops available and accessible (online or pre-recorded) would likely aid implementation, although removal of the practical element may hinder self-efficacy development. Coaches heavily adapted the programme to improve player buy-in, but despite this *Activate* was effective at reducing injury risk.

## References

1. Hislop MD, Stokes KA, Williams S, *et al.* Reducing musculoskeletal injury and concussion risk in schoolboy rugby players with a pre-activity movement control exercise programme: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;**51**:1140–6.
2. Barden C, Bekker S, Brown JC, *et al.* Evaluating the implementation of injury prevention strategies in rugby Union and League: a systematic review using the RE-AIM framework. *Int J Sports Med* 2021;**42**:112–21.
3. England Rugby. Youth Rugby Injury Surveillance and Prevention Project, Season Report 2019-20. 2021. <https://www.englandrugby.com/dxdam/c0/c00b1760-d51a-4159-b815-f561f12f316d/YRISP%20Report%2019-20.pdf> (accessed 10<sup>th</sup> February 2023).

4. Finch, C. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. *J Sci Med Sport* 2006;**9**(1-2):3-9.
5. Barden C, Stokes KA, McKay CD. Implementation of the Activate injury prevention exercise programme in English schoolboy rugby union. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2021;**7**:e001018.
6. Barden C, Stokes KA, McKay CD. Utilising a Behaviour Change Model to Improve Implementation of the Activate Injury Prevention Exercise Programme in Schoolboy Rugby Union. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;**18**(11). doi:10.3390/ijerph18115681.
7. Barden C, Watkins R., Stokes K. A., *et al.* Barriers and facilitators to implementing the Activate injury prevention exercise programme – A qualitative study of schoolboy rugby coaches. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2022;**17**(6):1317–1330.
8. Barden C, Hancock MV, Stokes KA, *et al.* Effectiveness of the *Activate* injury prevention exercise programme to prevent injury in schoolboy rugby union. *Br J Sports Med* 2022;**56**:812-817.

## Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my supervisors Dr Carly McKay and Professor Keith Stokes for their guidance over the course of this journey. Thank you to all my co-authors, students and staff from the Centre for Health and Injury and Illness Prevention in Sport (Chi<sup>2</sup>PS) at the University of Bath. I am extremely grateful to the Rugby Football Union for funding my PhD. Lastly, I dedicate this work to my family for their unconditional support.

## Figure Legend

**Figure 1.** Flow chart outlining thesis studies and their relation to each other [reference].

NOTE: HAPA: Health Action Process Approach Model. RE-AIM: Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation, Maintenance Framework.