



This is supplemental material of the following published document, This is an Accepted Manuscript of a book chapter published by Routledge in Routledge Handbook of Urban Food Governance on October 20 2022, available online: <http://www.routledge.com/9781003055907>
© 2023 selection and editorial matter, Ana Moragues-Faus, Jill K. Clark, Jane Battersby, and Anna Davies; individual chapters, the contributors. All rights reserved. and is licensed under All Rights Reserved license:

Keech, Daniel ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4112-9030>, Maye, Damian ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4459-6630> and Reed, Matt ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1105-9625> (2022) Innovation Theory and Urban Food Governance. In: Routledge Handbook of Urban Food Governance. Routledge, London, pp. 164-180. ISBN 9781003055907

Official URL: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003055907>

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781003055907>

EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/12499>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

Mechanism	Governance objectives	Living lab experimentation
Municipal food strategies	Multiple municipal actions and responsibilities covered in relation to food. Strategies link ambitions and outcomes of agriculture, urban consumption and food security.	Lucca co-developed a food strategy with the aim to unit five municipalities around a shared vision and implementation structure.
Territorial cohesion	Co-ordinated efforts to promote food-based territorial cohesion promote food system integration and market access.	Tukums LL drew on producer and gastronomic networks, branding, market infrastructure assets and producer directories to enhance regional food identity and social inclusion.
Public procurement	Procurement contracts legally specify environmental and social food qualities that have been politically agreed.	Gloucestershire, Lucca, Ljubljana, Lisbon, Tukums and Valencia worked with partners to improve the effectiveness of regional supply chains in school food catering.
Branding	European (PDO/PGI) and local symbols on food packaging underline the importance of food to regional identity, and regulate distinctive qualities of production.	In Lucca and Tukums local products and supply chains highlighted efforts to improve visitor awareness of local food offers, and to support value-added opportunities in local and export markets.
Sustainability indicators	Food system performance indicators help to integrate and measure food system performance across multiple dimensions.	Ede's living lab initiated a range of dashboard indicators to assess rural-urban linkages and sustainability performance in the region.

Access to land can be a limiting or enabling factor in urban agriculture. 'De Site' a community gardening project in Ghent, Belgium, overcame limits in availability by using land for a short period, planting vegetables in a brownfield site allocated for future housing development. The gardens allowed a predominantly migrant community the chance to grow food, initially for self-provisioning, although surpluses were sold to a community shop using an alternative local currency. These small gardens also provided the opportunity to meet and discuss, and to nurture a community. By liaising with the planning authority, the project representatives succeeded in securing an alternative location to which to move the gardens, once the original site was developed. In this way, the project made use of the limited period of land availability and ensured continuity of community cohesion and new food growing opportunities. You can read more here - <https://use.metropolis.org/case-studies/growth-of-small-scale-peri-urban-agriculture-in-ghent-belgium#casestudydetail>