

This is a peer-reviewed, final published version of the following document, © 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 license:

Barden, Craig ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5504-2548 and Thain, Peter K. (2022) Injury surveillance in English youth basketball: A 5-season cohort study to inform injury prevention strategies. Physical Therapy in Sport, 58. pp. 34-40. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.08.005

Official URL: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.08.005 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.08.005 EPrint URI: https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/11540

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

Physical Therapy in Sport 58 (2022) 34-40

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physical Therapy in Sport

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/ptsp

Injury surveillance in English youth basketball: A 5-season cohort study to inform injury prevention strategies

Dr Craig Barden^{a, b, *}, Dr Peter K. Thain^c

^a Sports Science and Medicine Department, SGS Sport, South Gloucestershire and Stroud College, Bristol, UK

^b School of Sport and Exercise, University of Gloucestershire, Gloucester, UK

^c School of Health Sciences, Birmingham City University, Birmingham, UK

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 17 June 2022 Received in revised form 25 August 2022 Accepted 28 August 2022

Keywords: Basketball Youth Injury Epidemiology

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Describe the injury risk of English youth basketball, comparing game versus training injury incidence and burden. Design: 5 season (2013/14-2018/19) prospective cohort study. Setting: Basketball academy at an English sports college. *Participants*: Male basketball players (n = 110, mean age; 17.3 ± 0.9 years). Main outcomes measures: Descriptive data regarding game and training injury incidence (injuries per 1000 athlete-exposures (AE)) and burden (severity x incidence) are provided with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Rate ratios (RR; 95% CI) were used to compare outcome measures, with results statistically significant if the 95% CI did not pass 1.0. Results: Fifty-four injuries were sustained during 13,350-AE (1666 games, 9684 training). Game injury incidence (12.0/1000-AE, 95% CI 6.7–17.3) was significantly greater than training injury incidence (2.4/ 1000-AE, 95% CI 1.4-3.3; RR = 5.1, 95% CI 2.8-9.2). Games had a significantly greater injury burden (216 days absence/1000-AE, 95% CI 121-311) than training (62 days absence/1000-AE, 95% CI 37-88; RR = 3.5, 95% CI 1.9-6.3). The ankle was the most injured body location (37%), whilst over 50% of injuries occurring through contact mechanisms. Conclusion: This study is the most comprehensive description of injury epidemiology in English youth basketball to date. This information can inform evidence-based injury prevention strategies to mitigate risk in this population.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Basketball is a multidirectional sport, requiring excellent agility, power and strength during intermittent bouts of high intensity activity (Ben Abdelkrim et al., 2010; Dick et al., 2007; Petway et al., 2020). In England, basketball has the second highest participation rate of any team-sport, second only to football, with nearly half a million young people (16–24 year olds) playing per year (Sport England, 2022). These figures are surprisingly high given the sport does not gain much national publicity in comparison to traditional sports such as football and rugby. Whilst all sports participation comes with a risk of injury, the risk in English youth basketball is

 \ast Corresponding author. SGS Sports Academies, WISE Campus, New Road, Stoke Gifford, Bristol, BS34 8LP, UK.

E-mail address: Craig.Barden@sgscol.ac.uk (D.C. Barden).

largely unknown with a scarcity of research in this area.

Injuries can have a detrimental effect on a young athlete's physical and mental health (Maffulli et al., 2010; Putukian, 2016), impair academic achievement (Russell et al., 2019) and lead to cessation of sport and physical activity (Ardern, Taylor, Feller, & Webster, 2012). Describing the epidemiology of injury is the first stage of developing injury prevention strategies (Bolling, van Mechelen, Pasman, & Verhagen, 2018; Finch, 2006). To the authors knowledge, only one previous study has described the injury risk in English basketball (Barden, Quarrie, McKay, & Stokes, 2021), with much of the available literature coming from North American high-school and collegiate injury surveillance programmes (Kerr et al., 2018). A ten-season cohort study from these populations identified injuries to the lower-limb as the most common, predominantly occurring at the ankle (game incidence range: 0.33-1.64/1000-AE) and knee (game incidence range: 0.85-2.15/ 1000-AE) (Clifton et al., 2018). Interestingly, concussions were the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.08.005

1466-853X/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





fourth most common pathology in collegiate basketball (Dick et al., 2007), with 84% occurring through player contact despite basketball being classed as a 'non-contact' sport (Chandran, Elmi, Young, & DiPietro, 2020). The high prevalence of contact related injuries is evident in the literature (Clifton et al., 2018; Dick et al., 2007), with over 50% of training and game injuries arising from player-contact in North American high-school and collegiate basketball (Clifton et al., 2018). These findings reinforce the need to conduct robust injury surveillance studies, describing the pathologies and injury aetiology occurring in various populations. However, most epidemiological data is currently from North American settings, where differences in laws, such as quarter length and the use of a shot clock, exist in comparison to English basketball. These subtle differences may result in large differences in game demands and styles of play, all subsequently influencing injury risk. Thus, there is a need to assess the injury risk in English youth basketball as the generalisability of existing literature may not be appropriate.

English youth basketball has high participation rates but there is a paucity of epidemiological data meaning the public health impact is unknown and evidence-based preventative strategies cannot be developed. Thus, the aims of this study were to 1) describe the injury risk (incidence and burden) of basketball within an English college (similar to high-school) population, 2) compare the injury risk between training and game injuries.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Design and setting

This prospective cohort study of a single male basketball academy (16-19-year-old student athletes) was conducted over 5seasons (2013/14-2018/19) at an English sports college. Over the course of the study the basketball academy had two teams, generally training twice per week (Monday and Friday), playing one fixture per week (Wednesday; or training if no game). The first team played in the national Elite Academy Basketball League (top national age-group competition), whilst the second team played in a regional league (Association of Colleges). Each season span from September/October to March/April depending on playoff success. Friendly games, included in this study, were played in pre-season (September/October) and throughout the season. Athletes provided written informed consent in pre-season for their injury data to be retained anonymously for the purposes of this injury surveillance study. Parental assent was obtained for individuals under-18 years old.

2.2. Injury surveillance and definitions

A 24-h time-loss injury definition was used as per the International Olympic Committee Consensus statement for recording epidemiological data (Bahr et al., 2020). Training attendance was compulsory and athletes attending training but unable to participate due to injury were instructed to attend the colleges sports injury clinic, where they could access free injury assessment and treatment. Injuries were captured on a bespoke paper report form detailing: date of injury, date of return, situation (training, game, overuse), injury mechanism (player contact, other contact, noncontact, overuse), general body region (upper-limb, lower-limb, head/neck, torso), specific body location (ankle, calf/achilles, foot, hand/wrist, hip, knee, low back, shoulder, thigh/groin, other), injury type (muscle/tendon, ligament, contusion/bruising, bone/ fracture, other) and specific injury diagnosis (coded as per OSICS9) (Orchard et al., 2010). Overuse injuries were those classified as basketball related, occurring during or post-training/game, but with no mechanism identifiable at the time (Barden et al., 2021).

Data was subsequently logged electronically in a database collated by the medical lead at the college (corresponding author). Due to the sample size, only the two most prevalent specific injury diagnosis are described in the results. Operationalised definitions can be found in supplementary file 1.

Training athlete-exposures (AE) were calculated via attendance registers completed by coaches for every basketball session (training and games). Training duration was not recorded and thus no time denominator was used for training injury risk. Game athlete-exposures were calculated from game statistics sheets generated for all games (5 a-side, 4×10 -min quarters). Player game-hours (5 starting players x 40-min game duration/60 min) were calculated, with no additional exposure recorded for games which went to overtime.

2.3. Analysis

Training and game injury incidence is reported per 1000 athlete-exposures (/1000-AE), presented with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Game injury incidence is also reported per 1000 game player-hours (/1000 h) to allow comparisons with other studies using this denominator. Injury severity was calculated from the date of injury to the date the athlete was cleared to return to full participation. Injury burden (severity x incidence = days lost/1000-AE) was calculated to provide a measure of the total number of days lost over a time period. Prevalence is defined as the percentage of cases divided by the total population at risk. Further descriptive statistics [mean, range, 95% CI] are reported where applicable. Injuries which extended into the off-season were treated by the medical team two weeks into the off-season, after which the severity was marked as unknown (n = 3). Injuries with unknown severity, due to extending into the off-season (n = 2) or selfdischarge (n = 1), were excluded from severity and burden analysis. Rate ratios (RR) were calculated to compare the overall incidence and burden between training and games. A RR > 1.0 suggests an increase in risk in games, whilst a RR < 1.0 suggests and increase in training risk. The same techniques were used to compare training and game injuries by mechanism, location and type. RR were deemed statistically significant if the 95% confidence intervals did not cross 1.0 (Knowles, Marshall, & Guskiewicz, 2006).

3. Results

In total, 110 athletes (mean age = 17.3 ± 0.9 years), completing 175 player-seasons, were enrolled in a basketball academy over the 5-seasons. Fifty-four injuries were recorded (20 games, 23 training, 11 overuse), sustained by 46 athletes, in 11,350 athlete-exposures (1666 games, 9684 training; Table 1). A total of 161 games (537 player-hours) were played, across both teams, throughout the study period (see Table 2).

3.1. Injury incidence

Over the 5-seasons, game injury incidence (12.0/1000-AE, 95% CI 6.7–17.3) was significantly greater than training incidence (2.4/ 1000-AE, 95% CI 1.4–3.3; RR = 5.1, 95% CI 2.8–9.2). Overuse injuries had an incidence of 1.0/1000-AE (95% CI 0.4–1.5) (Fig. 1). Using a player-hour denominator, game incidence was 37.3/1000 h (95% CI 20.9–53.6).

3.2. Injury severity

Injury severity ranged from 1 to 85 days, with mean game severity 18 days missed (95% CI 10-26) and mean training severity of 26 days missed (95% CI 15-37). Overuse injuries had a mean

Table 1

Descriptive overview by situation.

Measure	Overall	Training	Game	Overuse
Athlete-Exposure (AE)	11350	9684	1666	11350
Injuries (n)	54	23	20	11
Incidence/1000-AE (95% CI)	4.8 (3.5-6.0)	2.4 (1.4–3.3)	12.0 (6.7–17.3)	1.0 (0.4-1.5)
Mean Severity (95% CI)	24 (18-31)	26 (15-37)	18 (10-26)	31 (13-49)
Burden/1000-AE (95% CI)	115 (84-145)	62 (37-88)	216 (121-311)	30 (12-48)

Table 2

Descriptive statistics (incidence, severity, burden) with 95% confidence intervals for game and training injuries.

Nature of Injury	Game				Training			
	n (%)	Incidence /1000-AE	Severity	Burden /1000-AE	n (%)	Incidence /1000-AE	Severity	Burden /1000-AE
Mechanism								
- Player contact	11 (55%)	6.6 (2.7-10.5)	16 (10-23)	106 (43-169)	12 (52%)	1.2 (0.5-1.9)	34 (27-41)	42 (18-66)
- Non-contact	7 (35%)	4.2 (1.1-7.3)	24 (19-29)	101 (26-176)	11 (48%)	1.1 (0.5-1.8)	19 (12-25)	21 (9-34)
General Location								
- Lower limb	17 (85%)	10.2 (5.4-15.1)	20 (12-28)	205 (107-302)	20 (87%)	2.0 (1.2-3.0)	25 (16-34)	51 (29-74)
Body Location								
- Ankle	9 (45%)	5.4 (1.9-8.9)	28 (23-34)	154 (53-254)	11 (48%)	1.1 (0.5-1.8)	36 (30-42)	37 (14-60)
- Knee	2 (10%)	1.2 (0.0-2.9)	5 (2-8)	6 (0-14)	6 (26%)	0.6 (0.1-1.1)	15 (10-20)	9 (2-17)
Туре								
- Muscle/tendon	5 (25%)	3.0 (0.4-5.6)	5 (1-9)	15 (2-28)	5 (22%)	0.5 (0.1-1)	12 (8-17)	6 (1-12)
- Ligament (non-bone)	12 (60%)	7.2 (3.1–11.3)	25 (18-32)	181 (79-284)	15 (65%)	1.5 (0.8–2.3)	30 (23-38)	47 (23-71)

Note: Data not provided for variables where overall n < 5 due to the wide range in confidence intervals.



Fig. 1. Injury Risk Matrix (injury incidence x severity) by situational breakdown, presented with 95% Cl.

severity of 31 days lost (95% CI 13-49).

3.3. Injury burden

Games had a significantly greater injury burden (216 days absence/1000-AE, 95% CI 121-311) than training (62 days absence/1000-AE, 95% CI 37-88; RR = 3.5, 95% CI 1.9–6.3). Using a time denominator, games had an injury burden of 671 days absence/1000 h (95% CI 377-965). The burden of overuse injuries was 30 days absence/1000-AE (95% CI 12-48).

3.4. Injury location

Lower limb injuries were most prevalent in games (85.0%, 10.2/1000 A-E, 95% CI 5.4-15.1) and training (87.0%, 2.1/1000-AE, 95% CI 1.2-3.0; RR = 4.9, 95% CI 2.6-9.4), with only 4 trunk and 3 upper

limb injuries recorded overall (Fig. 2). Of specific body locations, the ankle (37.0%, 1.8/1000-AE, 95% CI 1.0–2.5) was the most injured overall, followed by the knee (31.5%, 1.5/1000-AE, 95% CI 0.8–2.2). No other specific body location sustained more than four injuries. The incidence of ankle injuries was significantly greater in games than training (5.4/1000-AE, 95% CI 1.9–8.9 and 1.1/1000-AE, 95% CI 0.5–1.8, respectively; RR = 4.8, 95% CI 2.0–11.5).

3.5. Injury mechanism

The most common mechanism of injury in both games and training was via player contact (55%, 6.6/1000-AE, 95% Cl 2.7–10.5 and 52%, 1.2/1000-AE, 95% Cl 0.5–1.9, respectively; RR = 5.3, 95% Cl 2.4–12.1). There was a significantly greater incidence of non-contact injuries in games compared to training (RR = 3.7, 95% Cl 1.4–9.5). Overall, 20% (1.0/1000-AE, 95% Cl 0.4–1.5) of all injuries were categorised as overuse.

3.6. Injury type

Ligament sprains were the most common injury type for games and training (60%, 7.2/1000-AE, 95% Cl 3.1–11.3 and 65%, 1.5/1000-AE, 95% Cl 0.8–2.3, respectively) followed by muscle/tendon injuries (25%, 3.0/1000-AE, 95% Cl 0.4–5.6 and 22%, 0.5/1000-AE, 95% Cl 0.1–1.0, respectively). The incidence of ligament sprains and muscle/tendon strains was significantly greater in games than training (RR = 4.7, 95% Cl 2.2–9.9 and RR = 5.8, 95% Cl 1.7–20.1, respectively). Lateral ankle sprains were the most common specific injury diagnosis (n = 19; 35% of all injuries), mostly occurring in training (53%; game 47%), with player contact the most frequent mechanism (74%). Patellar tendinopathy was the second most common specific injury diagnosis (n = 9), accounting for 73% of all overuse injuries.

4. Discussion

This study provides the most comprehensive description of the



Fig. 2. Rate Ratios (with 95% CI) for game versus training injuries. Rate ratio>1 suggests greater injury risk in games than training.

injury risk in English youth basketball to date. Basketball is one of the most popular sports amongst adolescents in England and, due to its perceived 'non-contact' nature, it could be expected that basketball injuries are not a public health concern. However, the results from this study suggest that the injury risk is similar to other popular sports such as rugby. Describing the aetiology and pathology of basketball injuries is the first step to developing evidence-based preventative strategies specific to this population, making the sport as safe as possible for all participating.

The overall injury incidence (games and training combined) in this study was 4.8/1000-AE. However, games had a significantly greater injury risk than training (RR = 5.1), a finding consistent with basketball studies across a variety of youth settings (Borowski, Yard, Fields, & Comstock, 2008: Owoeve et al., 2020: Pasanen et al., 2017). Whilst research comparing training and game demands is sparse, a cohort study of a professional Spanish basketball team reported peak and maximum heart rate was greater in games than training (Torres-Ronda, Ric, Llabres-Torres, de Las Heras, & Schelling, 2016). Training sessions may include shooting drills, often controlled, non-contact and low intensity, considerably different than the fast, explosive, unpredictable nature of game play. Describing the risk and aetiology of injuries arising from games and training separately may influence the adoption of injury prevention strategies such as neuromuscular training programmes (Bonato, Benis, & La Torre, 2018; Owoeye, Palacios-Derflingher, & Emery, 2018), load management strategies (Weiss, Allen, McGuigan, & Whatman, 2017) or protective equipment (McGuine, Brooks, & Hetzel. 2011).

The game injury incidence rate reported in this present study (12.0/1000-AE) is notably greater than studies using the same timeloss definition in North American high-school (2.61–2.93/1000-AE) (Borowski et al., 2008; Clifton et al., 2018) and collegiate settings (8.85–9.99/1000-AE) (Clifton et al., 2018; Dick et al., 2007). Using a time-exposure denominator, the game injury incidence (37.3/ 1000 h) is comparable to Finnish (36.8/1000 h) (Pasanen et al., 2017) and English (43.0/1000 h) (Barden et al., 2021) male youth basketball cohorts. These incidence rates are greater than those reported in under-18 English rugby union (30.1/1000 h) (England Rugby, 2021), a sport which has come under intense scrutiny due to its high injury risk (Tucker, Raftery, & Verhagen, 2016). With the reported basketball injury risk in this study, combined with large participation numbers, preventative strategies and policies need to be developed to mitigate injury risk in English basketball, especially given both the physical and psychological impact sports injuries have on an athlete (Maffulli et al., 2010; Wiese-bjornstal et al., 1998).

The lower-limb was the most commonly injured body location in games (85%) and training (87%). Lateral ankle sprains were the most common pathology, accounting for 35% of all injuries within this study. This finding is consistent with studies in youth male basketball players in Finland (Pasanen et al., 2017), North American collegiate (Dick et al., 2007; Meeuwisse, Sellmer, & Hagel, 2003) and professional basketball players (Deitch, Starkey, Walters, & Moseley, 2006: Drakos, Domb, Starkey, Callahan, & Allen, 2010). Player contact was responsible for most lateral ankle sprains, a common trend amongst the basketball literature (Dick et al., 2007). The most common mechanism of injury to the lateral ankle ligaments is excessive loading during inversion, plantarflexion and internal rotation (Kristianslund et al., 2011). Within basketball this is frequently achieved when landing from a jump and mounting a player's foot (Cumps et al., 2007b). Given their prevalence and burden, with each sprain resulting in over a month lost, implementing preventative strategies to target ankle injuries is critical. The use of balance and proprioception training has been shown to reduce the incidence of ankle injuries in basketball (Cumps et al., 2007a; Eils, Schroter, Schroder, Gerss, & Rosenbaum, 2010), whilst screening athletes to highlight those at a predisposed risk (Plisky, Rauh, Kaminski, & Underwood, 2006) has been recommended. Taping or bracing to restrict excessive inversion is a common strategy used to prevent primary or secondary ankle injuries (McGuine et al., 2011). However, there is evidence that reducing the range of movement at the ankle joint alters the kinematics at the knee and subsequently increases injury risk further at this joint (Williams, Ng, Stephens, Klem, & Wild, 2018). Whilst this area needs further research, medical professionals should be mindful of this and use prophylactic taping and bracing based on an individualised approach.

Patellar tendinopathy was the second most common specific injury diagnosis, accounting for 73% of all overuse injuries. These injuries are notoriously difficult to manage but the use of isometric contractions may provide analgesic relief (Rio et al., 2017). Recently, much attention has focused on load management (Bourdon et al.,

2017), aimed at minimising overuse injuries whilst maximising performance and reducing fatigue. Subsequently, the National Basketball Association (2016) have published load management guidelines for youth athletes, with recommendations around maximum training duration and minimum number of rest days per week. A further consideration for young athletes is that they may be playing multiple sports at one time or throughout a year. Evidence suggests that high-school athletes playing sport for 12 months of the year had a 42% increase in overuse injuries versus those who have an off season (Cuff, Loud, & O'Riordan, 2010). Therefore, it may be necessary to quantify load external to basketball for youth athletes if attempting to use load management to mitigate overuse injuries.

Neuromuscular training programmes are one strategy showing promise at reducing injury risk across multiple contexts. A metaanalysis found these programmes, often used as a warm-up, can reduce lower-limb injuries by 36% in youth sports including basketball (Emery, Roy, Whittaker, Nettel-Aguirre, & van Mechelen, 2015), whilst also improving physical performance (Faude et al., 2017). A greater dose-response effect of these programmes is seen when completed two or three times per week (Steib et al., 2017), whilst it is possible they may also provide a benefit when completed after a session (Whalan, Lovell, Steele, & Sampson, 2019). However, such interventions have been blighted by poor adherence and inadequate exercise fidelity (performing exercises competently) in youth basketball teams (Owoeye, Emery, Befus, Palacios-Derflingher, & Pasanen, 2020). Successful implementation is complex, but is influenced by end-users (coaches, medical professionals and athletes) perceptions, behaviour determinants and perceived contextual barriers (Munoz-Plaza et al., 2021; Raisanen et al., 2021; Verhagen et al., 2010). These remain unexplored in English youth basketball and a unique opportunity exists to investigate these behavioural determinants prior to engaging end-users to develop context-specific injury prevention strategies. This may expedite the uptake of research into practice, improving implementation and subsequently intervention effectiveness (Finch, 2006).

4.1. Limitations

This study used a time-loss injury definition to ensure consistency in the data collection. However, this approach fails to capture the impact that non-time loss injuries may have on an athlete and their performance (Clarsen, Ronsen, Myklebust, Florenes, & Bahr, 2014). A medical-attention definition may be more appropriate for basketball, given the prevalence of overuse injuries such as patellar tendinopathy. The Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre Overuse Questionnaire may be of help to medical practitioners in the identification and management of these pathologies (Clarsen et al., 2020), and should be a consideration for future studies in this population.

This study was conducted prior to the publication of the International Olympic Committee's consensus statement for injury surveillance studies (Bahr et al., 2020). As such, the injury definitions adopted in this study do not precisely follow those recommended, although they are similar given they follow previously published sport-specific consensus statements (Fuller et al., 2006; Fuller et al., 2007). However, to progress the sports injury epidemiology field forward, it is recommended that injury surveillance studies use the consensus statement definitions and methodologies to allow for valid comparisons between studies (Bahr et al., 2020).

5. Conclusion

Basketball games have a significantly greater injury incidence

than training, with most injuries occurring at the ankle and knee. The injury risk described in this study is comparable to contact sports, such as rugby, and when combined with high participation rates may mean they are affecting more young athletes than perhaps suspected. Describing injury risk, common mechanisms and specific pathologies occurring in English youth basketball is the first step developing evidence-based preventative strategies specific to this population. Given no such interventions currently exist, there is a unique opportunity to assess end-users' perceptions towards injury risk and prevention, alongside establishing contextual barriers towards preventative strategies. Once these have been established, it is recommended end-users are included in the development of such strategies to expedite the lag between research and practice, reducing the risk of injury in this population.

Ethical approval

All participants provided written informed consent, whilst parental consent was provided for those under-18 years old. It was not possible to obtain institutional ethical approval. Injury data was retained anonymously and individuals are not identifiable in the study.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of competing interest

The primary author is the Head of Strength, Conditioning and Sports Therapy at the college where this data was collected.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the medical professionals at the college for collecting injury data throughout the study period.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.08.005.

References

- , Jan Ardern, C. L., Taylor, N. F., Feller, J. A., & Webster, K. E. (2012). Return-to-sport outcomes at 2 to 7 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 40(1), 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546511422999.
- , Apr Bahr, R., Clarsen, B., Derman, W., Dvorak, J., Emery, C. A., Finch, C. F., Hagglund, M., Junge, A., Kemp, S., Khan, K. M., Marshall, S. W., Meeuwisse, W., Mountjoy, M., Orchard, J. W., Pluim, B., Quarrie, K. L., Reider, B., Schwellnus, M., Soligard, T., ... Chamari, K. (2020). International olympic committee consensus statement: Methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on injury and illness in sport 2020 (including STROBE extension for sport injury and illness surveillance (STROBE-SIIS)). British Journal of Sports Medicine, 54(7), 372–389. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-101969.
- , Oct Barden, C., Quarrie, K. L., McKay, C., & Stokes, K. A. (2021). Employing standardised methods to compare injury risk across seven youth team sports. Int J Sports Med, 42(11), 1019–1026. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1327-3009.
- Sep Ben Abdelkrim, N., Castagna, C., Jabri, I., Battikh, T., El Fazaa, S., & El Ati, J. (2010). Activity profile and physiological requirements of junior elite basketball players in relation to aerobic-anaerobic fitness. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 24(9), 2330–2342. https://doi.org/10.1519/ JSC.0b013e3181e381c1.
- , Oct Bolling, C., van Mechelen, W., Pasman, H. R., & Verhagen, E. (2018). Context matters: Revisiting the first step of the 'sequence of prevention' of sports injuries. *Sports Medicine*, 48(10), 2227–2234. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0953-x.
- , Apr Bonato, M., Benis, R., & La Torre, A. (2018). Neuromuscular training reduces lower limb injuries in elite female basketball players. A cluster randomized

D.C. Barden and D.P.K. Thain

controlled trial. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 28(4), 1451-1460. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13034.

- , Dec Borowski, L. A., Yard, E. E., Fields, S. K., & Comstock, R. D. (2008). The epidemiology of US high school basketball injuries, 2005-2007. *The American Journal* of Sports Medicine, 36(12), 2328–2335. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546508322893.
- , Apr Bourdon, P. C., Cardinale, M., Murray, A., Gastin, P., Kellmann, M., Varley, M. C., Gabbett, T. J., Coutts, A. J., Burgess, D. J., Gregson, W., & Cable, N. T. (2017). Monitoring athlete training loads: Consensus statement. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 12(Suppl 2), S2161–S2170. https://doi.org/ 10.1123/IJSPP.2017-0208.
- Chandran, A., Elmi, A., Young, H., & DiPietro, L. (2020, Jan-Mar). Determinants of concussion diagnosis, symptomology, and resolution time in U.S. high school soccer players. *Research in Sports Medicine*, 28(1), 42–54. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/15438627.2019.1590834
- Apr Clarsen, B., Bahr, R., Myklebust, G., Andersson, S. H., Docking, S. I., Drew, M., Finch, C. F., Fortington, L. V., Haroy, J., Khan, K. M., Moreau, B., Moore, I. S., Moller, M., Nabhan, D., Nielsen, R. O., Pasanen, K., Schwellnus, M., Soligard, T., & Verhagen, E. (2020). Improved reporting of overuse injuries and health problems in sport: An update of the oslo sport Trauma research center questionnaires. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(7), 390–396. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bjsports-2019-101337.
- , May Clarsen, B., Ronsen, O., Myklebust, G., Florenes, T. W., & Bahr, R. (2014). The oslo sports Trauma research center questionnaire on health problems: A new approach to prospective monitoring of illness and injury in elite athletes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 48(9), 754–760. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-092087.
- Nov Clifton, D. R., Onate, J. A., Hertel, J., Pierpoint, L. A., Currie, D. W., Wasserman, E. B., Knowles, S. B., Dompier, T. P., Marshall, S. W., Comstock, R. D., & Kerr, Z. Y. (2018). The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance: Descriptive epidemiology of injuries in US high school boys' basketball (2005-2006 through 2013-2014) and national collegiate athletic association men's basketball (2004-2005 through 2013-2014). *Journal of Athletic Training*, 53(11), 1025–1036. https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-148-17.
- , Aug Cuff, S., Loud, K., & O'Riordan, M. A. (2010). Overuse injuries in high school athletes. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*, 49(8), 731–736. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0009922810363154.
- Cumps, E., Verhagen, E., & Meeusen, R. (2007a). Efficacy of a sports specific balance training programme on the incidence of ankle sprains in basketball. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 6(2), 212–219. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/24149331.
- Cumps, E., Verhagen, E., & Meeusen, R. (2007b). Prospective epidemiological study of basketball injuries during one competitive season: Ankle sprains and overuse knee injuries. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 6(2), 204–211. https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24149330.
- Jul Deitch, J. R., Starkey, C., Walters, S. L., & Moseley, J. B. (2006). Injury risk in professional basketball players: A comparison of women's national basketball association and national basketball association athletes. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 34(7), 1077–1083. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546505285383.
- Dick, R., Hertel, J., Agel, J., Grossman, J., & Marshall, S. W. (2007). Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate men's basketball injuries: National collegiate athletic association injury surveillance system, 1988–1989 through 2003–2004. *Journal* of Athletic Training, 42(2), 194–201.
- , Jul Drakos, M. C., Domb, B., Starkey, C., Callahan, L., & Allen, A. A. (2010). Injury in the national basketball association: A 17-year overview. *Sport Health*, 2(4), 284–290. https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738109357303.
- Eils, E., Schroter, R., Schroder, M., Gerss, J., & Rosenbaum, D. (2010, Nov). Multistation proprioceptive exercise program prevents ankle injuries in basketball. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 42(11), 2098–2105. https://doi.org/ 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181e03667
- Jul Emery, C. A., Roy, T. O., Whittaker, J. L., Nettel-Aguirre, A., & van Mechelen, W. (2015). Neuromuscular training injury prevention strategies in youth sport: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(13), 865–870. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-094639.
- England, S. (2022). Active lives survey. Children and young people. 2020-2021. Retrieved 20th May 2022 from https://activelives.sportengland.org/Result? queryld=69193.
- Faude, O., Rossler, R., Petushek, E. J., Roth, R., Zahner, L., & Donath, L. (2017). Neuromuscular adaptations to multimodal injury prevention programs in youth sports: A systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8, 791. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00791
- Finch, C. (2006, May). A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 9(1–2), 3–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jsams.2006.02.009. discussion 10.
- , Apr Fuller, C. W., Ekstrand, J., Junge, A., Andersen, T. E., Bahr, R., Dvorak, J., Hagglund, M., McCrory, P., & Meeuwisse, W. H. (2006). Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures in studies of football (soccer) injuries. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 16(2), 83–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2006.00528.x.
- May Fuller, C. W., Molloy, M. G., Bagate, C., Bahr, R., Brooks, J. H., Donson, H., Kemp, S. P., McCrory, P., McIntosh, A. S., Meeuwisse, W. H., Quarrie, K. L., Raftery, M., & Wiley, P. (2007). Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures for studies of injuries in rugby union. *British Journal* of Sports Medicine, 41(5), 328–331. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2006.033282.
- , Aug Kerr, Z. Y., Wilkerson, G. B., Caswell, S. V., Currie, D. W., Pierpoint, L. A.,

Wasserman, E. B., Knowles, S. B., Dompier, T. P., Comstock, R. D., & Marshall, S. W. (2018). The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance: Descriptive epidemiology of injuries in United States high school football (2005-2006 through 2013-2014) and national collegiate athletic association football (2004-2005 through 2013-2014). *Journal of Athletic Training, 53*(8), 738–751. https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-144-17.

- , Apr-Jun Knowles, S. B., Marshall, S. W., & Guskiewicz, K. M. (2006). Issues in estimating risks and rates in sports injury research. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 41(2), 207–215 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16791309.
- Kristianslund, E., Bahr, R., & Krosshaug, T. (2011). Sep 23). Kinematics and kinetics of an accidental lateral ankle sprain. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 44(14), 2576–2578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2011.07.014
- , Jan Maffulli, N., Longo, U. G., Gougoulias, N., Loppini, M., & Denaro, V. (2010). Longterm health outcomes of youth sports injuries. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 44(1), 21–25. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2009.069526.
- , Sep McGuine, T. A., Brooks, A., & Hetzel, S. (2011). The effect of lace-up ankle braces on injury rates in high school basketball players. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 39(9), 1840–1848. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546511406242.
- , May-Jun Meeuwisse, W. H., Sellmer, R., & Hagel, B. E. (2003). Rates and risks of injury during intercollegiate basketball. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 31(3), 379–385. https://doi.org/10.1177/03635465030310030901.
- May 21 Munoz-Plaza, C., Pounds, D., Davis, A., Park, S., Sallis, R., Romero, M. G., & Sharp, A. L. (2021). High school basketball coach and player perspectives on warm-up routines and lower extremity injuries. *Sports Med Open*, 7(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-021-00328-4.
- National Basketball Association. (2016). Youth basketball guidelines. https:// youthguidelines.nba.com/.
- Orchard, J., Rae, K., Brooks, J., Hagglund, M., Til, L., Wales, D., & Wood, T. (2010). Revision, uptake and coding issues related to the open access Orchard Sports Injury Classification System (OSICS) versions 8, 9 and 10.1. Open Access Journal of Sports Medicine, 1, 207–214. https://doi.org/10.2147/OAJSM.S7715
- , Oct Owoeye, O. B. A., Emery, C. A., Befus, K., Palacios-Derflingher, L., & Pasanen, K. (2020). How much, how often, how well? Adherence to a neuromuscular training warm-up injury prevention program in youth basketball. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 38(20), 2329–2337. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02640414.2020.1782578.
- Owoeye, O. B. A., Ghali, B., Befus, K., Stilling, C., Hogg, A., Choi, J., Palacios-Derflingher, L., Pasanen, K., & Emery, C. A. (2020, Dec). Epidemiology of allcomplaint injuries in youth basketball. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 30(12), 2466–2476. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13813
- , Jul Owoeye, O. B. A., Palacios-Derflingher, L. M., & Emery, C. A. (2018). Prevention of ankle sprain injuries in youth soccer and basketball: Effectiveness of a neuromuscular training program and examining risk factors. Clinical journal of sport. *Medicine*, 28(4), 325–331. https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.000000000000462.
- , Jun Pasanen, K., Ekola, T., Vasankari, T., Kannus, P., Heinonen, A., Kujala, U. M., & Parkkari, J. (2017). High ankle injury rate in adolescent basketball: A 3-year prospective follow-up study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 27(6), 643–649. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12818.
- Petway, A. J., Freitas, T. T., Calleja-Gonzalez, J., Medina Leal, D., & Alcaraz, P. E. (2020). Training load and match-play demands in basketball based on competition level: A systematic review. *PLoS One*, 15(3), Article e0229212. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0229212
- , Dec Plisky, P. J., Rauh, M. J., Kaminski, T. W., & Underwood, F. B. (2006). Star Excursion Balance Test as a predictor of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 36(12), 911–919. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2006.2244.
- Putukian, M. (2016, Feb). The psychological response to injury in student athletes: A narrative review with a focus on mental health. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(3), 145–148. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095586
- Raisanen, A. M., Owoeye, O. B. A., Befus, K., van den Berg, C., Pasanen, K., & Emery, C. A. (2021). Warm-ups and coaches' perceptions: Searching for clues to improve injury prevention in youth basketball. *Front Sports Act Living*, *3*, Article 619291. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2021.619291
- , May Rio, E., van Ark, M., Docking, S., Moseley, G. L., Kidgell, D., Gaida, J. E., van den Akker-Scheek, I., Zwerver, J., & Cook, J. (2017). Isometric contractions are more analgesic than isotonic contractions for patellar tendon pain: An in-season randomized clinical trial. Clinical journal of sport. *Medicine*, 27(3), 253–259. https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.00000000000364.
- Rugby, E. (2021). Youth rugby injury surveillance project: 2019/20 season. https:// www.englandrugby.com/dxdam/c0/c00b1760-d51a-4159-b815-f561f12f316d/ YRISP%20Report%2019-20.pdf.
- Russell, K., Selci, E., Black, B., Cochrane, K., & Ellis, M. (2019). Academic outcomes following adolescent sport-related concussion or fracture injury: A prospective cohort study. *PLoS One*, *14*(4), Article e0215900. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0215900
- Steib, S., Rahlf, A. L., Pfeifer, K., & Zech, A. (2017). Dose-response relationship of neuromuscular training for injury prevention in youth athletes: A meta-analysis. Frontiers in Physiology, 8, 920. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00920
- , Jan Torres-Ronda, L., Ric, A., Llabres-Torres, I., de Las Heras, B., & Schelling, I. D. A. X. (2016). Position-dependent cardiovascular response and time-motion analysis during training drills and friendly matches in elite male basketball players. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 30(1), 60–70. https://doi.org/ 10.1519/JSC.00000000001043.
- , Aug Tucker, R., Raftery, M., & Verhagen, E. (2016). Injury risk and a tackle ban in youth rugby union: Reviewing the evidence and searching for targeted,

effective interventions. A critical review. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 50(15), 921–925. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096322.

- Verhagen, E. A., van Stralen, M. M., & van Mechelen, W. (2010). Nov 1). Behaviour, the key factor for sports injury prevention. Sports Medicine, 40(11), 899–906. https://doi.org/10.2165/11536890-00000000-00000
- Oct Weiss, K. J., Allen, S. V., McGuigan, M. R., & Whatman, C. S. (2017). The relationship between training load and injury in men's professional basketball. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 12(9), 1238–1242. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2016-0726.
- Dec Whalan, M., Lovell, R., Steele, J. R., & Sampson, J. A. (2019). Rescheduling Part 2 of the 11+ reduces injury burden and increases compliance in semi-

professional football. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 29(12), 1941–1951. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13532. Wiese-bjornstal, D. M., Smith, A. M., Shaffer, S. M., & Morrey, M. A. (1998). An in-

- Wiese-bjornstal, D. M., Smith, A. M., Shaffer, S. M., & Morrey, M. A. (1998). An integrated model of response to sport injury: Psychological and sociological dynamics. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 10(1), 46–69. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10413209808406377
- Jul Williams, S. A., Ng, L., Stephens, N., Klem, N., & Wild, C. (2018). Effect of prophylactic ankle taping on ankle and knee biomechanics during basketballspecific tasks in females. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 32, 200–206. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2018.04.006.