

This is a peer-reviewed, final published version of the following document and is licensed under Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 license:

# Wintle, Jordan ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1195-4964 (2022) Physical education and physical activity promotion: lifestyle sports as meaningful experiences. Education Sciences, 12 (3). p. 181. doi:10.3390/educsci12030181

Official URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/educsci12030181 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/educsci12030181 EPrint URI: https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/10854

## Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.





# **Commentary Physical Education and Physical Activity Promotion: Lifestyle Sports as Meaningful Experiences**

Jordan Wintle 回

School of Sport and Exercise, University of Gloucestershire, Gloucester GL2 9HW, UK; jwintle1@glos.ac.uk

Abstract: The value of embracing a physically active lifestyle has been well documented in recent times. However, despite this knowledge, physical activity levels in many western societies remain worryingly low in both adult and youth populations. Habit formation in youth is a key indicator of engagement in physical activity as an adult; therefore, maximising opportunities to develop motivation in young people is vitally important to increase the likelihood of maintaining physical activity habits as an adult. A key factor for the development of motivation is school-based physical education. This review considers the current landscape of physical education as a vehicle for physical activity promotion, and suggests that a change of approach that moves away from physical education focusing solely on sport techniques is long overdue. A culturally relevant curriculum that includes lifestyle sports, with a focus on mastery and enjoyment through a meaningful experiences approach, is proposed as a viable update to current practice.

**Keywords:** physical education; physical activity; motivation; lifestyle sports; youth culture; meaning-ful experiences



Citation: Wintle, J. Physical Education and Physical Activity Promotion: Lifestyle Sports as Meaningful Experiences. *Educ. Sci.* 2022, *12*, 181. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/educsci12030181

Academic Editor: James Albright

Received: 20 January 2022 Accepted: 1 March 2022 Published: 4 March 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Participation in physical activity (PA) has been regularly highlighted as a vitally important aspect of health and wellbeing across the globe [1]. In 2018, the World Health Organization (WHO) approved a new Global Action Plan on Physical Activity 2018–2030 [2] and adopted a new voluntary global target to reduce levels of physical inactivity in adults and adolescents by 15% by 2030. In a recent review of the WHO guidelines, Bull et al. [3] suggested that these new guidelines provide clear, evidence-based, recommendations on how PA provides a range of health benefits and on the potential risks of sedentary behaviours. Physical inactivity is considered one of the leading causes of mortality across the globe, leading to an increased risk of a range of cardiovascular diseases and cancers [4,5]. Indeed, physical inactivity has been highlighted as a major cause of premature death across the globe; it is estimated that 5.3 million of the 57 million global deaths in 2008 were associated with physical inactivity [6]. Habit formation in youth has been highlighted as a key predictor of adult PA [7–10]. It is, therefore, imperative that we seek to provide positive experiences for young people that maximise the likelihood that they will see value and enjoyment in continuing to engage in PA as they progress into adulthood.

Physical education (PE) has been regarded as a crucial vehicle for the promotion of a physically active lifestyle [11–14]. As a compulsory subject in schools in the United Kingdom from the age of 4–16 years, the window of opportunity here is vast. However, the effectiveness of current practice in achieving the aim of encouraging a physically active lifestyle has been questioned [15–19]. The major issues raised centre around the pedagogies utilized in PE and the relevance of the curriculum to the youth of today.

This review aims to consider how we might encourage more young people to take part in physical activity as part of an enriching lifestyle, specifically using PE in schools as a vehicle. Furthermore, it argues that although lifetsyle sports have a place in PE curricula, it is important that the pedagogies associated with these activities are aligned to the ethos and culture experienced by participants in real-world settings.

#### 2. The Health of Young People: A Rising Concern

Within the United Kingdom (UK) the health of our young people has been a rising concern for several years, with the most recent guidance from the home nations Chief Medical Officers [20] highlighting a range of benefits associated with regular PA, including improved learning and attainment, better mental health and cardiovascular fitness, and healthy weight status. Recent figures from the Sport England Active Lives Children and Young People Survey [21] highlighted some worrying trends, with less than half of all children undertaking the recommended average of 60 min PA per day and nearly a third only reaching half of this amount. This has also been exacerbated by recent changes as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic [22]. PA levels are also shown to deteriorate with age, with a decline from key stage three (ages 11–14 years) to key stage four (ages 14–16 years). It is worth noting that the 2019–2020 results may have been partially impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic; therefore, it was necessary to revisit the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 data, these figures yielded very similar findings with only minor fluctuations. Additional recent data from the National Health Service [23] only deepens concerns surrounding children's health, with 1 in 5 reception children (age 4–5 years) categorized as overweight or obese; this figure rises to 1 in 3 by the time children reach year 6 (age 10–11 years), and the trend is worsening from one year to the next. The large sample sizes within these reports, alongside robust methodologies and data tracking over more than three years, provide confidence regarding the accuracy of the data, thus allowing firm conclusions to be drawn. Although some elements of self-report measures for PA are not without problems, it is more likely that participants overestimate their PA levels than underestimate [24]. Similar figures have been reported in other countries, with the WHO reporting that more than a quarter of the world's adult population (1.4 billion adults) is insufficiently active, with no improvement in global levels of physical activity since 2001 [25]. They also advocate the use of quality physical education that supports children to develop behavioural patterns that will keep them physically active throughout their lives [25]. This global perspective is further enhanced by the latest United Nations sustainable development agenda, with clear links to goal 3 (ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages) and goal 4 (ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all) [26]. PE provision has been advocated as a key site to help bring these goals to fruition [27].

It is unsurprising that with relatively low PA levels, sedentary behaviour is becoming an ever-growing concern. Recent systematic reviews, including longitudinal studies, concluded that there was little convincing evidence of a clear relationship between childhood sedentary behaviour and various health outcomes [28,29]. However, sedentary behaviour does track from early childhood to adult life [30,31] and for adults, evidence for an adverse relationship between sedentary behaviour and ill health leading to premature death is emerging [32,33]. Therefore, increased sedentary behaviour among young people remains a major public health concern, and seeking ways to reduce it seems highly appropriate.

With reduced levels of PA and increased prevalence of sedentary behaviour, it is perhaps logical that children are also becoming much weaker, negatively impacting motor competence, which is subsequently limiting engagement and enjoyment of PA. Recent research [34] tracked trends in the muscular fitness of UK-based 10-year olds from 1988–2014, with the results suggest declining levels of muscular fitness in both genders which also appear to be accelerating in the last decade. A simultaneous drop in self-reported PA suggests that these trends could be related to decreasing levels of habitual PA in children, and raises concerns around the long-term physical condition that these young people may face as they mature.

In addition to the obvious concerns regarding the physical health of young people, more recently, apprehensions have been raised regarding their mental health. Data suggest that the mental health of many young people is far from optimal. In 2019, Deighton et al. [35] reported a significant number of young peopledisplay signs ofpoor mental health (e.g., anxiety or depression), and this trend appears to be increasing in the wake of the

COVID-19 pandemic [36]. Evidence from a range of sources suggests the positive impact PA can have on mental health, including a reduction in stress, anxiety, and depression alongside improvements in self-esteem [37–39]. This adds further weight to the argument that PA promotion in youth is a key priority.

In response to the concerns listed above, the UK's CMOs have recently updated guidance for PA in youth populations [20], highlighting the need for regular PA, but also PA that is classed as moderate to vigorous (i.e., the type of activities that leave you out of breath). They also reference the need to engage in activities that build muscular fitness and bone strength, as well as reducing sedentary behaviour. Specific reference to PE is also central to the guidelines, stating that PE plays a key role in the development of movement competence, and supports the development of children's skills and confidence to be physically active. In a similar vein, Sport England recently launched a new strategy—Uniting the Movement [40], whose central focus is on providing positive experiences at an early age to help build the foundations for an active life. If children and young people have experiences that are fun, positive and give them a sense of confidence, they are more likely to want to be active in the future. This is key for educators working with young people to understand, to maximize the opportunity they have to provide a solid foundation for a physically active lifestyle.

In summary, several key issues can be identified through the literature concerning the health and wellbeing of young people: (i) levels of PA are low, with the majority of young people not meeting national minimum guidelines; (ii) children are becoming both heavier and weaker, partially as a result of declining PA and increased sedentary behavior; (iii) PA levels are declining as children progress through adolescence; (iv) there are increasing concerns surrounding the mental health of young people; and (v) habits set in youth can provide the foundations for a more physically active lifestyle.

#### 3. Time for Change in Physical Education?

The core purpose and benefits gained from PE are contentious issues within the literature [41–43], with claims centred around personal development, social skills, academic achievement and wellbeing. However, whilst there has been some contention around the broad range of benefits that could be gained from PE, health promotion has remained a central focus across the globe [44–46] Schools, and in particular PE, have been identified as a significant site for the development of a physically active lifestyle [11–14]. However, current practice has been seen as being ineffective at achieving this goal, which makes up one of the four key aims of the English national curriculum for pupils to "lead healthy, active lives" [47].

Green [12] (p. 357) highlights that it is widely believed that PE "is or, at the very least, can be a crucial vehicle for enhancing young people's engagement with physically active recreation in their leisure and, in the longer run, over the life-course." However, he goes on to note that the current research around this topic is ambiguous, and that seeing the relationship as causational is too simplistic, with many other elements impacting PA participation in addition to PE experiences. He does, however, state that to maximize the potential of PE to influence future PA habits, there needs to be better alignment of curricula with the changing interests of young people, noting the substantial growth of lifestyle and informal sports such as cycling, swimming and health-related exercise. These lifestyle activities have not only experienced the most substantial growth in participants [48]. This is certainly worthy of note in the future design of PE programmes that have not traditionally embraced some of these activities.

The themes discussed above have been echoed by many other scholars across the globe. For example, Tinning and Fitzclarence [17] presented the view that PE could be described as a subject in 'crisis', and that children are bored and disaffected in lessons. This was recently supported by Petrie [18] and Sullivan [19], who argued that PE is still being presented in very traditional ways and is progressively narrowing its focus on traditional sports, but its future should not be constrained by outdated or traditional practices. The slow (or in some cases nonexistent) pace of change has been attributed to several factors, such as policy [49] and teacher workload [50], but perhaps the most notable is the socialisation of PE teachers [51]. The recruitment and shaping of young professionals are heavily influenced by their own educational experiences and their professional socialization in their early careers. This is often shaped by the experienced teachers they work alongside, leading to inherited practices and often a washout effect of the more innovative thinking which was gained during training.

Trying to better understand the relationship between school-based PE and lifelong (or the intention for lifelong) PA is something worthy of further exploration. Engström [9] did just that, illustrating whether differences in sports experiences during childhood and adolescence are reflected in exercise habits in middle age. Having collected initial data in 1968 from school children, he later followed this up with a contact on six separate occasions, with the main data being drawn from a questionnaire in 2007 (there was a 77% response rate to the questionnaires at this stage), 39 years after the original data collection. The results indicated that sport habitus, as reflected in school, PE grades, through attitudes towards physical exertion and attitudes to the subject of PE, also proved to have a significant association with later exercise habits. Whilst there may have been some shifts in society and education during this long period, the notion that childhood experiences can be a significant influence on future PA habits across a long period is worthy of note.

In more recent work, Ladwig and colleagues [52] analyzed the PE experiences of over 1000 adults through an online survey comparing their experiences in PE with current PA habits. The results indicated that PE memories from childhood and adolescence have a small-to-moderate association with attitudes, intentions, and time spent being sedentary as an adult. Interestingly, negative experiences were often found to be more powerful and impactful, with key themes around embarrassment, lack of enjoyment and anxiety coming to the fore. On a more positive note, enjoyment and feelings of competence were associated with more positive memories, and also a greater likelihood to engage in PA as an adult. Whilst the sample was relatively small compared to the population of the USA (it was considered representative), the findings here help demonstrate at least some relationship between PE experiences in youth and PA habits in adulthood.

Regarding the current state of PE practices, Kirk [53,54] presented a somewhat scathing review, noting that, far from being relevant to the 21st century, PE today (physical educationas-sport-techniques) has been scarcely relevant for the previous 30 years. In his 2010 work, he presented three possible futures: more of the same, radical reform or extinction. Whilst more of the same may appear to be the easy option, it would be unwise based on the evidence presented thus far, i.e., that PE in its current form is not leading to the widespread uptake of PA among young people in their own time or beyond the school years. Therefore, it seems logical that some kind of reform of current practice is necessary if we are to reliase the ambition of PE laying the foundations for a physically active life.

Currently, there seems to be a clash between PE and the place of PA in young people's lives beyond school. In an attempt to resolve this, we may need to rethink the nature of school PE and, in line with Tinning and Fitzclarence [17], consider the possibilities for a postmodern curriculum in PE.

#### 4. Meaningful Experiences and Lifestyle Sports

One body of work that has come to the fore in recent times is centred on meaningful experiences (ME) in youth sport and PE [55–59]. The authors build on the work of Kretchmar [60], defining "meaningful" as something that holds personal significance or value to the participant; they propose that by providing experiences seen as being more meaningful, participants are more likely to pursue PA in their own time and throughout life [55]. Highlighting the work of Teixeira, et al. [61], they conclude that those who commit to lifelong PA tend to do so for the intrinsic motivational benefits of participation (such as personal meaningfulness, challenge, satisfaction, and joy). In their original review of the literature [55], they identified the common features that contribute to a ME in PE and youth sport, which are summarized in Table 1.

<b>Table 1.</b> Features of Mear	ningful Experiences	in Youth Sport and	Physical Education.
----------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------

Feature	Description	
Social interaction	Interaction with others including friends, peers and teachers was identified as contributing to a meaningful experience. In contrast feelings of isolation or being left out contributed to a lack of meaning.	
Fun	A perception of fun appears to be an important motivating factor for many young people. Perceptions of fun for different groups vary so practitioners need to understand how this concept is perceived in a given context. Challenge and avoidance of boredom, as well pupil-centred pedagogies appear to have a positive impact on the notion of fun.	
Challenge	Engagement in activities that provided an appropriate challenge for participants was noted as another important component of a meaningful experience. Task difficulty is key to appropriate challenge allowing pupils to complete tasks that are optimally challenging (e.g., requires effort, concentration, skill) is an important factor here.	
Competition	For some participants, an element of competition can be a motivating factor whilst for others it is not. PE teachers should carefully consider how competition is presented. Competition might not be for everyone or appropriate at all times. Allowing some choice in this area is perhaps key.	
Motor Competence	Participants' experiences in PE were more positive when students' perceptions of their motor competence were high. Pitching activities at the right level and utilising praise and encouragement and creating a supportive social climate were identified as important.	
Personally relevant learning	Participants can recognize the importance of what they were learning and could make explicit connections between their current PE and sport experiences and future aspects of daily living outside of the school or community. An element of choice helped to increase feelings of personal relevance	

In addition to the features detailed in Table 1, meaningful experiences are enhanced by the use of two key pedagogical principles [59]. The first of these is the use of democratic approaches that value the voice of the pupil, offer inclusivity and allow connections to be made between what happens in the PE environment and the outside world. Elements of choice and autonomy are central here. The second principle is the use of goal setting and reflection as key elements to enhance meaningfulness; this allows pupils to set themselves motivating and relevant challenges and to have the opportunity to reflect on what makes their experiences personally meaningful. The features above, alongside the pedagogical principles, are naturally inherent in many lifestyle activities.

One area that is key to enhancing meaningfulness, and therefore, to increase the likelihood that long-term motivation will be developed, is designing curricula that align with the changing interests of youth today [62–66]. Addressing the concern that current curricula lack relevance to many young people, it is worth looking at society more broadly in order to see where young people's interests are growing [12,67]. Several scholars have recently highlighted the notion that traditional sports are not for all, they never have been and they never will be [62–65,68,69]; therefore, in the words of Kirk [53,54], it could be argued that PE requires some sort of "radical reform" to bring it into the twenty-first century.

One area of activity that has seen exponential growth in recent years has been in lifestyle or alternative activities; these have been highlighted as one of the mega-trends of the twenty-first century [67–74]. Examples of activities that have emerged in this category over recent times include parkour, skate sports, various forms of cycling as well other outdoor pursuits such as paddle boarding and rock climbing. In their analysis of the features of some of these activities, Gilchrist and Wheaton [48,73] highlighted how they move away from traditional sport discourses and have been characterized by their rejection of the 'achievement sport' culture. The activities in this genre typically demonstrate high levels of commitment and time, are largely about participation (not spectating) and attract participants from increasingly diverse backgrounds (populations which current provisions within PE curricula are ineffective at captivating). There are usually strong links to a lifestyle, subcultures and identity that further support ongoing commitment to the activities, often associated with a robust social element with a focus on individual mastery over social comparison [67–74]. With the characteristics highlighted above, along with the growing popularity of these activities, there is a sound argument that they should begin to feature more prominently with PE, with the potential to promote better levels of ongoing engagement than many traditional sports [62–65,68,69]. Linking to the features of meaningful experiences in PE and youth sports [55], it is clear that for many young people, lifestyle activities are personally relevant, provide an appropriate level of challenge, have strong social elements, require good levels of motor competence and are viewed as fun. The fact that many of the activities under the lifestyle umbrella reject formal competition often adds to their appeal, as we know that competition can be an element of PE curricula that disengages many young people [75–77], particularly those not classed as "sporty".

The implementation of more diverse activities in PE has been seen in several smallscale studies [78–82], and has included activities such as parkour, cycling, zumba and outdoor pursuits (including watersports, climbing and navigation). The findings from these studies have consistently been positive in terms of pupil engagement, enjoyment and most notably, intention to participate in the future, often with the added benefit of reaching pupils who are typically uninterested in traditional sports. The ability of such activities to engage hard to reach groups was noted in McNamee and Timken's study [81], where teachers highlighted that the uniqueness of activities helped to "even the playing field", with the potential to "break the monotony," elicit a "boost of energy" and allow less competitive children "have their chance to shine".

Vasily et al. [82] implemented a unit of cycling-based activities with two groups of 10–11-year-olds over two years in an international school based in Saudi Arabia. He was aiming to use the features and pedagogical principles of meaningful PE to shape the unit delivery. Data were gathered from the teacher (who was the lead author) through interviews and analyses of blog post reflections, teaching resources, and tweets related to the unit. Whist noting the challenges of implementing pedagogical change, such as the difference between intended and enacted practice and maintaining the fidelity of the approach, positive findings were evident, with several students seeking to transfer practice from PE into their own time through recreational and extracurricular cycling. What was interesting was that one of the features of meaningful PE came to the fore, the element of challenge, and finding "just right challenge". Several areas enabled meaningful approaches to be enacted, i.e., the notion of meaningful PE aligning with the teacher's philosophy, particularly, in terms of embracing students' points of view in the unit design. Another area that allowed the approach to succeed was a school culture that embraced student autonomy, as well as the physical nature of the site that enabled plenty of options for a cycling unit. Whilst the research showed positive outcomes, it is, of course, very small scale and context-dependent, and there was also no control group for comparison. Whilst elements of pupil responses were included through teacher observations and reflections, no data were directly collected from the children.

What has become clear in research to date is that aligning the pedagogies associated with learning these activities in the real world with how they are taught in PE is crucially important to provide a realistic experience and maximize the transition from PE to selfmotivated PA. Recent research suggested that learning in these activities is largely informal, self-regulated and indivudalistic [83–85], with less reliance on coaches and teachers. With this in mind, the pedagogic approaches outlined in the meaningful PE literature (goal setting, democratic appracohes and reflection) provide a suitable framework to apply to PE settings for the integration of these type of activities.

In conclusion by combining culturally relevant activities such as lifestyle sports with a complimentary pedagogical approach (informed by meaningful experiences), there is huge potential for PE to take a positive step forward and better serve the needs of the youth of today. This could represent a small step toward encouraging more young people to enjoy and value being physically active, and to gain many of the wide-ranging benefits that are associated with this.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This paper contains no primary data, and the University of Gloucestershire Ethics Protocols have been followed in its elaboration.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

- WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ 9789240015128 (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Global Action Plan on Physical Activity 2018–2030: More Active People for a Healthier World. Global Action Plan on Physical Activity 2018–2030: More Active People for a Healthier World. Available online: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274568 (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Bull, F.C.; Al-Ansari, S.S.; Biddle, S.; Borodulin, K.; Buman, M.P.; Cardon, G.; Carty, C.; Chaput, J.P.; CHartin, S.; Chou, R.; et al. World health organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2020, 54, 1451–1462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arem, H.; Moore, S.C.; Patel, A.; Hartge, P.; De Gonzalez, A.B.; Visvanthan, K.; Matthews, C.E. Leisure time physical activity and mortality a detailed pooled analysis of the dose response relationship. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 2015, 175, 959–967. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Ekelund, U.; Dalene, K.E.; Tarp, J.; Lee, I.M. Physical activity and mortality: What is the dose response and how big is the effect? *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2020**, *54*, 1125–1126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Ozemek, C.; Lavie, C.J.; Rognmo, Ø. Global physical activity levels—Need for intervention. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2019, 62, 102–107. [CrossRef]
- Stratton, G.; Watson, P. Young People and Psyhical Activity in Physical Activity and Health Promotion: Evidence-Based Approaches to Practice; Dudgill, L., Cron, D., Murphy, R., Eds.; Blackwell: Chichester, UK, 2009; pp. 150–173.
- 8. Briddle, D.; Mutrie, N.; Mutrie, P.N.; Gorely, T. *Psychology of Physical Activity: Determinants, Well-Being and Interventions*, 3rd ed.; Routledge: Abingdon, UK, 2015.
- Engström, L.M. Who is physically active? Cultural capital and sports participation from adolescence to middle age—A 38-year follow-up study. In *Physical Education: Major Themes in Education Volume II*; Kirk, D., Ed.; Routledge: Abingdon, UK, 2012; pp. 40–66.
- Ekblom-Bak, E.; Ekblom, O.; Andersson, G.; Wallin, P.; Ekblom, B. Physical Education and Leisure-Time Physical Activity in Youth Are Both Important for Adulthood Activity, Physical Performance, and Health. J. Phys. Act. Heal. 2018, 15, 661–670. [CrossRef]
- 11. Laakso, L.; Telama, R.; Nupponen, H.; Rimpelä, A.; Pere, L. Trends in leisure time physical activity among young people in Finland, 1977—2007. *Eur. Phys. Educ. Rev.* **2008**, *14*, 139–155. [CrossRef]
- 12. Green, K.S. Mission impossible? Reflecting upon the relationship between physical education, youth sport and lifelong participation. *Sport Educ. Soc.* **2014**, *19*, 357–375. [CrossRef]
- 13. Kilgour, L.; Matthews, N.; Christian, P.; Shire, J. Health literacy in schools: Prioritising health and well-being issues through the curriculum. *Sport Educ. Soc.* **2013**, *20*, 485–500. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hills, A.P.; Dengel, D.R.; Lubans, D. Supporting Public Health Priorities: Recommendations for Physical Education and Physical Activity Promotion in Schools. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2015**, *57*, 368–374. [CrossRef]
- Green, K.; Thurston, M. Physical Education and Health Promotion: A Qualitative Study of Teachers' Perceptions. *Health Educ.* 2002, 102, 113–123. [CrossRef]

- 16. Kirk, D. Physical Education as Health Promotion: Recent Developments and Future Issues. Educ. Health 2018, 36, 70–75.
- 17. Tinning, R.; Fitzclarence, L. Postmodern youth culture and the crisis in Australian secondary school PE. In *Physical Education: Major Themes in Education;* Kirk, D., Ed.; Routledge: Abingdon, UK, 2012; pp. 379–398.
- 18. Petrie, K. Architectures of practice: Constraining or enabling PE in primary schools. Education 3-13 2016, 44, 537–546. [CrossRef]
- 19. Sullivan, L. Is PE in Crisis? Leading Meaningful Change in Physical Education Radstock Scholary; Scholary: Radstock, UK, 2021.
- UK Chief Medical Officers' Physical Activity Guidelines. Department for Health and Social Care. Available online: https: //www.gov.uk/government/publications/physical-activity-guidelines-uk-chief-medical-officers-report (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Active Lives Children and Young People Survey Academic Year 2020/2021. Available online: https://www.sportengland.org/ know-your-audience/data/active-lives (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Stockwell, S.; Trott, M.; Tully, M.; Shin, J.; Barnett, Y.; Butler, L.; McDermott, D.; Schuch, F.; Smith, L. Changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviours from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: A systematic review. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc. Med.* 2021, 7, e000960. [CrossRef]
- National Child Measurement Programme, England 2019/20 School Year. Available online: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-andinformation/publications/statistical/national-child-measurement-programme/2019-20-school-year (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Mâsse, L.C.; de Niet, J.E. Sources of Validity Evidence Needed with Self-Report Measures of Physical Activity. J. Phys. Act. Health 2012, 9, 44–55. [CrossRef]
- 25. World Health Organization. Physical Activity Fact Sheet. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/physical-activity (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Available online: https://sdgs.un. org/2030agenda (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- 27. Lynch, T. United Nations Sustainable Development Goals: Promoting health and well-being through physical education partnerships. *Cogent Educ.* **2016**, *3*, 1188469. [CrossRef]
- Chinapaw, M.; Altenburg, T.; Brug, J. Sedentary behaviour and health in children—Evaluating the evidence. *Prev. Med.* 2015, 70, 1–2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Ekris, E.; Altenburg, T.; Singh, A.; Proper, K.; Heymans, M.; Chinapaw, M. An evidence-update on the prospective relationship between childhood sedentary behaviour and biomedical health indicators: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Rev.* 2017, 18, 712–714. [CrossRef]
- Jones, R.A.; Hinkley, T.; Okely, A.D.; Salmon, J. Tracking Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in Childhood. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2013, 44, 651–658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Busschaert, C.; Cardon, G.; Van Cauwenberg, J.; Maes, L.; Van Damme, J.; Hublet, A.; De Bourdeaudhuij, I.; De Cocker, K. Tracking and Predictors of Screen Time From Early Adolescence to Early Adulthood: A 10-Year Follow-up Study. *J. Adolesc. Health* **2015**, *56*, 440–448. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Proper, K.I.; Singh, A.S.; Van Mechelen, W.; Chinapaw, M.J. Sedentary Behaviors and Health Outcomes Among Adults: A Systematic Review of Prospective Studies. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2011, 40, 174–182. [CrossRef]
- 33. Ekelund, U.; Steene-Johannessen, J.; Brown, W.J.; Fagerland, M.W.; Owen, N.; Powell, K.E.; Bauman, A.; Lee, I.M.; Series, L.P.A.; Lancet Sedentary Behaviour Working Group. Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. *Lancet* 2016, 388, 1302–1310. [CrossRef]
- 34. Sandercock, G.R.; Cohen, D.D. Temporal trends in muscular fitness of English 10-year-olds 1998–2014: An allometric approach. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2019, 22, 201–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Deighton, J.; Lereya, S.T.; Casey, P.; Patalay, P.; Humphrey, N.; Wolpert, M. Prevalence of mental health problems in schools: Poverty and other risk factors among 28 000 adolescents in England. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **2019**, *215*, 565–567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Creswell, C.; Shum, A.; Pearcey, S.; Skripkauskaite, S.; Patalay, P.; Waite, P. Young people's mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Child. Adolesc. Health* 2021, 5, 535–537. [CrossRef]
- Biddle, S.; Vergeer, I. Mental health benefits of physical activity for young people. In *The Routledge Handbook of Youth Physical Activity*; Brusseau, T.A., Fairclough, S., Lubans, D.R., Eds.; Routledge: New York, NY, USA, 2020; pp. 121–147.
- Briddle, S.; Mutrie, N.; Gorely, T.; Faulkner, G. Psychology of Physical Activity: Determinants, Well-Being and Interventions, 4th ed.; Routledge: New York, NY, USA, 2021.
- Babic, M.J.; Morgan, P.J.; Plotnikoff, R.C.; Lonsdale, C.; White, R.L.; Lubans, D.R. Physical Activity and Physical Self-Concept in Youth: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med.* 2014, 44, 1589–1601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Uniting the Movement. Available online: https://www.sportengland.org/why-were-here/uniting-the-movement (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Talbot, M. Physical Education: Contested Positions, Competing Discourses—The Need for Renaissance? *Eur. Phys. Educ. Rev.* 1998, 4, 104–116. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bailey, R.; Armour, K.; Kirk, D.; Jess, M.; Pickup, I.; Sandford, R.; Education, B.P. The educational benefits claimed for physical education and school sport: An academic review. *Res. Pap. Educ.* **2009**, *24*, 1–27. [CrossRef]

- 43. McEvoy, E.; Heikinaro-Johansson, P.; MacPhail, A. Physical education teacher educators' views regarding the purpose(s) of school physical education. *Sport Educ. Soc.* **2017**, *22*, 812–824. [CrossRef]
- Quennerstedt, M. Healthying physical education—on the possibility of learning health. *Phys. Educ. Sport Pedagog.* 2019, 24, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Trost, S. Public Health and physical education. In *The Handbook of Physical Education*; Kirk, D., MacDonald, D., O'Sullivan, M., Eds.; SAGE Publications: London, UK, 2006; pp. 163–188.
- Metzler, M.W.; McKenzie, T.L.; Van Der Mars, H.; Barrett-Williams, S.L.; Ellis, R. Health Optimizing Physical Education (HOPE): A New Curriculum for School Programs—Part 1: Establishing the Need and Describing the Model. *J. Phys. Educ. Recreat. Dance* 2013, 84, 41–47. [CrossRef]
- 47. National Curriculum in England: PE Programmes of Study. Available online: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ national-curriculum-in-england-physical-education-programmes-of-study (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Gilchrist, P.; Wheaton, B. The social benefits of informal and lifestyle sports: A research agenda. J. Sport Policy Politics 2017, 9, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 49. Herold, F. 'There is new wording, but there is no real change in what we deliver': Implementing the new National Curriculum for Physical Education in England. *Eur. Phys. Educ. Rev.* **2020**, *26*, 920–937. [CrossRef]
- 50. Paniagua, A.; Sánchez-Martí, A. Early Career Teachers. OECD Educ. Work. Pap. 2018. [CrossRef]
- 51. Lawson, H. Toward a model of teacher socialization in PE: Entry into schools, teachers' role orientations, and longevity in teaching (part 2). *J. Teach. PE* **1983**, *3*, 3–15.
- 52. Ladwig, M.A.; Vazou, S.; Ekkekakis, P. My Best Memory Is When I Was Done with It. *Transl. J. Am. Coll. Sports Med.* 2018, 3, 119–129. [CrossRef]
- 53. Kirk, D. Physical Education Futures; Routledge: Abingdon, UK, 2010.
- 54. Kirk, D. Physical education futures: Can we reform physical education in the early 21st century? *Debates Phys. Educ.* **2012**, 27, 120–143. [CrossRef]
- 55. Beni, S.; Fletcher, T.; Chróinín, D.N. Meaningful Experiences in Physical Education and Youth Sport: A Review of the Literature. *Quest* 2017, *69*, 291–312. [CrossRef]
- Chróinín, D.N.; Fletcher, T.; O'Sullivan, M. Pedagogical principles of learning to teach meaningful physical education. *Phys. Educ.* Sport Pedagog. 2017, 23, 117–133. [CrossRef]
- 57. Chróinín, D.N.; Beni, S.; Fletcher, T.; Griffin, C.; Price, C. Using meaningful experiences as a vision for physical education teaching and teacher education practice. *Phys. Educ. Sport Pedagog.* **2019**, *24*, 598–614. [CrossRef]
- Beni, D.; Chróinín, N.; Fletcher, T. It's How PE Should Be!': Classroom Teachers' Experiences of Implementing Meaningful Physical Education. *Eur. Phys. Educ. Rev.* 2021, *3*, 666–683. [CrossRef]
- 59. Fletcher, T.; Chróinín, D.N. Pedagogical principles that support the prioritisation of meaningful experiences in physical education: Conceptual and practical considerations. *Phys. Educ. Sport Pedagog.* **2021**, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Kretchmar, R.S. Viewpoint: Ten more reasons for quality physical education. *J. Phys. Educ. Recreat. Danc.* 2006, 77, 6–9. [CrossRef]
  Teixeira, P.J.; Carraça, E.V.; Markland, D.; Silva, M.N.; Ryan, R.M. Exercise, physical activity, and self-determination theory: A
- systematic review. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 2012, 9, 78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Hall, N.; Bradford, B.; da Costa, J.; Robinson, D. Physical Education Teachers' Embracement of Alternative Environment Activities. J. Teach. Phys. Educ. 2020, 4, 508–517. [CrossRef]
- 63. Binney, J.; Smart, W. Learning and teaching through alternative activities. In *The really useful PE book: Learning and Teaching across the 11–16 Age Range*, 2nd ed.; Routledge: Abingdon, UK, 2017; Volume 2, pp. 70–89.
- 64. Griggs, G.; Fleet, M. Most People Hate Physical Education and Most Drop Out of Physical Activity: In Search of Credible Curriculum Alternatives. *Educ. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 701. [CrossRef]
- 65. Leeder, T.M.; Beaumont, L.C. Lifestyle Sports and Physical Education Teachers' Professional Development in the United Kingdom: A Qualitative Survey Analysis. *Educ. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 642. [CrossRef]
- 66. Quennerstedt, M. Physical education and the art of teaching: Transformative learning and teaching in physical education and sports pedagogy. *Sport Educ. Soc.* **2019**, *24*, 611–623. [CrossRef]
- 67. Coakley, J. Sports in Society: Issues and Controversies, 13th ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 2021.
- 68. Beaumont, L.; Warburton, V. Lifestyle sports, pedagogy and physical education. In *Debates in Physical Education*, 2nd.; Capel, S.A., Whitehead, M., Eds.; Routledge: Abingdon, UK, 2020; pp. 239–255.
- 69. Wintle, J. Implementing Alternative Activities to Create Meaningful Physical Education. *Phys. Educ. Matters* **2020**, 20–22. Available online: https://bit.ly/3KdQynl (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Janeckova, K.; Hamrik, Z.; Matusova, M.; Badura, P. "I am going out!"—Lifestyle sports and physical activity in adolescents. BMC Public Health 2021, 21, 1079. [CrossRef]
- 71. The Future of Australian Sport: Megatrends Shaping the Sports Sector over Coming Decades. A Consultancy Report for the Australian Sports Commission, Canberra: Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation. Available online: https://publications.csiro.au/rpr/download?pid=csiro:EP131275&dsid=DS4 (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Active Lives Children and Young People Survey Academic Year 2019/2020. Available online: https://sportengland-production-files.s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/2021-01/Active%20Lives%20Children%20Survey%20Academic%20Year%20 19-20%20report.pdf?4Ti\_0V0m9sYy5HwQjSiJN7Xj.VInpjV6 (accessed on 5 January 2022).

- 73. Gilchrist, P.; Wheaton, B. Lifestyle and adventure sports among youth. In *Routledge Handbook of Youth Sport*; Green, K., Smith, K., Eds.; Routledge International Handbooks: Abingdon, UK, 2016; pp. 186–200.
- 74. Poulson, S.C. Why Would Anyone Do That? Lifestyle Sport in the Twenty-First Century. Upcc Book Collections on Project Muse, Global Cultural Studies; Rutgers University Press: New Brunswick, NJ, USA, 2016.
- 75. Land, N. Who wins when nobody wins? exploring ethics surrounding competition for children in recreation. *Relat. Child Youth Care Pract.* **2012**, *25*, 49–58.
- 76. Breiger, J.; Cumming, S.P.; Smith, R.E.; Smoll, F. Winning, Motivational Climate, and Young Athletes' Competitive Experiences: Some Notable Sex Differences. *Int. J. Sports Sci. Coach.* **2015**, *10*, 395–411. [CrossRef]
- Wintle, J. Managing competition in physical education: Promoting the positive. *Phys. Educ. Matters* 2018, 13, 48–49. Available online: <a href="https://bit.ly/349ZxXe">https://bit.ly/349ZxXe</a> (accessed on 5 January 2022).
- Amador, L.; Zagalaz-Sánchez, M.; Martínez-López, E.; Berdejo-del-Fresno, D. Non-traditional sports at school. benefits for physical and motor development. *Citius Altius Fortius* 2021, 5, 48–51.
- 79. Benham, L.; Hall, A.; Barney, D. Zumba: From Secondary Physical Education Classes to Adulthood Workouts: Staying Up to Date with the Growing Trends of Physical Activity In and Out of the Schools. *Strategies* **2013**, *26*, 39–42. [CrossRef]
- Grabowski, D.; Thomsen, S.D. Parkour as Health Promotion in Schools: A Qualitative Study on Health Identity. *World J. Educ.* 2015, 5, 37. [CrossRef]
- McNamee, J.; Timken, G. Outdoor Pursuits in Physical Education: Lessons from the Trenches. J. Phys. Educ. Recreat. Dance 2017, 88, 8–15. [CrossRef]
- Vasily, A.; Fletcher, T.; Gleddie, D.; Chróinín, D.N. An Actor-Oriented Perspective on Implementing a Pedagogical Innovation in a Cycling Unit. J. Teach. Phys. Educ. 2020, 40, 652–661. [CrossRef]
- 83. Ellmer, E.; Rynne, S.; Enright, E. Learning in action sports: A scoping review. Eur. Phys. Educ. Rev. 2019, 26, 263–283. [CrossRef]
- 84. Ellmer, E.; Rynne, S. Learning in action and adventure sports. Asia-Pacific J. Heal. Sport Phys. Educ. 2016, 7, 107–119. [CrossRef]
- 85. Jones, R.H. Sport and re/creation: What skateboarders can teach us about learning. Sport Educ. Soc. 2011, 16, 593–611. [CrossRef]