



This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following unpublished document and is licensed under All Rights Reserved license:

Hill, Jennifer ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0682-783X>, West, Harry and Usmari Moraes, Marcela (2016) Undergraduate research: Supporting teaching & learning & developing graduate attributes. In: UWE Teaching & Learning Best Practice Conference, 29 January 2016, Bristol. (Unpublished)

EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/8022>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

Undergraduate Research: Supporting teaching and learning and developing graduate attributes

Jennifer Hill, Harry West & Marcela Usuari Moraes
University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

Learning 2020 Best Practice
UWE Bristol , 29 January 2016



Learning 2020
Best Practice

Share. Explore. Discuss.

Who are we?

Harry West

3rd Year Geography Undergraduate, PAL Intern, LTSE Rep and Researcher



Marcela Usmari Moraes

3rd Year Biomedical Science Undergraduate, Researcher and Sci Tech Editor for WesternEye



Jenny Hill

Associate Professor, Geography Department



Presentation outline

1. Why engage your students in research?
2. Mapping opportunities – how to engage your students in research
3. Benefits of student research engagement
4. Example of student research engagement at UWE: BCUR
5. Challenges of student research engagement
6. Implications of student research engagement
7. Conclusions – link to UWE Bristol Futures Award

1. Why engage your students in research?

‘Undergraduate research is the pedagogy of the twenty-first century’

(CUR, 2005)


but

- undergraduates believe themselves to be recipients rather than producers of research (Healey & Jenkins, 2009; Hill et al., 2011)
.....
- whilst recognising that they will develop their research skills most when they are actively engaged in the research process
- tension needs resolving – see also BIS (2015)

2. Mapping research opportunities

- a key goal is how to adopt strategies that enhance the relationship between teaching and research ... and between teacher and researcher
- in particular, how and where should undergraduate students actively engage in research ...
 - individually, in groups, with staff?
 - in the curriculum, outside of the curriculum?

Figure 1.1: The nature of undergraduate research and inquiry



Source: Amended from Healey (2005, 70)

Figure 1.1: The nature of undergraduate research and inquiry



Source: Amended from Healey (2005, 70)

Break out activity 1

- In small groups:
 - introduce yourselves / your interest in students as researchers
 - on individual post-its, note examples of how you **have** engaged your undergraduate students in research AND how you **might like to** do this in future
 - share an example with us
 - hand the post-its to us for collation

(10 minutes)

3. Benefits of student research engagement

*‘Research promotes “active student-led learning”; promoting better retention and reinforced subject **knowledge**’*
(Chung & Chow, 2004)

*‘Practicing research gives students an insight into the “real” research **process**’*
(Jenkins et al., 2003)

- research is a **high impact educational practice** (Kuh, 2008)

Develops **graduate attributes**:

‘skills, knowledge and abilities of university graduates, beyond disciplinary content knowledge, which are applicable to a range of contexts’

(Barrie, 2004)





... and **self authorship** (Baxter-Magolda 2004):

As students become self authors they are able to:


- Consider who they are
- Consider what they know and how they came to know it
- Reflect on and judge the suitability of their knowledge as applied in particular contexts
- Be able to reframe their knowledge purposefully for different contexts

4. Example of student research engagement

- we have researched how participation in BCUR by undergraduate students has developed their **graduate attributes** (Hill & Walkington, 2016)
- BCUR provides a threshold experience for development of self authorship



BCUR BRITISH
CONFERENCE OF
UNDERGRADUATE
RESEARCH



Paradoxical influence of TNF α on neuronal survival: up-regulation and cell death in cerebellar granule neurons

Do sweeteners affect the survival of C.elegans?

Structural and functional maturation of stem cell-derived neuronal networks

Memorabilia by memorabilia: the European case

An assessment of the recovery potential of a peatland post-wildfire by analysing hydrological conditions

Role of Gln3 protein in Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT)

A Novel Method of Monitoring CD-Micromet Technology

Latent Fingermark Longevity in Fresh and Salt water environments
BSc Forensic Investigation, Faculty of Computing, Engineering and Science
Staffordshire University


The Impact of Climate Change on Amphibians in Cusuco, Ho


Site Description
Cloud Forests
Climate Change
Amphibolites

Method
MaxEnt Results

Discussion
Conclusion
References

- we adopted the conceptions of generic attributes model (Barrie 2004) to evidence engagement with GAs





1. *Communication*

- students mindful to convey their key messages clearly to a diverse audience and to keep audience engaged
- acutely aware of thinking critically, to **re-purpose** their research for a multi-disciplinary external context:
 - summarising content:

*‘after doing a dissertation, **condensing 16,000 words onto a side of A1 was quite challenging**, but also it **really makes you own your research**, because you can't abbreviate that much without really **having a firm understanding** of what you're talking about’ R18*


- organising a global structure

*‘It was a case of **going back** through my reading ... **breaking it down into kind of more bite-size chunks** and making what I’d written more **accessible for a wide audience**’ R6*

- Clarifying subject-specific terminology

*‘this was **presenting to people with a wider range of topics** and I think it was quite **important to make sure that I didn’t use jargon** and hide behind long words that people might not understand’ R22*





2. *Personal and intellectual autonomy*


- students evidenced self-regulation in BCUR preparations
- demonstrated a process of preparation, rehearsal, solicitation of feedback, and subsequent development of presentations

*'I did about **three or four trial runs in front of people and I also did them on my own in my head.** We had practise sessions with a couple of tutors, which gave feedback'* R20

- students who presented posters, or who received relevant questions about their oral presentations learnt to negotiate and verbally organize their thoughts in real time

'I understand something when people come and ask me lots of questions about it and I have to re-explain it. It really clarifies things in my own head - it's one of the ways I learn best' R21





- 
- students noted the opportunity to present their work unconstrained from formal grading, judged by ‘genuinely interested’ peers
 - BCUR not constrained by high stakes assessment, allowing freer expression of identity and argument:

*‘Here you’re [presenting] for a completely different reason compared to university. **At university you’re doing it with the mindset that you’re going to be marked and you have to meet all these different criteria**, whereas **here** it’s more for the enjoyment and because you want to do it - **it’s your own piece of research, you feel proud of that and you want to express it ... I learnt a lot more about myself as a person**’ R1*

- BCUR students expressed uncertainty as to what they were letting themselves in for

but ...

- encountering unfamiliar contexts, diverse audiences and externally referenced benchmarks ...
- they reassessed their knowledge, understanding and conceptions of self to develop potential graduate professional identities





*'I never expected the sheer **enjoyment** and **affirmation** that I have taken from those two days in Nottingham: to be surrounded by peers with the same kind of **passion for ideas and discovery** that I have was very exciting'*

(Graig Evans via Lingo Blog April 2014)

*'It was a privilege and an **amazing opportunity to see other students' research**. This will certainly be **added to my CV**, but most importantly will be marked as **another great chance I have had by deciding to come to UWE** - which, to be fair, was the best I ever made'*

(Marcela Usmari Moraes via email to JH April 2015)

Break out activity 2

- In small groups, note on individual post-its what worries you most about engaging your undergraduate students in research (on their own/with you)




- What can you do individually, with colleagues, or with departmental/faculty/university support to overcome these issues?



5. Challenges of research engagement

1. Should universities adopt an **elite** model or should research be **mainstreamed** for all?
2. How do/will students cope with the personal vulnerability of independent research ... and publication?
3. To attain research partnership between staff and students how do we de-stabilize traditional power relationships?

4. How do universities sustain research initiatives e.g. BCUR, Posters in Parliament, internships, ug research journals? (Hattie & Marsh, 1996)



Undergraduate researchers presenting at Posters in Parliament

5. What are the ethics of co-research and co-publication?

6. Implications of research engagement

- we must encourage students to situate themselves in unknown, 'other', challenging spaces within/beyond curricula throughout their learning journey
- we define such spaces as **borderland**, prompting the fashioning of new identities (Hill et al., 2016)
- responsibility for staff to encourage inclusivity, particularly for those lacking cultural capital (Felten et al., 2013)
- enhanced through UG research conferences in universities, faculties or depts

7. Conclusions

- synergies between T&R must be reflected at grass roots level, in course design and teaching commitment / delivery
- requires co-ordinated evolution of institutional policies and appropriate recognition and reward for staff and students

‘TEF should reward teaching practices that provide an appropriate level of contact and stimulation ... effective in developing their knowledge, skills and career readiness’

‘mutual benefits between teaching, scholarship and research’

(BIS, 2015: p. 32)

Including student 'research portfolios' in the UWE Bristol Futures Award

For any academic staff able to offer/ interested in developing similar activities:

- 1. Contact your Senior Faculty Careers Consultant to arrange a meeting to talk through where your activity might be included and the verification process**



2. Activities should meet the following criteria:

- Have a clear link to the development of employability skills and attributes
- Require a minimum of 25 hours to be spent on undertaking the activity, including training where necessary
- Be verifiable – due to the inclusion on the HEAR all activities must be verifiable and this process will be agreed for each activity before inclusion in the list
- Where possible a link is provided to a relevant webpage for students to find further information

Thank You For Listening



Questions?

Jennifer.Hill@uwe.ac.uk

Harry2.West@live.uwe.ac.uk

Marcela2.Usmarimoraes@live.uwe.ac.uk

References

- Barrie, S. (2004) A research-based approach to generic graduate attributes policy. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 23, 261-275.
- Baxter-Magolda, M.B. (2004) Self-authorship as the common goal of 21st century education. In M.B. Baxter-Magolda and P.M. King (eds) *Learning Partnerships: Theory and Models of Practice to Educate for Self-Authorship*. Sterling VA: Stylus, pp. 1-35.
- BIS (2015) *Fulfilling our Potential: Teaching Excellence, Social Mobility and Student Choice*. London: HMSO.
- Chung, J.C.C. & Chow, S.M.K. (2004) Promoting student learning through a student-centred problem base learning subject curriculum. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 41, 157-168 .
- Council on Undergraduate Research (2005) Joint statement of principles in support of undergraduate research, scholarship, and creative activities [Internet]. Available from: www.cur.org/about_cur/history/joint_statement_of_cur_and_ncur/ [Accessed 16 June 2014].
- Felten, P., Bagg, J., Bumbry, M., Hill, J., Hornsby, K., Pratt, M. & Weller, S. (2013) A call for expanding inclusive student engagement in SoTL. *Teaching and Learning Inquiry*, 1, 63-74.
- Hattie, J. & Marsh, H.W. (1996) The relationship between research and teaching: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 66, 507-542.

References

Healey, M. (2005). Linking research and teaching: exploring disciplinary spaces and the role of inquiry-based learning. In Barnett, R (ed.) *Reshaping the University: New Relationships between Research, Scholarship and Teaching*. Open University Press, pp. 67-78.

Healey, M. & Jenkins, A. (2009) *Developing Undergraduate Research and Inquiry*. York: HEA.

Hill, J. & Walkington, H (2016) Developing graduate attributes through participation in undergraduate research conferences. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education* (in print).

Hill, J., Thomas, G., Diaz, A. & Simm, D. (2016) Borderland spaces for learning partnership: opportunities, benefits and challenges *Journal of Geography in Higher Education* (in print).

Hill, J., Kneale, P., Nicholson, D., Waddington, S. & Ray, W. (2011) Re-framing the geography dissertation: a consideration of alternative, innovative and creative approaches. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 35, 331-349.

Jenkins, A., Breen, R., Lindsay, R. & Brew, A. (2003). *Reshaping Teaching in Higher Education: Linking Teaching and Research*. Kogan Page: London.

Kuh, G.D. (2008) High impact educational practices: what are they, who are they, who has access to them and why they matter, Washington, DC: AACU. Available at:

http://www.neasc.org/downloads/aacu_high_impact_2008_final.pdf