

This is a peer-reviewed, final published version of the following document and is licensed under All Rights Reserved license:

Franks, Benjamin, Roberts, William M ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5736-5244 and Jakeman, John (2019) Representing reality: Investigating the perceptionaction couplings of expert soccer Goalkeepers under representative constraints. In: Twentieth International Conference on Perception and Action, 3-6 July, 2019, Groningen, Holland.

EPrint URI: https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/7003

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

F ICPA2019.

July 3th - July 6th 2019 GRONINGEN

Perception and action are tightly coupled to the task dynamics in soccer goalkeeping tasks

Representing reality: Investigating the perception-action couplings of expert soccer Goalkeepers under representative

	GET	ZAPPAR

constraints

Benjamin Franks^{1,3}, William Roberts^{2,3}, John Jakeman³

1. Football Coaching and Management, University Campus of Football Business (UCFB), Wembley, United Kingdom

2. School of Sport and Exercise, University of Gloucestershire, United Kingdom 3. Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, United Kingdom

Background

Shifting epistemologies and technological developments have allowed for exploration of more dynamic and in-situ experimental research environments. Using Brunsiwk's (1955) representative design it becomes possible to investigate the causal relationship of the organismenvironment system (ZAP 1).

Objectives

This study aimed to add an additional context to the growing work on representative constraints on skilled behaviour. As a recognized perception-action variable, the Quiet Eye was utilized as the perceptual mechanism to be studied.

Methods

N = 4 Expert English Soccer Goalkeepers (26.3 ± 4.2 yrs) were used in a typical penalty kick (ZAP 2) (Dicks et al., 2010) and a representatively designed experimental condition (ZAP 3) (figure 1). A total of 225 (118 PK; 107 RK) were recorded. Head-mounted Sensomotoric-instruments (SMI-ETG) were used to sample the visual gaze at 60_{H7} The videos of the visual gaze and the motor actions were time synchronized and placed in a manually created 'Vision-In-Action' system (adapted from Klostermann et al., 2018) and the Quiet Eye (The QE is the final fixation of 100ms or more occurring prior to and continuing into motor action). Paired samples ttests were used to compare between conditions for Quiet Eye (QE) duration, onset and offset, and fixation location frequency.





Motor-Action Camera



Figure 1: Representative Kick Experimental Task. $\Delta =$ Goalkeeper, \blacktriangle = shooting player. The shooting player starts from the 20m line and moves freely with the ball and must strike at goal by the shooting line.

Table 1: Quiet Eye measures between task conditions with significance.

	QE Duration (%)	QE Onset	QE Offset
		(%)	(%)
Penalty Kick	50.75 ± 2.84	21.13±4.21	73.48 ± 1.58
Representative Kick	45.57 ± 0.93	36.38 ± 4.30	82.40 ± 3.79
Significance	t_2 =2.66, $p \le 0.05$	t_2 =4.75, $p < 0.05$	t_2 =3.36, $p \le 0.05$

Table 2: Condition by fixation by Quiet Eye measures.

Trial	Location	QE Duration	QE Onset	QE Offset
		(%)	(%)	(%)
RK	Ball	45.36 ± 2.78	40.23 ± 3.67	87.13 ± 2.26
	VP	45.43 ± 3.17	32.76 ± 2.21	77.99 ± 5.38
PK	Ball	53.05 ± 3.81	25.71 ± 3.29	78.86 ± 5.74
	VP	45.67 ± 6.02	20.18 ± 1.67	65.79 ± 5.73





Results

Significant differences were observed between conditions for QE duration $(t_2=2.66, p = 0.03)$, QE onset $(t_2=4.75, p = 0.02)$ and QE offset $(t_2=3.36, p \le 0.02)$ 0.03) (table 1). In the representative kick, fixations were shorter (-5.18%), onset was later (+15.20%) and offset later (+8.92%) (Figure 2). Significant differences (t_2 =3.27, $p \le 0.05$) were observed within trial for QE location. In the penalty kick, a greater number of fixations were directed towards the ball (6.75 \pm 2.22) than the VP (2.25 \pm 1.26) (table 2). However, in the representative kick, there was no significant differences between mean number of fixations at the ball (7.75 \pm 2.22) and VP (6.25 \pm 3.5) (Figure 3).

Discussion

Consistent with Klostermann et al. (2018), the more representative task was not replicable to the penalty kick condition, strengthening the argument of task-specificity and the constraint-individual interaction highlighted in the ecological dynamics domain (Figure 4). These findings highlight the importance of appropriately sampling information in the

QE Measures

Figure 2: Penalty Kick v Representative Kick showing relative mean (±SD) for QE measures.

60

Figure 3: Mean fixation location and frequency, Left image =Penalty Kick, Right image=Representative Kick. Small – Large circle = Low – High fixation frequency. Transparent – Opaque circle = Short – High fixation length.

References

Brunswik, E. (1955). Representative design and probabilistic theory in a functional psychology. *Psychological Review*, 62(3), 193.

Dicks, M., Davids, K., & Button, C. (2010). Individual differences in the visual control of intercepting a penalty kick in association football. *Human Movement Science*, *29*(3), 401-411. Klostermann, A., Panchuk, D. & Farrow, D. (2018). Perception-action coupling in complex game play: Exploring the quiet eye in contested basketball jump shots. Journal of *Sports Sciences*, *36*(9), 1054-1060.



Figure 4: Total raw data for all trials in all conditions presented as relative QE onset and offset for all goalkeepers. Shape: $\triangle = PK \square = RK$; Colour: Black = Fixation at VP, White = Fixation at ball; Size: $\triangle = 15-30\%$ (of total trial length), $\triangle = 31-50\%$, $\triangle = 15-30\%$ 51-75%

optic array through the use of representative task constraints.

