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The University of Gloucestershire is proud to be the RWA’s Academic Partner in presenting the UK’s first major retrospective exhibition of the acclaimed abstract painter Albert Irvin RA, RWA (Hon), OBE.

The exhibition is shown alongside a 60th anniversary celebration of the 1959 Tate exhibition ‘The New American Painting’, which was essential in cementing his convictions about painterly abstraction.

As well as being a prolific painter and printmaker, Irvin was a dedicated and inspiring lecturer, first at Hornsey and then Goldsmiths College of Art, and later as visiting lecturer throughout Britain and beyond. The vital blend of unfettered creativity, nurtured through studio time, with the sharing of ideas and inspiration through teaching has long been the life-blood of many artists and educational institutions alike, and remains essential to this day.

This is exemplified by the exhibition’s curator, Stewart Geddes, who combines being RWA President and an eminent artist with educational roles, including as Lecturer at the University of Gloucestershire, School of Art and Design.

The exhibition incorporates comprehensive representation from The Albert Irvin Estate alongside a number of significant loans from Tate, including Jackson Pollock, Willem de Kooning, Robert Motherwell and Barnett Newman. It also encompasses rarely seen works by Grace Hartigan and Jack Tworkov on loan from the USA.

We are hugely indebted to all the lenders to this exhibition, and in particular to Irvin’s family, especially his daughters Celia and Priscilla, who have been unstinting in their support. This publication is enriched by Mel Gooding’s perceptive preface and Stewart’s interview with Basil Beattie, each of whom was most generous in giving their time to the project, and has been brought to fruition thanks to designer Christopher Binding and publishers Sansom and Company.

We are also grateful to Sir Nicholas Serota, who has given enthusiastic assistance at all stages of the exhibition’s development, through to opening the show on 7 December 2018. Finally, we thank the exhibition sponsors, Bishop Fleming Chartered Accountants.

Foreword

Angus Pryor
Head of School, Art & Design
University of Gloucestershire
‘Venturesomeness is only one of the ethical values respected by modern painters. There are many others, integrity, sensuality, knowingness, passion, sensitivity, dedication, sincerity, and so on which taken altogether represent the ethical background of judgement in relation to any given work of modern art.’ (Robert Motherwell: statement in the catalogue to ‘The New American Painting’, 1959)

Every generation of artists has its own great stroke of luck. Sometimes it is a matter of patronage, an historical moment of great enthusiasm, available wealth and plentiful commissions; sometimes it is an extraordinary event, a revolutionary upheaval that may, perhaps briefly, inspirit a generation with a new sense of unprecedented possibilities; sometimes it is the activating presence in the world of an outstanding artistic genius (or two, or more); or it may be a group of remarkable artists, together in the same place, collaborating, conspiring, competing. Whatever, there is a sudden new challenge to rise to, an excitement in the air, a new way of working: creativity is contagious. For many of the younger British artists, coming into their vocation during the mid- to late-1950s, the reports of a new school of diverse American abstract artists, mostly active in New York, were confirmed in the explosively influential revelations of two exhibitions at the Tate.

The first, in 1956, was encountered in the final gallery of an otherwise unremarkable survey of Modern Art in the United States: ‘I was instantly elated by the size, energy, originality and inventive daring of many of the paintings,’
wrote Patrick Heron. ‘Their creative emptiness represented a radical discovery... as did their flatness, or rather, their spatial shallowness.’ Heron was writing for an American audience; the London critics were almost unanimously hostile. But then Heron was himself an artist; moreover, one on the very brink of ‘going abstract’. Although he had cogent criticisms to make – those of one devoted to the great French painters (‘...there was an absence of relish in matière as an end in itself... they were so direct in the execution of the idea that their paint gestures had an over-dry immaculateness... There is always, however, a lack of resonance in their colour, etc.’) – he was clearly caught up in the excitement of the first exposure to young British artists of American abstraction.

It was only one room, but it was enough. The handful of British artists (William Scott and Alan Davie foremost among them) who had been aware of what was happening in New York were joined by a new cohort of young artists, who realised at once that there were infinitely diverse ways of making abstract art. (Abstract Expressionism is not a style: it is a label.) The second great exhibition at the Tate, ‘The New American Painting’ in 1959, was even more influential: it was more extensive; it was more comprehensive. Significantly, it included Barnett Newman (absent from the 1956 show), as well as many other painters virtually unknown here. Its catalogue was a kind of manifesto, including as it did artists’ statements that gave voice to dynamic and visionary ideas of what art could do. It confirmed for a generation that there were new freedoms, new and exciting extensions to what had been so unimaginatively taught and prescribed in the academies. It was indeed the great stroke of luck the post-war generation needed.

All was changed, changed utterly. It was not simply a matter of changing the scale of painting, of heralding the end of easel painting (I exaggerate), though that was a major liberation. It was also, and above all, that the ‘content’ or ‘subject’ of a painting could be its own formal structure, its shapes and colours and their disposition over the canvas surface; it was that ‘space’ in a painting could be lateral as well as – or instead of – recessive; that a painting was part of the world, not merely a picture of some aspect of it. It brought confidence and sophistication into the international discourses of contemporary art; it shattered limitations, and made all things expressive seem possible.

Above all it brought pure joy and unfettered critical experiment into painting. Vision, technique, scale; emptiness and fullness; being and knowing; love and doubt: Bert Irvin was one of the lucky ones.
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Many years after he’d seen the 1959 exhibition, ‘The New American Painting’, Albert Irvin would say he couldn’t conceive of an equivalent experience for an artist today.¹

The Tate exhibition was the last in a series during the 1950s that explored the new art emerging from across the Atlantic. The previous year had seen the Jackson Pollock solo exhibition at Bryan Robertson’s Whitechapel Gallery, and in 1956, the hugely significant but wider Tate survey, ‘Modern Art in the United States’, in which the final room was occupied by the Abstract Expressionists. But ‘The New American Painting’ was the first time they had been brought together and presented to the British public en masse.²

Curated by Dorothy C. Miller under the aegis of MoMA New York’s International Program, the show travelled to eight European cities and played a significant role in shifting attention from Paris to New York as the centre for ‘advanced tendencies’ in the visual arts.³ Interestingly, evidence has since emerged suggesting the exhibition was part of an arm’s length, CIA sponsored, Cold War tool – an expression of the freedoms one could experience in the West.

Irvin later recalled being struck by how different the work of each artist was, which led him to consider the possibilities of a visual language of his own.⁴ However, it was the scale and gestural bravado of the works that impacted on Irvin immediately, and convinced him he must fundamentally rethink his art.

Irvin’s encounter with Abstract Expressionism was part of a wider process of change. It coincided with a period when he was doubting his personal and spikey form of social realist绘画 Under the Fingernails

Stewart Geddes PRWA

Reverse of Untitled 3 c.mid-1970s
painting (*The Steel Rays of the Rain*, p.15, and *Fallen Child in Corridor*, p.18) – a mixture of Francis Bacon and Kitchen Sink influences – and was beginning to contemplate the possibilities of abstraction. Crucially, through his close friend and supporter Nancy Wynne-Jones, he was introduced to St Ives painter Peter Lanyon.⁵

Lanyon, who originated from Cornwall, was only four years older than Irvin, but early involvement with the British avant-garde via his wartime ‘tutors’ Ben Nicholson and Naum Gabo, had led to radical advances in his work. To Irvin, being in the orbit of a seriously regarded artist at this critical phase became essential to his own development.

During family holidays in Cornwall, Irvin was able to extend his conversations with Lanyon and the wider St Ives School. Soon this was augmented with a lecturing post at Goldsmiths College, where an atmosphere of lively debate was generated amongst staff including Basil Beattie, Harry and Elma Thubron, Kenneth Martin, Andrew Forge, and later, John Bellany, Jon Thompson and Michael Craig-Martin.⁶

Significantly, Irvin was told by Forge, the Head of Fine Art, that he must not teach more than three days per week. Irvin recollected, ‘He insisted I had more time each week in the studio – four days – and importantly, paint under my fingernails’.⁶

A period of intense experimentation and exploration followed from this time and throughout the 1960s. Irvin entered the decade with a form of abstraction reminiscent of the ‘teachers’ who inspired it, but exited teetering on the edge of his own mature language.

The early 1960s works often adopt an analogous palette of reds, and contrast thick, broad patches of paint with fragile, meandering lines; sometimes yellow, sometimes black (*Sky Image*, p.23, and *Moving Through*, p.9). The colouration anticipates Irvin’s later luminous palette, but the encrusted paint surface is closer to contemporary St Ives painting. Surprisingly perhaps, Irvin then abandoned rich reds in favour of black (*Into Black*, p.27).
Looking to reconcile varied, large slabs of black with smaller zones of saturated colour, these works show Irvin exploring balance through asymmetry and disparity. They also reveal his reflections on historical art, and appropriating its lessons into his practice. In relation to the black paintings it’s known that Irvin was thinking about Velázquez’s *Infanta Margarita Teresa in a Blue Dress* (1659), where he was fascinated by the contrast in scale of the monumental infant princess’ dress, and her tiny fingers resting on the edge of her voluminous skirts.\(^7\)

Irvin was first alerted to a deeper reading of art and the role it could play in his own work when he met the progressive art collector Reverend Walter Hussey of St Matthew’s in Northampton. Having been evacuated there from London during the war, Irvin soon enrolled at Northampton School of Art. Through winning a religious subject painting prize, the young artist was introduced to Hussey and his significant collection of Modern British art, including works by Jacob Epstein, Matthew Smith, Stanley Spencer and Graham Sutherland.\(^8\) Exposure to this and the conversations between them were an important formative experience for Irvin.

Later, when he was called up to join the Royal Air Force, the contrast between the daily danger of sorties over occupied France, and the civilizing experience of looking at art, became profoundly affecting. Art’s ‘rationing’ – when one painting a month was brought back to the National Gallery from safe exile in a slate mine in Manod, Wales – only added to Irvin’s appetite. He talked of ‘devouring’ his monthly supply when on leave.

The black paintings show Irvin beginning to use thin veils of paint for the first sustained period, but the shapes are essentially an outline filled in. Soon this gave way to the paint’s fluid consistency leading the process, and a more negotiated arrival at form. Irvin’s great friend and fellow painter Basil Beattie remembers the fumes from the vast quantities of turpentine Irvin was using to thin oil paint (see ‘Several Other Gears’, p.70, and *When First is Last, and Last is First*, p.58).

A brief phase of vaporous, rotund forms in 1966 (*Nexus*, p.29, and *Untitled 2*, p.30), where the paint can be seen spraying down and across the canvas, was replaced with vertical and diagonal dagger-shapes, and his palette noticeably heightening once more (*Untitled 5*, p.32). These works have a broadly egalitarian disposition of elements, where the extreme major/minor distribution of forms present in the black paintings, has been set to one side.

Toward the end of the 1960s Irvin left his home-based studio, and began making much larger paintings. He first joined the newly founded cooperative group SPACE in their large Thames-side warehouse at St Katharine Docks and then, in 1971, he moved to an old Jewish School in Stepney Green, East London, where he remained for the rest of his life. Soon after relocating, Irvin tried acrylic paint for the first time.\(^9\)

It’s hard to overstate the significance of the change in medium for Irvin. Working on his large canvasses both vertically (against the wall), and laid flat (just off the floor), he took to acrylic’s properties immediately. Working horizontally stopped the inevitable run-off of water-thinned paint from top to bottom, and by placing the canvas stretcher on large cans, he was able to reduce the drying time of the saturated surface by exposing the back of the canvas as well as the front.

Irvin began constructing painting tools, including improvised squeegees made from card with which he pushed the paint across the surface in parallel, diagonal bars (*Untitled 3*, p.34, and *Untitled 6*, p.33). He used the diagonal gesture in tension with the horizontal and vertical edges of the canvas to deliver a vivid dynamism, resonating with the urban environment in which the works were made. The organisation of these paintings often allude to musical composition as Irvin partitioned the canvas into three ‘movements’: a major dynamic of diagonal stripes,
running into a minor resisting counter-movement, and resolving itself in narrow fingers of colour, reminiscent of the black paintings. This period of painting represents the first mature phase in which the artist has located a truly personal voice. His confidence is palpable, and the rich and luminous palette typically associated with Irvin takes off.

In order to establish the primary colour mood of a painting, Irvin began by ‘sullying’ an already damp, bare canvas with a stain of acrylic. Into this he would then cast ‘chains’ of light tints (Kestrel, p.36).

Retrospectively, Irvin recognised the effect as reminiscent of the flack trails he witnessed coming up to greet his Bristol Beaufighter during the War. Although he wasn’t looking to mimic the seen experience, he talked of these early experiences as significant. Similarly, he remembered leaving a blacked-out Britain by ship for flight training in Canada, and the mesmerizing image of the sparkling city lights of Halifax on the Nova Scotian coast. These unusually positive recollections from a time of mortal danger perhaps demonstrate Irvin’s predisposition to a celebratory psyche? It was tested at times, but he always came back to it. Irvin also speculated that the skills he developed as a flight navigator – of plotting a journey through three-dimensional space on the flat surface of a map – as central to the later abstract painter’s conceptual framework.

As the 1970s progressed Irvin’s use of squeegees moderated and he increasingly reverted to the brush once more, particularly house decorator’s brushes (Kestrel, p.36 and Almada, p.38). He became re-attracted to the nuance of pressure, speed and direction that a brush could deliver. Later, the standard decorator’s brush was replaced with a multi-ferrule hearth brush – a brush used to clean fire grates. Irvin observed that the separate ferrules offered a more recognisable brush mark on the large scale (Northcote, p.40).

The brushed mark was a core communicative element for Irvin. It acted as a signifier of the presence of the artist and the decision-making taking place. Irvin talked in matter-of-fact terms of marks accruing, ‘like entries in a diary’. But, he noted, ‘they carry import too. They’re like pockets of experience’. In relation to this he highlighted the central importance of the autographic mark, and why he didn’t use a studio assistant, because, ‘It’s important that the mark on the canvas is the mark I’ve made’.

Irvin’s colour ‘architecture’ now looked to coordinate an analogous palette – colours from the same zone of the colour wheel – punctuated with smaller proportions of complimentary colour – colours from opposite sides of the colour wheel. This reconciliation of opposites was a repeated tactic of Irvin’s, and the forms the colour took up were often characterised by an interplay of differences: straight with curved; the horizontal with crisscrossed. Over time, new forms were identified and became embedded in his visual vocabulary. Irvin’s combination of oppositional forces even spilled into the creative act itself, and he often quoted the pianist Alfred Brendel’s maxim for creativity as ‘a combination of strategy and ecstasy’.

Over several decades until 1980, Irvin received regular, if moderate, acknowledgement for his work. He exhibited on several occasions in Germany as well as widely in Britain, and was granted two Arts Council bursaries. On one consequent trip to the United States in 1968, he met with Robert Rauschenberg, as well as early protagonists Jack Tworkov and Grace Hartigan. However, Irvin came to wider public attention after selection by artist-curator John Hoyland (Ivanhoe 16.3.80, p.59), for the 1980 Hayward Annual Exhibition, in which a room was dedicated to his work. One important outcome was the offer of representation by Peter Lanyon’s art dealer, Gimpel Fils. Soon after, Irvin felt able to give up his regular teaching post at Goldsmiths College, although he continued to travel around the country as a popular visiting tutor at several art schools.

Throughout his long career as painter/teacher, Irvin
strongly advocated the contribution of visual intelligence. He considered Britain a nation prejudiced toward the cultural primacy of the written word. As part of his evidence he cited the annual celebration of Shakespeare’s birthday on St George’s Day, and the near total ignoring of Turner’s birthday the same day. By way of a small rebalancing act – although he always insisted there was no intended denigration to Shakespeare – Irvin gathered a group of artist friends for a celebratory dinner in Turner’s honour, at the St Katharine Docks studios on St George’s Day 1969. The dinner became an annual event and continues to this day.

When Irvin exited the doors of the Tate at some point in early 1959, he was changed by an experience of the eyes and brain in tandem, and a resolve to embrace abstraction was irrevocably established. After years of exploration, a visual opulence emerged to stand as testament to his belief in the essential and enriching power of the visual arts. Irvin was not blind to the darker side of human capabilities – not least through his wartime experiences – but ultimately, he found ally in Matisse’s principle of an art of ‘...the joyousness of springtime, which never lets anyone suspect the labours it has cost...’

Francis Bacon
Figure in a Landscape 1945
Oil on canvas, 144.8 x 128.3
Tate: purchased 1950

2. The exhibition opened on 24 February 1959, and closed on 22 March. London was the second European venue for the exhibition, having previously been shown in Paris. The earlier ‘Modern Art in the United States’ of 1956, was a much wider survey of contemporary American art, and the Abstract Expressionists were present only in a single, final room. Nonetheless it was a much anticipated and highly influential exposure of the Abstract Expressionists to the British public. The Royal Academy’s 2016 exhibition ‘Abstract Expressionism’ has been the only exhibition dedicated to the Abstract Expressionists artists in this country since 1959.

3. Dorothy Canning Miller (1904–2003) has been described as one of the most influential curators of the twentieth century. Trained at MoMA New York, she became curator of the museum’s collection, and was one of very few women to hold such an important curatorial role at that time.

The phrase ‘advanced tendencies’ was used to describe, what in contemporary terminology would be ‘cutting edge’. An example of documentation where the term can be found is: https://www.moma.org/momaorg/shared/pdfs/docs/press_archives/2342/releases/MOMA_1958_0025.pdf


5. Nancy Wynne-Jones was a significant figure in the St Ives art community when she turned her home into studios for artists and writers during the 1950s and 60s. Previously she had lived and studied in London, where she met Irvin, before enrolling at the St Ives School of Painting where she painted under the guidance of abstract painter Peter Lanyon.


8. Through his extensive record collection, Hussey also introduced the young Irvin to classical music, and helped develop a passion in him that was second only to painting.

9. The St Katherine Docks’ studio was run by SPACE, an organisation founded by Peter Sedgley and Bridget Riley to locate and develop studio spaces for artists. SPACE continues to this day.


11. Ibid.

12. Ibid.


14. Ibid.

15. Irvin met with both artists whilst in the United States in 1968. Irvin requested his friend Basil Beattie send over several of his canvasses from London, so he could discuss his work with Tworkov whilst in New York. Jack Tworkov and Grace Hartigan were both in the original ‘The New American Painting’ exhibition. Hartigan was the only woman included.

Several Other Gears

An Interview with Basil Beattie

Basil Beattie (B) Stewart Geddes (S)

S: When did you first meet Bert Irvin? Was it at Goldsmiths College, around 1962?

B: Yes it would have been about then. He’d been teaching at Spencer Park Comprehensive School and Wandsworth Prison. Bert had already been a student at Goldsmiths, where he’d studied along with Bridget Riley and Mary Quant. But when he came to teach, it was well on its way to being a different course.

S: How would you describe the course back in the early 60s?

B: It was fairly traditional. It was still separated into the Painting School and the Sculpture School, and there was also the Embroidery and Textile School, and none of the students mixed.

S: Not even the sculptors and the painters?

B: Well, no, it was very odd. They pretty much kept to themselves. We were in the Goldsmiths main building and some old properties opposite, where the library is now. There was Rob Brazil doing Foundation work with students in the main building, and Bill Tucker and I were in what they used to call the ‘Jam Factory’, which was opposite. There were also other buildings; workshop-type buildings that the sculptors used. It was rather good actually. Once or twice I was asked to do a tutorial with a sculptor [laughs].

S: So there was no Head of Fine Art as such?

B: No, there was a Head of Painting and a Head of Sculpture, and then a Head of Embroidery and Textiles.

S: Did you seek out teaching as a means of surviving after finishing as a student at the RA?

B: Yes, I nearly took up a teaching post closer to home at Sunderland Art School. The previous Head there, Harry Thubron, had really put it on the map, and around that time nine Sunderland students from the same year got post-grad places at the Royal College. It was unheard of. I remember Picture Post magazine did a feature on it. But before I took up the offer I heard I had an interview and got offered two days a week at Goldsmiths, and decided to go there instead. Compared to the regions, London was a much busier centre for cultural events – exhibitions in particular – and as I was a lad from the North East, this was very attractive.

S: What was so extraordinary about Harry Thubron? I’ve heard you and Bert talk about him a lot. I think Bert described him as having, ‘the wayward logic of genius’.

B: Harry was very aware of what was going on. He was aware of the changes in art schools and changes in practice. He was innovative in every way he taught really. I think it was at Scarborough that Harry organised influential summer schools. I went to one of these, but at a private girls’ school near St Albans. Along with Harry there were one or two teachers from Leeds where he was working by then. Alan Green, a sort of Constructivist, was one of them; a former student of Harry’s, I think. I went on this course which took place in
huge outbuildings, and in one there was sand on the floor, and the students were in a huge circle. I think there were two models, and Harry was saying to the models ‘Move around, move around’. Now, because I had done National Service in the army, and then went to a very primitive art school in Hartlepool, I hadn’t learnt anything about ‘Basic Design’, and I was curious about it. Getting the models to move around – it doesn’t sound that adventurous now – broke up the kind of expectancy that went on in the life room; of sitting down and making work that takes a lot of time.

Schwitters was an important artist for Harry, but also Matisse. He’d do Matisse-like prints. But when he was doing his constructions, they’d turn out to be more like Schwitters.

S: Had you known of Bert before Goldsmiths?
B: No, I hadn’t. We met a year or so after I arrived there. From the start it was obvious that we had the same heroes – we were both interested in the Americans for example.

S: Who also taught at Goldsmiths then?
B: There was Kenneth Martin. Ken was one that Patrick Millard, the Principal, who hadn’t been in the position at Goldsmiths for very long, brought in. Millard had come from the Regent Street Polytechnic, and I think the old guard at Goldsmiths resented him somehow, probably because he introduced new ideas and people. There was ‘Bobby-Jones’ who was Head of Sculpture – he did the sculpture of Churchill in Parliament Square – and Paul de Monchaux, also a sculptor, who is Cathy de Monchaux’s dad. And Betty Swanwick, the painter-illustrator.

Later on, the painting and sculpture schools were merged, and Andrew Forge was made Head of Fine Art. Then came Jon Thompson who restructured things into six groupings of staff and students that were idea and issue led. Actually Jon brought in Harry Thubron and Harry’s wife Elma to teach. Damien Hirst had a rapport with Harry, particularly in relation to Schwitters.

S: At the time you met Bert what did you think of his painting?
B: There were references to things being done in St Ives, and he wasn’t using the brightest colours in the paint box then; that came a bit later. Perhaps he wasn’t being quite so optimistic as he was later on – I am joking. He’d had one or two shows at the New Arts Centre in London, and, you know, I liked those paintings. I still do.

S: Talking earlier of your shared heroes, the Americans for example, what do you remember of the show, ‘The New American Painting’? Were you still a student at the RA at the time?
B: Yes, it must have been right on the point when I was about to leave. It affected everybody... even people who weren’t interested in art. It had a ripple effect for so many artists and art schools. I remember Tworkov and Grace Hartigan, as well as Pollock and de Kooning and the like. There was so much variety amongst them. But what struck me was the energy, the kind of confidence that all the works seemed to express. I’ve thought about it a lot since; and I think the overall feeling was that one was looking at a new form of realism.

S: What do you mean by that?
B: Well, the reality of the image; the power and purpose of the image, and I don’t mean an abstraction from something seen. But in their works there was a kind of physicality to the image that was very much to do with focusing on the object you were making.

S: Do you think that reflection and understanding came later?
B: Yeah, I think so. I don’t think I would have put it like that at the time, but there was an energy, a powerful dynamic that was exciting. And yet they were all very different from each other.

S: Bert commented on that too. He said one of the things that struck him at the time was that de Kooning was very different to Newman, and Newman was very different to Pollock etc.
B: I think de Kooning was rather jealous of Pollock at one point; and Pollock was a crazy man. One wonders if one would have liked to have known him [laughs]. But nevertheless, I think the qualities that he achieved were recognised by the others. De Kooning in particular was very enamoured. And it was a very short time after he knew Pollock that de Kooning burst forth.

S: Bert talked about de Kooning being important to him, because, ironically, he had a vestige of figuration and it was that which showed him a ‘way in’.

B: Yes, I think that was what happened. De Kooning’s shapes can be read as ‘body’, although they weren’t abstracted from a body. They’re sensuous, done with a sign writer’s brushes with long bristles – you could flick them. If you look at the drawing of some of the curves it could only be done by that gesture. Those paintings came out of Cubism, they’re ‘cubic’ in terms of space.

S: It’s interesting you should mention Cubism because of course abstraction was not a new thing when the Americans arrived. European Modernism was forty plus years old. So what was particular about the American work – was it to do with the scale and gestural confidence of their painting?

B: The scale was something very important. Much larger than what we’d call easel painting. It’s a form of mural painting, and no doubt the Federal Arts Project, that several of them had been on, played a role in this.³ A lot of them certainly got to know each other through it.

S: What was the experience like for you, a student at the RA schools, to see a show like that? Something was building wasn’t it? Just the previous year Pollock had a solo show at the Whitechapel Gallery, and before that there had been the first Tate show – ‘Modern Art in the United States’ – with one room of Abstract Expressionists. How did this all contrast with what you were experiencing at the RA?

B: Well, as students we were always invited to send in work to the Summer Exhibition – I can’t remember if I got anything in [laughs] – but I know John Hoyland, who was in the year above me at the Academy, had his rejected because there were no figures in his painting. He was kind of Rothko-esque.

S: And was it right he was told he wouldn’t get his RA diploma, unless he submitted some life drawings from the first year or something?

B: I heard that, yes.

It was around that time I got prospectuses from all the London colleges to see if anybody did a ‘Basic Design’ course. I’d heard interesting things about it, but I didn’t know what it meant. There was only one evening class at the Central School and I mentioned it to John.

S: Who was running it?

B: Bill Turnbull. William Turnbull. So we used to go along, and I remember Turnbull would read Space magazines in the corner while taking the evening class. He’d have a model, and I think he’d say something like ‘Make it look as though it’s new and not something that’s been dug up’. Privately, I’ve always thought his work looks like it has been dug up [laughs].

But it really worked for John. He was motoring by then, and soon after the Academy he got a show at Marlborough’s New London Gallery.

S: Coming back to ‘The New American Painting’, were all things American very attractive at that time? We were only recently out of rationing, and for Bert having been in the RAF during the war, perhaps his memory of the swagger and confidence of the American airmen and soldiers was part of a wider cultural attraction that these works seemed to represent?

B: I can’t quite remember that, but of course for Bert there was a feeling that the war had taken valuable time, and consequently he became prolific.
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S: Yes it struck me whilst in his studio and looking through the older paintings, how hard he worked from the earliest period. He was making a lot of work, and using a lot of oil paint, which was an expensive medium.

B: Yes. I remember going to a diploma show – as they were then – at Chelsea, in the late 60s, and I met Peter Sedgley. I mentioned I was looking for a studio. And he said: ‘Well, why don’t you come to our meeting? We are going to meet down the road next week.’ And it was when he was with Bridget Riley and they were the two great motivators behind ‘SPACE’ and ‘AIR’. ‘AIR’ was for Artist Index Registry, which of course, if computers had been around then would have been fantastic. And ‘SPACE’ looked to provide studio space for artists. Before the big building boom, the Pool of London was full of empty warehouses.

So around this time I said to Bert: ‘Are you interested in a studio?’ And initially he said: ‘No, I’ve got the studio at home. After a day’s work when I’m going to bed, I can go and have another look and do a bit more’. Later on when Bert had taken a studio, I overheard him talking to someone at a party and they said to him, ‘Bert, I hear you’ve taken a studio. I thought you liked working at home?’ And Bert replied, ‘Well you know how it is. When you’ve got a studio at home and you’re off to bed you think I’ll just pop in here and do a bit more. So you pick up your brush and start f****** it up. You can’t do that when you’ve got a studio somewhere else’.

Anyway, we were talking with the council about an old building down Borough High Road, part of which had been Marshalsea’s Prison, mentioned in Dickens’ Little Dorrit. Unfortunately, that didn’t come off, but meanwhile Peter and Bridget had been negotiating for St Katharine Docks, next to Tower Bridge, which is almost surrounded by water and was fantastic. Various boats had traded across the world from there. So we moved in, and although London was on the way out as a port, I remember seeing dockworkers in The China Ship pub, up that cobbled street outside the gates. And Bert did move in, to the middle floor I think.

S: Did he get a commission for some big paintings or something, and needed a larger space?

B: He may have done. He certainly wanted to work bigger by then, and I remember he used to have big drums of turps to thin the paint because he was still working in oils. But even in the large space of the warehouse the fumes and smell was so strong. Good job he didn’t smoke, it could have gone up [laughs]!

And then acrylic came along and it was just what he was looking for.

S: Were you both using acrylic paint toward the end of your time at St Katharine Docks?

B: Lots of us began using it, but ironically Bert started using it later. We were given stock from Rowney when they first started making the stuff, and we did this exhibition at the Royal Academy called ‘Big Paintings for Public Places’. I think it may have been a publicity thing on Rowney’s part, and I remember they gave us big plastic jars of acrylic paint – a whole litre of each colour! It wasn’t particularly good stuff but it was just what we needed at the time. Actually, I only threw some of those jars away a few years ago [laughs].

S: How long were you all at St Katharine Docks as a group?

B: I think it couldn’t have been more than three years – a very short time. We had to leave. And then some of us took over the old Jewish School in Stepney Green in around ’70 or ’71, and I had a studio next to Bert.

S: Those last turps-stained oil paintings of Bert’s that he did at the beginning of your time at Stepney Green, they were painted upright weren’t they? They weren’t done horizontally as he worked later, correct? I notice the paint runs down the surface.

B: Yes, that’s right. But then he started using acrylic paint, and
of course he took to it like a duck to water! And it’s where his work really began to change in size and imagery. If you look at the history of painting, a lot of art changed because of the paint changing. You could make paintings in a way that you couldn’t before. It can be a great release. It certainly was for me and Bert at the time.

*S: Like the development of the paint tube for the Impressionists. So what made you go back to oil?*

*B: Ah, that’s a good question. I think I took hold of a brush to draw into the picture again.*

Acrylic is brilliant for making stained paintings. But when you apply it thickly, it’s more like what it is – plastic. It has a quality of inertness. But when oil paint is thick, somehow it doesn’t look inert or dead. And I began to draw thickly.

*S: But Bert’s paintings don’t look dead do they?*

*B: No. They're not thick though.*

*S: They are almost in the watercolour tradition, but on a vast scale?*

*B: That’s right. There was one point when he said he’d never use white.*

*S: Like a watercolourist...*

*B: Yes.*

*S: But going back a little... around the time of seeing the American shows, Bert had another significant encounter when he got to know Peter Lanyon.*

*B: Yes, I think it was before we met, during Lanyon’s ‘gliding’ period. Did you see the exhibition at the Courtauld Institute recently, of some of those gliding inspired works of Lanyon’s? I thought they were exceptional. Through the material of paint I recognised the experience of flight. They brilliantly evoked the exhilaration of flying through space, through clouds and glimpses of land below, swooping.*

Lanyon and Bert had that mutual interest in flying and must have talked at least briefly about it – of course, Lanyon’s experience was different from Bert’s in that he wasn’t being shot at.

*S: Yes. Bert talked about the parallels between his later painting and his wartime experience as a flight navigator – the process of describing a body moving through space, on the flat surface of maps and charts.*

*B: Yes, he’d refer to the connection of flying and his role as navigator. He obviously didn’t want you to imagine his painting as through the eyes of a bird looking down on the ground. Of course navigation can relate to moving through a city too. Bert followed that through when he went away to a new place and would often pick up a title for a painting; perhaps a local name, of a street perhaps, or road.*

At one point at Goldsmiths, Bert took his students into the city. He took them down into the Tube and then up the highest building above ground. He wanted to put them into different spatial experiences. Just as in normal behaviour – you go upstairs, you go downstairs. And that led to thinking about Bachelard’s *The Poetics of Space*. Bachelard spoke about the space in drawers and wardrobes, and attics and cellars, and the way it affects the emotions. It wasn’t just a physical thing. It could affect your attitude towards all kind of things.

*S: He also talked about the analogy of the journey of a brush across the surface of a canvas, and a journey through an urban landscape space.*

*B: That comes from Pollock and de Kooning. Pollock particularly – he wanted it to be a trace of where he'd been.*

*S: I’d like to talk about the relationship between artists and pubs. They were important weren’t they, in terms of artists gathering and throwing ideas around, as well as having a good time?*

*B: Absolutely. Well, there was Finches on the Fulham Road.*
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That was a good artists’ pub. And the Queens Elm near Chelsea Arts Club was well known; I think at one point there was a writer who owned it. Not being a member of the Club I remember going to the Queens Elm. At the time the Chelsea Arts Club was closely associated with the Royal Academy – and I didn’t want anything to do with that [laughs].

S: Wasn’t it you who told me that when you were a student at the Royal Academy there was a prize for the best painting of a woman in evening wear with no sleeves?

B: No it was, ‘A lady in her evening wear showing arms and hands’. Henry Rushbury, an engraver, was the Keeper, and for me the Academy and its surrounding streets was the art world! Cork Street and Bond Street were three minutes away, as was the ICA in Dover Street. The only time we had to make a pilgrimage was when we went to the Tate or the Whitechapel Gallery. The Serpentine didn’t exist, the Camden Arts Centre didn’t exist, and so it was a very small world.

S: Was the ICA quite a challenging institution?

B: Yes, it was great. It was where Lawrence Alloway was based, and Bill – William Turnbull. He and Alloway were close. Alloway was terrific. It was a very small space, but I remember seeing a significant Morris Louis exhibition there. The Cohen brothers were active then too. I remember at one evening event, one of them sat in the front row while the other stood at the back, and they both flew questions at the panel. As students at the RA we could go straight from the studios – three minutes and we were there!

S: Could we talk about Betty, Bert’s wife? That was a very important relationship wasn’t it?

B: Yes she was terrific. There were times when Bert would be away, in some distant part, and she would turn up at a Private View. But not in the role of deputising for him… she didn’t have to go there as Bert’s wife; she wasn’t Mrs Irvin in that sense. She was Betty. And everybody in the art world knew and liked her.

S: I think that’s a very nice observation, Basil.

B: I’m sure I’m not inventing this, but I have a recollection of Bert telling me that in the early days he was hoping to show some work in an exhibition, and he and Betty loaded up some kind of barrow on wheels with paintings and pushed it over London Bridge to the gallery together, because of course they never had a car! And before all that, in her own right, she went to Clapham Art School…

S: …which, along with all the other London art schools, was evacuated to Northampton in the war, and is where they met.

B: Yes. It was a great relationship, and ah, you know… toward the end; when they were both in St George’s hospital in Tooting at the same time… that was extraordinary… he was downstairs, and she was upstairs, and they’d have dinner together [chuckles].

S: You and Bert were very close friends, weren’t you? What was it you liked about each other?

B: I used to pick him up in my car to drive over to Goldsmiths together, and before we got out of Gorst Road where he lived, he’d have told me his latest joke. It was often a Max Miller joke. What annoyed me no end was I always thought, ‘I must remember that’, but I never could remember the bloody thing. The thing is, all that day you would hear him telling the same joke to everybody else.

But it was painting really; our chemistry was through painting. I didn’t find him moody at all, or anything like that. And I don’t think there are any black clouds in his paintings. By that I mean, I don’t think there is any angst in his paintings.

S: Yeah, they are very celebratory paintings.

B: Yeah, and I think once he’d identified that, in his head it became much clearer.

S: Oh, that’s interesting – you think it took Bert a while to find that, and be confident about it?
B: Well, I think it grew from when he was looking at St Ives painting. A lot of abstract paintings are made through looking at things, and the artist can be torn in terms of loyalty to the original – the issue of a painting originating from, for example, a landscape, but not looking like it in a direct way. In the end I don't think Bert was interested in that kind of thing, although he may have moved in that direction early on.

I remember a Life magazine article in which a reproduction of a Rothko was placed against a photograph of a sunset, and a Franz Kline was next to a photo of the girders of an iron bridge at twilight. In effect they were saying, if you know where to look, you’ll find this stuff that these artists are interested in. It got under my skin.

When I saw the Rothkos at the Whitechapel they didn’t make me think of sunsets. Rothko made visible human things, the human experience: living, being human, feeling. It wasn’t to do with mimicking the visual world, nor a straightforward abstraction from a visual origin; it was philosophical thought. And so with Bert, I think the spirit of the painting he wanted to make eventually became clearer, and somehow he identified the idea of making an image that was joyous and optimistic. And the use of bright, high-key colour began to be more prominent as time went on.

S: Some time ago I spoke with Bert’s dear friend Terry Frost, and Terry told me he’d been at a reunion of men held at Stalag 383 prisoner of war camp. Apparently everyone was talking about how terrible the conditions were; how they were perpetually hungry, and how cold it was. Terry said ‘I have absolutely no recollection of that at all’. He said: ‘All I remember is being stood by the perimeter fence, looking across no man’s land to a forest, and seeing the fresh green leaves on the spring trees, and thinking – that’s the most vivid green I have ever seen in my life!’ And Bert was like that. I don’t think he chose to be like that, he just was, and eventually he came to accept it.

B: Yes, I think that’s right.

He was asked to visit a lot of different art schools around the country, and I think the general impression for students was of an enthusiast. Someone who would try and engage with their ideas and guide them towards themselves.

S: I had that. I had a tutorial with Bert at Bristol, as a first year, and he basically told me, ‘You’ve got to make it more so. What you’re doing is valid, but you’re being a bit inhibited about it.’

B: Well that’s a very good criticism really. There must be several other gears! [laughs].

S: I think that was it – several other gears!

2. A radically new form of art education that revolutionised art school training throughout Britain from the 1950s.
3. Federal Arts Project/Public Works of Art Project was part of the Works Progress Administration developed by Franklin Roosevelt to alleviate difficulties arising from the Great Depression. Artists – including several that later became ‘Abstract Expressionists’ – were employed by Federal Government to paint murals for hospitals, schools, post offices, community centres, and other government buildings. Many of these were in the Social Realist form espousing the virtues of community and the ‘American Way’ despite the difficulties, but some abstract murals were also executed.
4. ‘SPACE’ – Space Provision Artistic, Cultural and Educational – remains one of the main organisers of artists’ spaces in London.
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1922
Albert Irvin born in Bermondsey, south London. As a young boy Irvin moved to Highbury, north London and grew up there during the time of Herbert Chapman’s great Arsenal team. He became a lifelong fan.

1940-41
Attends Northampton School of Art. Meets fellow art student Betty Nicolson whom he would later marry. Their relationship was central in the development of Irvin’s career. Later, Irvin would often refer to Betty as his ‘sine qua non’.

1941-46
Served in the Royal Air Force.

Chronology

1946-50
Student at Goldsmiths College, School of Art.

1947
Marries Betty Nicolson at Wandsworth Town Hall Registry Office.

1949
Daughter Priscilla born.

1956
Exhibition at the Tate, ‘Modern Art in the United States’, proves a turning point.

1957
Meets artist Peter Lanyon through Nancy Wynne-Jones (right).

1959
Visits ‘The New American Painting’ exhibition at the Tate.
Daughter Celia born.
Teaching at Spencer Park Comprehensive School, Wandsworth, and evening classes at Wandsworth Prison.

1960
First exhibition at 57 Gallery, Edinburgh. First non-UK exhibition at the Galerie im Griechenbeisl, Vienna, Austria.

1962-83
Teaching at Goldsmiths College, School of Art.

1965
Elected member of the London Group.

1966
Starts to make works that are in a predominantly black palette.

1968
Moves into St Katherine Docks studio administered by SPACE. Receives an Arts Council Award to visit USA.

1971
Moves to Stepney Green studio in East London.

1972
50th birthday.
Moves from oils to acrylic.

1975
Receives an Arts Council Major Award. Begins short-lived foray into lithography.

Reverse of Into Black 1966
1980
Selected by John Hoyland for the Hayward Annual Exhibition. This significantly extends the public’s awareness of Irvin’s work, and leads to representation by Gimpel Fils. Begins partnership with printmakers Advanced Graphics for the release of his first screenprint, *Tooley*.

1983
Appears in BBC2 television film *A Feeling for Paint: Four Artists and their Materials*, directed by Anne James. Receives the Gulbenkian Award for Printmaking.

1990

1993
Design for Diversions Dance Company.

1994
Appears in BBC2 television *Off the Wall: The Byker Show*.

1995
Exhibition at the Royal Hibernian Academy, Dublin. Duran Duran plays at opening to huge media attention. Works between studios in London and Dublin.

1998
Elected Royal Academician. First performance of *Holyrood* a composition by Barry Guy, based on work by Irvin. Lund Humphries publish *Albert Irvin: Life to Painting*, by Paul Moorhouse.

1999

2000

2001
BBC Radio 3 *Private Passions* – interview with Michael Berkeley.

2002
Receives Honorary Fellowship from Goldsmiths College of Art.

2010

2012
Made Honorary Fellow, Plymouth College of Art.

2013
Awarded OBE for services to the Visual Arts. London Group Centenary Exhibition.

2015
Dies 26 March at St George’s Hospital, Tooting. Betty Irvin dies six months later on 20 September at the same hospital.
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Moving Through 1960
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Almada 1985
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Basil Beattie RA RWA (Hon) (b.1935) When First is Last and Last is First 1999
Oil and wax on canvas, 213 x 198 [p.58]
Courtesy the artist and Hales Gallery. © Basil Beattie. All Rights Reserved, DACS 2018

1980. Acrylic on canvas, 182.9 x 166.4 [p.59]
The John Hoyland Estate and Pace Gallery. All Rights Reserved, DACS 2018

Gillian Ayres (1930–2018) Ace
1984, oil on canvas, 246 x 117 [p.60]
The Estate of Gillian Ayres. Photography by Prudence Cuming Associates

Sandra Blow (1925–2006) Flange 2005
Acrylic and collage on canvas, 183 x 183 [p.61]
© The Sandra Blow Estate Partnership. All Rights Reserved, DACS/Artimage 2018. Photo: Simon Cook

Diego Rodríguez de Silva y Velázquez* (1599–1660) Infanta Margarita Teresa in a Blue Dress 1659
Oil on canvas, 127 x 107 [p.64]
Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna, Austria / Bridgeman Images

Francis Bacon* (1909–1992) Figure in a Landscape 1945
Oil on canvas, 144.8 x 128.3 [p.67]
Tate: purchased 1950. Image © Tate, London 2018. © The Estate of Francis Bacon. All Rights Reserved, DACS 2018

Joseph Mallord William Turner RA* (1775–1851) Light and Colour (Goethe’s Theory) – the Morning after the Deluge – Moses Writing the Book of Genesis exhibited 1843
Oil on canvas, 78.7 x 78.7 [p.67]
Tate: accepted by the nation as part of the Turner Bequest 1856. Image © Tate, London 2018

Clifford Still* (1904–1980) 1951-T No 3 1951
Oil on canvas, 238.8 x 208.3 [p.73]

Oil on canvas, 238.76 x 136.53 [p.76]
Fogg Art Museum, Harvard Art Museums, USA / Gift of Ruth and Frank Stanton / Bridgeman Images © 1998 Kate Rothko Prizel & Christopher Rothko ARS, NY and DACS, London

* Not included in exhibition
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Works by Albert Irvin

Courtesy of The Albert Irvin Estate unless otherwise noted
Dimensions in cm, height before width

Girl with Short Hair  1948
Oil on canvas, 40 x 50

Portrait of Audrey  1948
Oil on canvas, 40 x 46

Landscape in Clapham  1950
Oil on board, 39 x 32

Neighbours  1950
Oil on hardboard, 61 x 71

Chicken in a Box 2  1952
Oil on hardboard, 61 x 91

The Steel Rays of the Rain  1954
Oil on canvas, 51 x 61

Hands on Piano Keys 1  1955
Oil on hardboard, 33 x 216

Hands on Piano Keys 2  1955
Oil on hardboard, 33 x 216

Fallen Child in Corridor  1955
Oil on hardboard, 122 x 77

Priscilla in a Chair  1955
Oil on hardboard, 153 x 92

Cellist  1957
Oil on hardboard, 122 x 92

Town  1959
Oil on hardboard, 51 x 127

Moment of Impact  1959
Oil on hardboard, 152 x 122

Moving Through  1960
Oil on canvas, 127 x 152.4
Private collection

Sky Image  1960
Oil on hardboard, 126 x 126

Sky  1960
Oil on hardboard, 122 x 183
Private collection

Black Moves  1964
Oil on canvas, 127 x 76.5
Whitford Fine Art, London

Untitled 4  1965
Oil on canvas, 48 x 31

Into Black  1966
Oil on canvas, 203 x 178

Nexus  1966
Oil on canvas, 203 x 180

Untitled 2  1966
Oil on canvas, 152 x 127

Untitled  1968
Oil on canvas, 17.78 x 14.3
Collection of Jason Andrew and Norman Jabaut, Brooklyn (Gift of Estate of Jack Tworkov)

Untitled  1969
Oil on canvas, 21 x 32

Untitled 5  1970
Oil on canvas, 203 x 177

Untitled 8  1971
Acrylic on canvas, 18 x 26

Untitled 3  1973
Acrylic on canvas, 213 x 305

Untitled 6  1975
Acrylic on canvas, 178 x 203

Kestrel  1981
Acrylic on canvas, 213 x 305
Private collection

Almada  1985
Acrylic on canvas, 213.4 x 304.8
RWA Collection. Accepted by HM Government in lieu of Inheritance Tax from the estates of Albert and Betty Irvin and allocated to the Royal West of England Academy, 2018

Northcote  1989
Acrylic on canvas, 304.8 x 304.8
Goldsmiths, University of London. Accepted by HM Government in lieu of Inheritance Tax from the estates of Albert and Betty Irvin and allocated to Goldsmiths College, University of London, 2018

Greenwich III  1991
Screenprint, 76 x 105
Edition of 90 plus proofs
Advanced Graphics London

Hillyard Series 10  1991
Gouache on paper, 29 x 38
Gimpel Fils

Octavia  1992
Screenprint, 74 x 94
Edition of 225 plus proofs
Advanced Graphics London

Thurloe Series IX  1998
Gouache on paper, 235 x 33
Gimpel Fils

Sa Lorenzo Series II  2000
Gouache on paper, 235 x 33
Gimpel Fils

Bert and Betty  c.2005
Acrylic on panel, 22 x 17

Rosetta  2012
Acrylic on canvas, 152.4 x 121.9
RWA Collection. Accepted by HM Government in lieu of Inheritance Tax from the estates of Albert and Betty Irvin and allocated to the Royal West of England Academy, 2018

Artscribe
Original copy of first edition of magazine with artwork by Irvin, 1976. Private collection
Basil Beattie RA RWA (Hon) is a painter and former lecturer in Fine Art at Goldsmiths College, University of London. Born in Hartlepool, he studied at Hartlepool College of Art from 1950 to 1955, before going on to the Royal Academy Schools. Beattie’s current painting inhabits an area between abstraction and figuration, and features architectural motifs such as stairs and tunnels, lending both a psychological and spatial complexity. He was made a Royal Academician in 2006 and an honorary Royal West of England Academician in 2018.

Stewart Geddes PRWA is a painter and President of the Royal West of England Academy. He studied Fine Art at Bristol Polytechnic during the early 1980s and at the Royal College of Art. Recent exhibitions include the Atkinson Gallery, Millfield School (solo), and the British Council exhibition ‘Britain in Mexico’, Metro Palenco, Mexico City. Formerly Head of Painting at Cardiff School of Art and Design, he is currently a lecturer in Fine Art at the University of Gloucestershire.

Mel Gooding is an art historian, critic and curator. He has written numerous books and articles on contemporary art, particularly abstract painting, as well as several important monographs on post-war British artists including John Hoyland, Patrick Heron, Bruce McLean, Will Alsop and Ceri Richards. He has contributed extensively to Arts Review, Flash Art and Art Monthly, and has taught throughout the UK including The Slade, Wimbledon College of Art and Edinburgh College of Art.

Works by other artists

Gillian Ayres Ace 1984
Oil on canvas, 246 x 117
The Estate of Gillian Ayres

Derek Balmer White Tree 1964
Oil on board, 91.5 x 71
Private collection

Basil Beattie When First is Last and Last is First 1999. Oil and wax on canvas, 213 x 198
Courtesy of the artist and Hales Gallery, London

Sandra Blow Flange 2005
Acrylic and collage on canvas, 183 x 183
The Sandra Blow Estate Partnership

John Bratby The Toilet 1955
Oil on hardboard, 117.1 x 87.2
Tate: purchased 1993

Peter Coker Table and Chair 1955
Oil paint and sand on fibreboard, 152.4 x 121.9
Tate: purchased 1981

John Eaves Brown and Ochre Divisions 1962
Oil on canvas, 74 x 130
By permission of Mr and Mrs R.J. Salter

John Eaves Strange Arrangement 2011
Carborundum and acrylic (edition of 5), 65 x 86
Stewart Geddes Fotismeno 2018
Acrylic on panel, 60 x 90
Stewart Geddes Aetos 2018
Acrylic on panel, 60 x 90
Stewart Geddes Fotismeno 2018
Acrylic on panel, 60 x 90

Adolph Gottlieb Labyrinth No. 2. 1950
Oil on canvas, 91.4 x 121.9
Tate: purchased 1980

Grace Hartigan Study for Montauk Highway 1957. Collage on paper, 56 x 71
ACA Galleries, New York

John Hoyland Ivanhoe 16.3.80 1980
Acrylic on canvas, 182.9 x 166.4
The John Hoyland Estate and Pace Gallery

Willem de Kooning The Visit 1966–7
Oil on canvas, 152.4 x 121.9
Tate: purchased 1969

Willem de Kooning Women Singing II 1966
Oil on paper on canvas, 91.4 x 61
Tate: presented by the artist through the American Federation of Arts 1970

Peter Lanyon St Ives Bay 1957
Oil on Masonite, 122 x 183
By permission of Mr and Mrs R.J. Salter

Edward Middleditch Flowers, Chairs and Bedsprings 1956. Oil on hardboard, 112.7 x 175
Tate: purchased with funds provided by the Helena and Kenneth Levy Bequest 1991

Robert Motherwell Ulysses 1947
Oil on cardboard on wood, 85.7 x 71.1
Tate: acquired by purchase and gift from the Dedalus Foundation 1996

Barnett Newman Adam 1951, 1952
Oil on canvas, 242.9 x 202.9
Tate: purchased 1968

Jackson Pollock Yellow Islands 1952
Oil on canvas, 143.5 x 185.4
Tate: presented by the Friends of the Tate Gallery (purchased out of funds provided by Mr and Mrs H.J. Heinz II and H.J. Heinz Co. Ltd) 1961

Sandra Porter Untitled (after Rothko + Reinhardt) 1980. Oil on paper, 122 x 61
Tate: purchased 1980

Sandra Porter Study for Aequitas V 2017
Oil on canvas, 23 x 35
Tate: purchased 1980
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Stewart Geddes PRWA is a painter and President of the Royal West of England Academy. He studied Fine Art at Bristol Polytechnic during the early 1980s and at the Royal College of Art. Recent exhibitions include the Atkinson Gallery, Millfield School (solo), and the British Council exhibition ‘Britain in Mexico’, Metro Palencio, Mexico City. Formerly Head of Painting at Cardiff School of Art and Design, he is currently a lecturer in Fine Art at the University of Gloucestershire.
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