UNIVERSITY OF GLOUCESTERSHIRE

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document, This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Svensson MS, Nekaris KAI, Bearder SK, et al. Sleep patterns, daytime predation, and the evolution of diurnal sleep site selection in lorisiforms. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 2018;166:563-577. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23450>, which has been published in final form at <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ajpa.23450>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving. and is licensed under All Rights Reserved license:

Svensson, Magdalena, S., Nekaris, K. Anne-Isola, Bearder, Simon K., Bettridge, Caroline M., Butynski, Thomas M., Cheyne, Susan M., Das, Nabajit, de Jong, Yvonne A., Luhrs, Avere M., Luncz, Lydia V., Maddock, Simon T., Perkin, Andrew, Pimley, Elizabeth R., Poindexter, Stephanie A., Reinhardt, Kathleen D., Spaan, Denise, Stark, Danica J., Starr, Carly R. and Nijman, Vincent (2018) Sleep patterns, daytime predation, and the evolution of diurnal sleep site selection in lorisiforms. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 166 (3). pp. 563-577. doi:10.1002/ajpa.23450

Official URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ajpa.23450>

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23450>

EPrint URI: <https://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/6399>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

Sleep patterns, daytime predation, and the evolution of diurnal sleep site selection in lorisiforms

Magdalena S. Svensson¹

K.A.I. Nekaris^{1,2}

Simon K. Bearder¹

Caroline M. Bettridge³

Thomas M. Butynski^{1,4}

Susan M. Cheyne⁵

Nabajit Das^{1,6,7}

Yvonne A. de Jong^{1,4}

Averee M. Luhrs¹

Lydia V. Luncz⁸

Simon T. Maddock^{9,10}

Andrew Perkin^{1,11}

Elizabeth Pimley^{1,12}

Stephanie A. Poindexter¹

Kathleen D. Reinhardt¹

Denise Spaan^{1,13}

Danica J. Stark^{14,15}

Carly R. Starr¹⁶

Vincent Nijman^{1,2}

¹Nocturnal Primate Research Group, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, United Kingdom

²Little Fireface Project, Rumah Hijau, Cipaganti, Garut, Indonesia

³Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁴Eastern Africa Primate Diversity and Conservation Program, Nanyuki, Kenya

⁵Borneo Nature Foundation, Palangka Raya, Indonesia

⁶Primate Research Centre NE India, Guwahati, Assam, India

⁷Department of Zoology, B.H. College, Gauhati University, Howly, Assam, India

⁸Institute of Cognitive and Evolutionary Anthropology, School of Anthropology and Museum Ethnography, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

⁹Faculty of Science and Engineering, School of Science, University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton, United Kingdom

¹⁰Department of Life Sciences, The Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom

¹¹Tanzania Forest Conservation Group, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

¹²Department of Natural and Social Sciences, University of Gloucestershire, Cheltenham, United Kingdom

¹³Instituto de Neuroetologia, Universidad Veracruzana, Xalapa, Mexico

¹⁴Organisms and Environment Division, Cardiff School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom

¹⁵Danau Girang Field Centre, c/o Sabah Wildlife Department, Sabah, Malaysia

¹⁶Northern Gulf Resource Management Group, Georgetown, Queensland, Australia

Abstract

Objectives:

Synthesize information on sleep patterns, sleep site use, and daytime predation at sleep sites in lorisiforms of Asia and Africa (10 genera, 36 species), and infer patterns of evolution of sleep site selection.

Materials and methods:

We conducted fieldwork in 12 African and six Asian countries, collecting data on sleep sites, timing of sleep and predation during daytime. We obtained additional information from literature and through correspondence. Using a phylogenetic approach, we established ancestral states of sleep site selection in lorisiforms and traced their evolution.

Results:

The ancestral lorisiform was a fur-clinger and used dense tangles and branches/forks as sleep sites. Use of tree holes and nests as sleep sites emerged ~22 Mya (range 17–26 Mya) in Africa, and use of bamboo emerged ~11 (7–14) Mya in Asia and later in Africa. Fur clinging and some sleep sites (e.g., tree holes, nests, but not bamboo or dense tangles) show strong phylogenetic signal. Nests are used by *Galagoides*, *Paragalago*, *Galago* and *Otolemur*; treeholes by *Galago*, *Paragalago*, *Sciurocheirus* and *Perodicticus*; tangles by *Nycticebus*, *Loris*, *Galagoides*, *Galago*, *Euoticus*, *Otolemur*, *Perodicticus* and *Arctocebus*; all but *Sciurocheirus* and *Otolemur* additionally sleep on branches/forks. Daytime predation may affect sleep site selection and sleep patterns in some species of *Nycticebus*, *Galago*, *Galagoides*, *Otolemur* and *Perodicticus*. Most lorisiforms enter their sleep sites around sunrise and leave around sunset; several are active during twilight or, briefly, during daytime.

Conclusion: Variations in sleep behavior, sleep patterns and vulnerability to daytime predation provide a window into the variation that was present in sleep in early primates. Overall, lorisiforms use the daytime for sleeping and no species can be classified as cathemeral or polycyclic.

Keywords:

Sleep sites, Social organization, Strepsirrhine

1. Introduction

To understand broader evolutionary implications of sleep among primates, including sleep architecture, type of sleep, intraspecific variation in sleep, sleep duration, and the ecological pressures selecting for sleep and sleep site selection, a comparative approach is required (Elgar, Pagel, & Harvey, 1988; Lesku, Roth II, Amlaner, & Lima, 2006; Rattenborg, Martinez-Gonzalez, & Lesku, 2009). Sleep can comprise more than 50% of a primate's activity budget (Campbell & Tobler, 1984). Sleep can occur in single continuous bouts or take the form of fragmented sleep with periods of nonsleep and activity amidst otherwise continuous sleep bouts. Thus, knowledge of sleep site selection and sleep patterns can provide valuable insights into a species' ecology, social behavior, and habitat requirements (Anderson, 2000; Grow & Gursky-Doyen, 2010; Gursky, 2003; Mueller & Thalmann, 2000). Where primates choose to sleep is not only related to their body size, degree of arboreality, competition, and pressure from predation and/or parasites, but also to their activity

pattern (Anderson, 2000; Eberle & Kappeler, 2004; Lock & Anderson, 2013; Tagg, Willie, Petre, & Haggis, 2013).

More than 50% of primate species are nocturnal, yet comparative information on the ecology of sleep is lacking for many nocturnal taxa, vital for constructing scenarios about the evolution of primate sleep (Capellini, Barton, McNamara, Preston, & Nunn, 2008). The use of sleep sites in primates varies substantially, ranging from the ground, rocky outcrops, tree branches/forks, dense clumps of herbs and lianas, sleep platforms, tree cavities and nests that are self-constructed or constructed by other species. Use of nests (either self-constructed or made in tree holes or hollows) and platforms as sleep sites is common among strepsirhines and great apes, and, presumably, the earliest humans (Bearder et al., 2003; Fultz, Brent, Breaux, & Grand, 2013; Sabater, Veá, & Serrallonga, 1997; Samson & Shumaker, 2015b), but are rarely used by other haplorhines. Samson and Nunn (2015) distinguished these assembled nests, on the basis that for larger primates, tree hollows would not be a viable sleeping option, and suggest that ancestral Paleocene and Eocene primates probably had galago-like fixed point nest use. Since most monkeys do not use nests, nest use must have evolved multiple times. To be able to infer potential sleep site patterns in early primates (i.e., the ones for which only morphological data are available), we also must examine how body size, forelimb to hindlimb ratio, and hand dexterity combine to assist living primates in their sleep site choices (Covert, 2002; Gebo & Dagosto, 2004).

To examine the question further, Kappeler (1998) reviewed several explanations for the use of nests and tree cavities amongst primates, especially among lemurs. Nests may serve as concealment against predators and/or provide thermoregulatory benefits to prevent heat loss, especially for small and solitary primates (Charles-Dominique & Martin, 1972). Kappeler (1998) also posited that nests and tree cavities particularly benefit species with neonates too altricial to cling to their mother's fur by allowing them to be placed in a safe location. Through phylogenetic analyses of multiple primate taxa, he concluded that the latter hypothesis received most support for nocturnal strepsirhines. Kappeler (1998) notably lacked any *in situ* study of Asian lorises [instead citing Rasmussen (1986) and Ehrlich & MacBride (1989)]. Regarding the paucity of field data on many primate taxa, he urged further research of wild primates to understand better the evolution of sleep site selection.

Five years after Kappeler's review, Bearder et al. (2003), focusing on the African lorisiforms, also noted the scarcity of data on sleep sites and sleep patterns even though such data are vital to understanding diversity within nocturnal primates. Most of Bearder et al.'s (2003) data were based on studies conducted in the latter part of the last century. The authors found similarities among species within the same genus, but clear differences among genera.

In the twenty-first century, substantial taxonomic changes have occurred for both African and Asian lorisiforms. First, the dwarf galagos of the genus *Galagoides* were recognized as a polyphyletic clade (Pozzi et al., 2015), and now are comprised of *Galagoides* (western and central Africa) and *Paragalago* (eastern Africa). *Paragalago* is a sister taxon to the genus *Galago* and *Galagoides* and is a sister taxon to the clade containing *Sciurocheirus*, *Otolemur*, *Paragalago* and *Galago* (Masters et al., 2017). Second, divergence among lorisiforms is estimated to be far more ancient than previously thought; for instance *Euoticus* split from other galagos ~30 Mya and *Arctocebus* split from *Perodicticus* ~23 Mya (Pozzi et al., 2015). Third, and related to the previous two points, more species are recognized (i.e. two additional species of *Perodicticus*, four *Nycticebus*, one *Galagoides*, and one *Sciurocheirus*). Fourth, researchers studying nocturnal primates have amassed substantial new field data from countries such as Angola, Cameroon, Equatorial Guinea, The Gambia, Kenya, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Sri Lanka, and Vietnam (Bersacola, Svensson, & Bearder, 2015; Butynski & De Jong, 2004, 2007, 2017; Butynski, De Jong, Perkin, Bearder, & Honess,

2006; De Jong & Butynski, 2009; Engelbrecht, 2016; Génin et al., 2016; Kappeler et al., 2017; Kenyon et al., 2014; Kumara, Sasi, Chandran, & Radhakrishna, 2016; Nekaris, 2003a, b, 2014; Nekaris & Jayewardene, 2003; Pimley, Bearder, & Dixon, 2005a, b; Ray, Wren, & Bowers, 2016; Svensson & Bearder, 2013). Fifth, primatologists working on diurnal primates have taken an interest in certain lorisiforms, as lorisiforms share sleep sites with diurnal primates (Llorente, Sabater, & Houle, 2003), or are hunted by them (Boesch & Boesch, 1989; Hardus et al., 2012; Nishida, Uehara, & Nyundo, 1979; O'Malley, 2010; Pruetz & Bertolani, 2007).

Combined, the recent advancements in our understanding of lorisiforms allow for an overview of sleep sites, sleep patterns, sleep associations, and predation pressure faced by lorisiforms while sleeping. Using new genetic data on the relationships within Lorisiformes, we predict when various sleeping patterns emerged within this group. The deep evolutionary divergence times between various lorisiform genera help us explicitly to address several questions. Do lorisiforms provide evidence that the early primate ancestors were fixed point nest users? Did nest using evolve multiple times amongst the lorisiforms? Does the ability of a neonate to cling to the mother's fur relate to the use of fixed point nests? These data can be used as a basis to understanding ancestral sleep behavior of primates that can help to inform sleep patterns that occurred later in primate evolution.

2. Material and methods

We follow the taxonomy of Nekaris (2013a,b), but recognize the genus *Paragalago* (Masters et al., 2017), *Nycticebus kayan*, *N. bancanus* and *N. borneanus* (Munds, Nekaris, & Ford, 2013), *Sciurocheirus makandensis* (Ambrose, 2013), and *Galagoides kumbirensis* (Svensson et al., 2017). We treat the Mount Kenya potto (*Perodicticus ibeanus stockleyi*) as a subspecies of *P. ibeanus*, not *P. potto* (Butynski & De Jong, 2017). As such, we include 10 genera with 36 species of lorisiform. In the subsequent text, we abbreviate *Galagoides* as *Gd.* to distinguish it from *Galago* (*G.*), and *Paragalago* as *Pg.* to distinguish it from *Perodicticus* (*P.*).

2.1 Data collection

Post-2003 (i.e., after the publication of Bearder et al.'s 2003 compendium) we conducted nocturnal field work in Angola (SKB, MSS; 1 mo), Cameroon (AML, TMB, YdJ; 3 mo), Democratic Republic of the Congo (TMB; 2 mo), Equatorial Guinea (Bioko: TMB; 12 mo), Ethiopia (TMB; 1mo), The Gambia (SKB, MSS; 1 mo), Kenya (TMB, YdJ; 34 mo), Nigeria (AL; 2 mo), Malawi (SKB; 1 mo), Rwanda (SKB, MSS; 1 mo), Tanzania (TMB, YdJ, CB, AP; 19 mo), Uganda (TMB, YdJ, MSS, AML; 19 mo), Cambodia (CRS, KAIN; 11 mo), India (KAIN, ND; 32 mo), Indonesia (Java: KAIN, VN, KDR, DS; 60 mo; Sumatra: KAIN; 1 mo), Malaysia (Borneo: DJS; 60 mo), Sri Lanka (KAIN, EP; 22 mo) and Vietnam (SAP, KAIN; 9 mo). We collected most data on populations where individuals could not be individually recognized, but in Borneo, Cambodia, India, Sri Lanka, Vietnam and Java, we followed identified individuals with radio collars or other markers. We obtained additional data from published studies and through correspondence with researchers, including those working on great apes (bonobos *Pan paniscus*, common chimpanzees *P. troglodytes*, Sumatran orangutan *Pongo abelii*, Bornean orangutan *P. pygmaeus* and Tapanuli orangutan *P. tapanuliensis*: Nater et al., 2017) to obtain data on predation events.

2.2 Analyses

We used species as the unit of analysis. We pooled data from studies to provide a global picture. Based on previous research (Bearder et al., 2003), we placed sleep site types into five groups: nests, tree holes or hollows, dense tangles of vegetation, tree branches/forks, and bamboo thickets. We ranked the use of sleep sites types from zero (no evidence of use), one (occasional use or mixed evidence) or two (regular use).

To typify social cohesion, we collected data on sleep group size. When transporting infants, these can be carried in the parent's mouth or they can cling on to their parent's fur. For each species we recorded whether they carried infants in the parent's mouth or if they can cling to their parent's fur, or whether they employed both methods. Regarding vocalizations, we included call types (audible to humans) used for social cohesion, advertisement and maintenance. We exclude the ultrasonic calls of *Perodicticus*, *Loris* and *Nycticebus*. We ranked vocalizations as one (social cohesion vocalizations displayed at sleep site) or zero (social cohesion vocalizations not displayed at sleep site).

Intermembral Index (IMI, a ratio of forelimb length to hindlimb length) for the different species was taken from Fleagle (2013) and for slow and slender lorises from measurements taken by KAIN and DJS on wild-caught live animals.

To gain insight into sleep patterns and the presence of fragmented sleep in the loriforms, we compiled data on when individuals entered and exited sleep sites. From selected sites, we added information on pre- or post-dusk waking and pre- or post-dawn sleeping. We added observations of sleep during the night or non-sleep behavior during the day.

We examined evidence of predation on loriforms and highlight those instances where the events occurred while the animal was asleep, or where we could reasonably infer that predation had taken place during the daytime. We excluded predation events by nocturnal predators such as owls but included events from cathemeral or crepuscular predators. While we acknowledge that most loriforms, at least occasionally, sleep for brief periods during the night, and that they may be subject to predation by nocturnal predators at these times, this form of rest is distinctly different from them selecting and using a sleep site where they will sleep during day time. Additionally, we compiled information on anti-predator strategies used by loriforms and which of these might be most effective at sleep sites.

We carried out reconstruction of ancestral states on a subset of species for which full sleep site and fur clinging behavior and published genetic sequences were available. We obtained cytochrome *b* sequences (1,140 bp in length) of 23 species of loriform from GenBank (for accession numbers see Figure 1) and we aligned them with MAFFT v.7 multiple sequence alignment (Katoh & Standley, 2013). This formed the basis of our ancestral state reconstruction analyses. We constructed phylogenetic trees using BEAST v.2.4.6 (Bouckaert et al., 2014; Drummond & Rambaut, 2007; Suchard & Rambaut, 2009). We implemented a strict clock with the birth-death speciation tree prior for 100 million generations, sampling every 10,000 iterations. We checked analyses for convergence using Tracer v.1.6. We then used the posterior probability tree produced by BEAST to perform stochastic character mapping (Huelsenbeck, Nielsen, & Bollback, 2003) to infer ancestral states of sleep site and fur clinging using the R package phytools v.0.6–20 (Revell, 2012). Phylogenetic signal was tested for discrete character evolution of each character by comparing AICc scores with and without phylogenetic error structure using the fitDiscrete function in the R package, geiger v.2.0.6

(Harmon, Weir, Brock, Glor, & Challenger, 2008). This signal was estimated by testing a model with complete phylogenetic independence (lambda fixed to 0) to one with phylogenetic nonindependence (free lambda tree transformation).

Figure 1 Ancestral state reconstructions of stochastic character mapping of loriform fur-clinging whereby infants cling onto the fur of their parents or group member when being transported. Red numbers indicate the Bayesian posterior probabilities of the phylogenetic tree if <1. Branches above nodes (closer to tips) are colored based on their ancestral state probability. Pie charts on nodes and black numbers (states 1/2/3) indicate the probability of the state in the common ancestor. The states are in the following order: 1 – absent, 2 – occasionally present, 3 – present.

We fitted stochastic character histories for each character set by executing continuous-time reversible Markov models, to sleep sites and fur clinging, over 99,900 simulations each. We used an equal rates of transition model to sample the state transition matrix “Q” from the posterior probability. We used ancestral character estimation (“ace”) to demonstrate the probabilities of states at each node. To date the timing of the ancestral states of sleep site and fur clinging, we used the timed phylogeny of Pozzi et al. (2015) to calculate mean values and 95% highest probability estimates in millions of years ago (Mya).

3. Results

3.1 Physical characteristics of sleep sites and evolution of sleep site selection

The type of sleep site loriforms most commonly used was tangles (67% or 24 of 36 species), followed by holes (44%, 16 species), branches/forks (44%, 16 species), nests (either self-built or built by other species: 33%, 12 species) and bamboo (14%, 5 species) (Table 1). Of the 24 species that use tangles, 62% also use branches/forks, 46% also use holes, and 42% also use nests. Of the 16 species that use branches/forks, 94% also use tangles. Of the 16 species that use holes, 69% also use nests, 69% also use tangles, and 31% also use branches/forks. Twelve species use nests, 92% of which also use holes and 83% also use tangles. Of the five species that use bamboo, the four Asian lorises also use branches/forks and tangles, but none use nests or holes, whilst *Gd. demidovii* mainly uses nests in dense undergrowth, and, to some extent, tree holes and tangles. Species in which infants cling to the adult's fur do not tend to use nests or tree holes.

The IMI ranges from lows of around 50 in *Galago* spp., representing clear vertical clingers and leapers with legs twice as long as their arms, to an intermediate value of around 70 in *Otolemur* and *Galagoides*, and highs of over 90 in *Loris* and *Nycticebus*, with arms and legs being almost the same length. Species with low IMIs tend to be those that carry their young in their mouth, and that use nests and tree holes, whereas species with intermediate IMIs tend to have infants that cling on the adult's fur and do not use nests or tree holes (Table 1).

At least four loriforms use human-made sleep sites. *Galago senegalensis* sleeps in traditional bee-hives (hollowed tree boles), bird-houses, and roofs of buildings while *G. moholi* uses ventilation pipes at some study sites. *Otolemur crassicaudatus* sleeps in traditional bee-hives and roofs of buildings, and *Pg. cocos* is also known to utilize human-made sleep sites.

Phylogenetic relationships showed strong support for all splits except for the sister group relationship between *Artocebus* + *Perodicticus* and *Nycticebus* + *Loris* (bpp = 50.63) (Figures 1 and 2). Fur clinging and some sleep sites show strong phylogenetic signal under a lambda transformation model: fur clinging (estimated lambda = 1, AICc = 25.175) is a better fit (Δ AICc = 22.08) than a model with no phylogenetic signal (lambda fixed to 0, AICc = 47.255); tree hole (estimated lambda = 1, AICc = 33.64) is a better fit (Δ AICc = 19.10) than a model with no phylogenetic signal (lambda fixed to 0, AICc = 52.74); branches/forks (estimated lambda = 0.98, AICc = 28.96) is a better fit (Δ AICc = 2.948) than a model with no phylogenetic signal (lambda fixed to 0, AICc = 31.91). Nests showed some support for phylogenetic signal (estimated lambda = 0.557, AICc = 47.01) and is a better fit (Δ AICc = 0.744) than a model with no phylogenetic signal (lambda fixed to 0, AICc = 47.75). Two sleep sites showed no support of phylogenetic signal: bamboo (estimated lambda = 0.363, AICc = 35.559) is a worse fit (Δ AICc = 20.144) than a model with no phylogenetic signal (lambda fixed to 0, AICc = 35.415); dense tangle (estimated lambda = 0.607, AICc = 44.78) is a worse fit (Δ AICc = 21.462) than a model with no phylogenetic signal (lambda fixed to 0, AICc = 43.318). Although stochastic character histories were estimated for all datasets, no information about ancestral evolution should be drawn

Table 1 Sleep site type

				Sleep site type					Social cohesion						
Species	Intermembral Index	Mouth-carrying	Fur-clinging	Nest	Tree hole	Dense tangle	Branch/fork	Bamboo	Audible advertising calls	Audible contact maintenance calls	Allogrooming at sleep site	Sleep group size (range)	Non-human diurnal and crepuscular predators (confirmed records in bold)	Predator avoidance strategies	Reference
<i>Galagoides demidovii</i>	68	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	?	2-10	Sooty mangabeys, bonobos		1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6
<i>Gd. thomasi</i>	67	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	?	<5	Snakes, hawks, hornbill, viverrids, mongooses, blue monkey		7; 8; 9
<i>Gd. kumbirensis</i>		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?		10
<i>Paragalago orinus</i>		1	0	2	1	1	?	0	1	?	1-5	1-9	Snakes, raptors, genets, Sykes's monkeys		11; 12; 13
<i>Pg. rondoensis</i>		2	0	2	0	?	?	?	1	0	?	<3	Snakes eg. forest cobras, boomslangs, green mambas		13
<i>Pg. granti</i>		2	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	?	4-5	Snakes eg. forest cobras, boomslangs, green mambas		13; 14; 15
<i>Pg. cocos</i>		2	0	0	2*	0	0	0	1	1	?	1-5	Snakes eg. forest cobras, boomslangs, green mambas, <i>Cercopithecus</i> monkeys		16; 17
<i>Pg. zanzibaricus</i>	60	2	0	2	2	2	0	0	1	1	?	1-5	Snakes eg. forest cobras, boomslangs, green mambas		13; 16; 17; 18
<i>Galago senegalensis</i>	52	2	0	1	2*	2	1	0	1	1	1	<6	Chimpanzees		19; 20; 21; 22; 23; 24; 25; 26; 27; 28; 29; 30

				Sleep site type					Social cohesion						
Species	Intermembral Index	Mouth-carrying	Fur-clinging	Nest	Tree hole	Dense tangle	Branch/fork	Bamboo	Audible advertising calls	Audible contact maintenance calls	Allogrooming at sleep site	Sleep group size (range)	Non-human diurnal and crepuscular predators (confirmed records in bold)	Predator avoidance strategies	Reference
<i>G. gallarum</i>		?	0	1	1	2	2	0	1	?	1	≤3	Snakes, raptors, jackals, mongooses, genets, wild cats	Live in the thorniest habitats of all galagos. Sleep and rest in the core of thorny vegetation.	17; 31; 32; 33; 34; 35
<i>G. moholi</i>	54	2	0	2	2*	1	1	0	1	0	?	1-8	Large snakes & monitor lizards, genets , Verreaux's eagle , small carnivores		13; 36; 37; 38; 39; 40; 41
<i>G. matschiei</i>		2	0	?	2	?	?	?	1	0	?	?	Large snakes, viverrids, blue monkeys , baboons, chimpanzees		7; 42
<i>Sciurocheirus alleni</i>	65	2	0	1	2	2	1	?	1	1	1	1-6	Large snake, viverrids		1; 16; 43; 44
<i>S. gabonensis</i>		2	0	0	2	?	0	?	1	?	?	1-3	Large snakes, viverrids, leopard, African golden cat		16; 45
<i>S. cameronensis</i>		2	0	1	2	?	?	?	?	?	?	1-6			16; 44; 46
<i>S. makandensis</i>		?	0	?	?	?	?	?	1	1	?	1-4	Large snakes, viverrids, golden cats		47; 48
<i>Euoticus elegantulus</i>	64	0	2	0	0	1?	1?	0	1	1	?	3-4	Pythons, viverrids		1; 13; 16
<i>E. pallidus</i>		0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	?	1-4	Central African linsang		13; 16; 49
<i>Otolemur crassicaudatus</i>	70	1	1	1	1*	2	0	?	1	0	?	1-4	Large snakes, raptors, leopards, chimpanzees		13; 16; 17; 50

				Sleep site type					Social cohesion						
Species	Intermembral Index	Mouth-carrying	Fur-clinging	Nest	Tree hole	Dense tangle	Branch/fork	Bamboo	Audible advertising calls	Audible contact maintenance calls	Allogrooming at sleep site	Sleep group size (range)	Non-human diurnal and crepuscular predators (confirmed records in bold)	Predator avoidance strategies	Reference
<i>O. garnettii</i>	69	1	1	0	1	2	?	?	1	1	?	1-4	Large snakes, raptors		17; 51; 52; 53
<i>Arctocebus calabarensis</i>	89	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	?	1-2	Snakes, viverrids, monkeys		13
<i>A. aureus</i>		0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	?	1-2	Large snakes, viverrids		13
<i>Perodicticus potto</i>	88	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	?	?	Large snakes, African crowned eagles , civets, black-legged mongoose, leopards , African golden cats, <i>Cercopithecus</i> monkeys, mandrills, chimpanzees	Scapular neck shield, predator defense posture, drops to ground	6; 19; 54; 55; 56; 57
<i>P. edwardsi</i>		0	2	0	?	2	?	0	0	0	?	1-2		Scapular neck shield, predator defense posture, drops to ground	43; 58; 59
<i>P. ibeanus</i>		0	2	?	?	2	?	0	0	0	?	?	Leopard	Scapular neck shield, predator defense posture, drops to ground	54; 5
<i>Nycticebus javanicus</i>	93	0	2	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	4		Venomous, predator defense posture	60
<i>N. bengalensis</i>		0	2	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	?		Venomous, sleeps high in trees inaccessible positions or in dense thorny tangles	61

				Sleep site type					Social cohesion						
Species	Intermembral Index	Mouth-carrying	Fur-clinging	Nest	Tree hole	Dense tangle	Branch/fork	Bamboo	Audible advertising calls	Audible contact maintenance calls	Allogrooming at sleep site	Sleep group size (range)	Non-human diurnal and crepuscular predators (confirmed records in bold)	Predator avoidance strategies	Reference
<i>N. menagensis</i>	91	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	1-3	Reticulated pythons, raptors	Venomous, predator defense posture	62
<i>N. pygmaeus</i>	91	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1-5	Monitor lizards, raptor, small carnivores	Venomous, predator defense posture	63; 64
<i>N. coucang</i>	91	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	3	Reticulated python, monitor lizards	Venomous, predator defense posture	65; 66
<i>N. hilleri</i>	89	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	?	?	Changeable hawk eagle, Sumatran orangutans	Venomous, predator defense posture	67; 68; 69; 70
<i>N. kayan</i>		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?		Venomous, predator defense posture	
<i>N. bancanus</i>		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
<i>N. borneanus</i>		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Venomous, predator defense posture	
<i>Loris tardigradus</i>	90	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	4		Sleeps in dense tangles, has cobra defense posture	71
<i>L. lydekkerianus</i>	92	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	4-5	Rusty spotted cat	Sleeps in dense tangles, has cobra defense posture	72; 73

0 – no evidence of use, 1 – irregular or occasional use or mixed evidence from different studies, 2 – regular or habitual use of nests, ? – evidence is based on anecdotal information or when information is lacking, * - using man-made structures as sleep sites. Social cohesion: 0 – no, 1 – yes.

(1) Charles Dominique, 1977; (2) Bearder & Honess, 1992; (3) Hohmann & Fruth, 2008; (4) Ambrose & Butynski, 2013a; (5) A. Luhrs, pers. obs.; (6) E. Pimley, pers. obs.; (7) Butynski, 1982; (8) Llorente et al., 2003; (9) Ambrose & Butynski, 2013b; (10) Svensson et al., 2017; (11) Perkin, 2000; (12) Doody et al., 2001; (13) Nekaris & Bearder, 2011; (14) Butynski et al., 2006; (15) Genin et al., 2016; (16) Kingdon, 2015; (17) De Jong & Butynski, pers. obs.; (18) Honess, Perkin & Butynski, 2013; (19) McGrew, Tutin & Baldwin, 1978; (20) Nishida et al., 1979; (21) Uehara, 1997; (22) Pruetz & Bertolani, 2007; (23) Off, Isbell, & Young, 2008; (24) De Jong & Butynski, 2009; (25) O'Malley, 2010; (26) Nash, Zimmermann & Butynski, 2013; (27) Svensson & Bearder, 2013; (28) Butynski & De Jong, 2014; (29) Butynski & De Jong, 2017; (30) Pruetz et al., 2015; (31) Butynski & De Jong, 2004; (32) Butynski & De Jong, 2013; (33) De Jong & Butynski, 2004a; (34) De Jong & Butynski, 2004b; (35) De Jong & Butynski, 2010; (36) Mzilikazi, Masters & Lovegrove, 2006; (37) Nowack, Mzilikazi & Dausmann, 2010; (38) Burnham et al., 2012; (39) Baker, 2013; (40) Nowack, Wippich, Mzilikazi, & Dausmann, 2013b; (41) Pullen & Bearder, 2013; (42) Ambrose, 2006; (43) Pimley, 2002; (44) Ambrose & Pimley, 2013; (45) Ambrose, 2013; (46) Nekaris, 2013b; (47) Ambrose, 2003; (48) Ambrose, 2013; (49) Ambrose & Oates, 2013; (50) Rovero, Marshall, Jones & Perkin, 2009; (51) Lumsden & Masters, 2001; (52) De Jong & Butynski, 2009; (53) Harcourt & Perkin, 2013; (54) Hart, Katembo & Punga, 1996; (55) Msuya, 1993; (56) Shultz, NoP, McGraw, & Dunbar, 2004; (57) Pimley & Bearder, 2013; (58) Pimley, Bearder & Dixon, 2005a; (59) Pimley, Bearder & Dixon, 2005b; (60) Nekaris et al., 2017; (61) Das, Nekaris, & Poindexter, pers. obs.; (62) Stark, pers. obs.; (63) Kenyon et al., 2014; (64) Nekaris & Poindexter, pers. obs.; (65) Wiens & Zitzmann, 1999; (66) Moore, pers. comm.; (67) Utami & van Hooff, 1997; (68) Hardus et al., 2012; (69) Schuppli, pers. comm.; (70) Nekaris & Nijman, pers. obs.; (71) Nekaris & Jayewardene, 2003; (72) Bearder, Nekaris & Buzzell, 2002; (73) Nekaris, 2003b.

from sleep sites in bamboo and dense tangles and the use of nests should be interpreted very loosely due to a lack of signal.

At ~40 Mya (range 36–44 Mya, nb. all dates used herein are taken from Pozzi et al., 2015), we suggest that the ancestral lorisiform infant was carried by clinging to the fur of its parent (Figure 1). This ancestral state is retained in all Asian taxa as well as in some African taxa (e.g., *Perodicticus*, *Arctocebus*, and *Otolemur*). We propose that carrying infants in the mouth evolved ~22 (17–26) Mya in the ancestor of the African galagos. We assume that the ancestral lorisiform used dense tangles and branches/forks as sleep sites. Almost all extant species still use dense tangles as sleep sites, but we suggest that this trait was lost twice in the east African coastal *Paragalago* species. While the majority of species still use branches/forks as sleep sites, we propose that this trait changed at ~14 (12–18) Mya for *Paragalago* and ~12 (8–15) Mya, when *Otolemur* and *Sciurocheirus* split from the other galagos (Figure 2).

Figure 2 Ancestral state reconstructions of stochastic character mapping of lorisiform sleep site use: (a) bamboo, (b) branch, (c) dense tangle, (d) nest, (e) tree hole. Branches above nodes (closer to tips) are colored based on their ancestral state probability. Pie charts on nodes and black numbers (states 1/2/3) indicate the probability of the state in the common ancestor. The states are in the following order: 15 = absent, 25 = occasionally present, 35 = present; except for the branch sleep site where: 15 = absent, 25 = present

The use of nests is restricted to the African lorisiforms and likely emerged 22 (17–26) Mya, after *Euoticus* split from other galagos (Figure 2). Use of bamboo as a sleep site appears to have emerged early on in their evolution at ~11 (7–14) Mya, after *Nycticebus* split from *Loris*. At present, all *Nycticebus* species, apart from *N. menagensis*, are known to use bamboo as sleep sites. Independently, *Gd. demidovii* uses bamboo as a sleep site but bamboos are absent over most of its geographic range; this behavior probably emerged in the last 5 million years.

3.2 Sleep patterns

Most lorisiforms enter their sleep site between 0.5 hr before and 0.5 hr after sunrise, and leave their sleep site between 0.5 and 1.0 hr before and 0.5 and 1.0 hr after sunset. Several lorisiforms are active (moving, feeding, and calling) during twilight: e.g. *N. javanicus* and *O. garnettii* up to 1.5 hrs before sunset, and *S. allenii*, *Pg. cocos*, *Pg. zanzibaricus* and *G. senegalensis* up to 1.0 hr before sunset.

In Africa, the number of daylight hours (time between sunrise and sunset), and thus the numbers of hours available for sleep, varies between ~13 hrs (June) and ~11 hrs (December) in Senegal and Eritrea, ~10 hrs (June) and ~14 hrs (December) in southern Africa, and ~12 hrs (year-round) in East Africa (Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda). We found no evidence that species in the more northern or southern regions adjust their sleep pattern. In general, for most species, sleep is an equitable 12 hrs year-round. In Asia, *N. bengalensis* in northeastern India, Myanmar and China, have ~10 hrs of daylight available for sleep in December and ~14 hrs in June; again, we found no evidence to suggest that they adjust their sleep pattern. The southern-most populations of lorisiforms in Asia are found in Sri Lanka (*Loris tardigradus*) and Java (*N. javanicus*), both situated ~78° north and south of the equator, respectively. As such, annual variation in daylight hours is small and sleep is equitable 12 hrs year-round.

Numerous lorisiforms, including *N. javanicus*, *G. gallarum*, *G. senegalensis* and *O. garnettii* are sometimes active during the day, presumably only for short periods and possibly in response to being disturbed by humans, adverse weather or because of (real or perceived) predator threats. *Galago senegalensis* occasionally sleep in the middle of the night, but the lengths of these sleep bouts remain unknown. Additionally, *G. moholi*, *N. javanicus* and *N. pygmaeus* occasionally sleep during the night. These species have been known to use daily and multiday torpor, which may suggest they are indeed in a state of torpidity, and not sleeping (Nowack, Mzilikazi, & Dausmann, 2013a; Reinhardt, Wirdateti, & Nekaris, 2016; Ruf, Streicher, Stalder, Nadler, & Walzer, 2015). Overall, however, the daytime is used for sleeping and we could classify no species as cathemeral or polycyclic.

3.3 Predation at sleep sites

Predation avoidance appears to be a main factor in sleep site choice. Benefits are associated with all the sleep site types regarding protection against predation. Known predators of lorisiforms include a wide range of species, including those that target lorisiforms at their sleep sites (Table 1). Snakes and monitor lizards can access tree holes and branches/forks, whereas monkeys and apes, and possibly also some snakes, can access tree holes and tangles. Among reptiles, monitor lizards *Varanus* spp. and reticulated python *Malayopython reticulatus* prey on *N. pygmaeus* and *N. coucang*. The smoothness of bamboo stems may provide protection for *Nycticebus* spp. and *Gd. demidovii*.

Diurnal raptors prey on lorisiforms, although recorded captures are scarce. Raptors known to prey on lorisiforms are: crowned eagles *Stephanoaetus coronatus* on *P. potto* and *Galago* spp., Verreaux's eagle *Aquila verreauxii* on *G. moholi*, and changeable hawk-eagle *Nisaetus cirrhatus* on *N. coucang*. These captures likely took place during the day when the lorisiforms were at their sleep site.

Small mammalian carnivores, such as palm civets, linsangs and genets (Viverridae), may capture lorisiforms when they enter or leave their sleep sites. Remains from *P. ibeanus* have been found in leopard *Panthera pardus* scats and African palm civets *Nandina binotata* are known predators of *P. edwardsi*.

Blue monkeys *Cercopithecus mitis* prey on *Gd. Thomasi* and/or *G. matschiei*, with predation observed in the afternoons. Sooty mangabeys *Cercocebus atys* have been observed poking *Gd. demidovii* out of their nests with sticks. On Sumatra *Nycticebus hilleri* has been observed to be captured and killed during daytime by *P. abelii* — we obtained confirmation from two sites—whereas there are no indications thus far that further south on Sumatra that *N. coucang* is eaten by *P. tapanuliensis* (Table 2). Data from Borneo indicate that predation on *Nycticebus* spp. by *P. pygmaeus* is less frequent, as we only were able to get confirmation of one species, *N. menagensis*, being eaten at one site (Table 2). *Pan troglodytes* occasionally prey on Galagidae (Figure 3), mainly when sleeping in tree holes, sometimes using tools such as sticks. *Pan paniscus* have been observed to force *Gd. demidovii* out of tree holes by inserting fingers into the hole and then hitting the trunk (Table 2).

Table 2 Day-time predation of lorisiforms by great apes

Species	Site, country	Predation	Reference
<i>Pan paniscus</i>	Lui Kotale, DR Congo	<i>Galagoides demidovii</i> forced out of tree hole	Hohmann & Fruth, 2008
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Gombe Stream, Tanzania	<i>Galago senegalensis</i> retrieved from trunk and consumed	O'Malley, 2010
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Fongoli, Senegal	<i>G. senegalensis</i> , frequently hunted, chimpanzees using tools	Pruetz & bertolani, 2007; Pruetz et al., 2015
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Mt. Assirik, Senegal	<i>G. senegalensis</i> and <i>Perodicticus potto</i> , remains found in fecal samples	McGrew et al., 1978
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Mahale Mountains, Tanzania	<i>Otolemur crassicaudatus</i> and <i>Galago</i> spp. harassed and consumed by chimpanzees	Nishida et al., 1979; Uehara, 1997
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Haute Niger, Guinea	<i>Galagos</i> . Chimpanzees observed killing, but not consuming, galagos	C. Colin, pers. comm.
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Ngogo / Kanyawaya, Kibali, Uganda	<i>Galago</i> spp. and <i>Perodicticus ibeanus</i> . Interactions with galagos frequently observed. Female chimpanzee offspring carried dead galago, treated it like a baby	J. Negrey, pers. comm.; R. Wrangham, pers. comm.
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Bossou forest, Guinea	<i>P. potto</i> harassed by chimpanzees	K. Hockings, pers. comm.
<i>P. troglodytes</i>	Tai, Ivory Coast	<i>P. potto</i> , females and offspring observed to hunt and feed on pottos	L. Luncz, pers. obs.; E. Pimley, pers. obs.
<i>Pongo abelii</i>	Ketambe, Indonesia	<i>Nycticebus hilleri</i> , observations of orangutans eating slow lorises	Utami & van Hooff, 1997; Hardus et al., 2012, S.S. Utami Atmoko, pers. comm.; S. Rimba, pers. comm.
<i>P. abelii</i>	Suaq, Indonesia	<i>N. hilleri</i> , observations of orangutans harassing and eating slow lorises	C. Schuppli, pers. comm.
<i>P. pygmaeus</i>	Tuanan, Indonesia	<i>N. menagensis</i> , observation of orangutan eating a slow loris	E. Vogel, pers. comm.

Humans are probably one of the main predators of lorisiforms. This relationship is especially true in Asia where *Nycticebus* and *Loris* are taken to meet the demand for the pet and traditional medicine trades, and where specialized hunters seek out sleep sites during the day.

Figure 3 Young chimpanzee *Pan troglodytes* in Guinea holding a dead northern lesser galago *Galago senegalensis*, having caught it in the daytime. Photo by: Chimpanzee Conservation Center/Charlotte Houpline

4. Discussion

We show that lorisiforms use a wide range of sleep sites, with most taxa sleeping in dense tangles, followed by holes and on branches/forks. Fewer species use nests and bamboo. It appears that the ancestral lorisiform would have used dense tangles, and branches/forks as sleep sites. We suggest that the use of tree holes and nests as sleep sites emerged ~30 (24–36) Mya in Africa, and the use of bamboo as asleep site emerged ~31 (23–26) Mya in Asia and later in Africa. The ability of infants to cling onto their parents' fur appears to be the ancestral condition, and carrying infants in the mouth is a derived condition and emerged in the African taxa. Our data provide support for Kappeler's (1998) hypothesis that use of nests and tree holes is linked to having altricial infants that are not able to cling to fur, thus providing them with a relatively safe location while adults forage.

Further understanding the comparative morphology of fur clingers may help us to infer nest using behavior in the fossil record. We found a strong relationship between more generalized arboreal lorisiforms absence of nest use and presence of infants that fur cling. Tree hole use was limited to animals with the lowest IMIs that are generally vertical clingers and leapers. Functionally, animals with shorter arms, and hence lower IMI, might not be able to cling as well on tangles and branches. Such morphological adaptations are further emphasized by the presence of a *retia mirabilia* (where the arteries form vascular bundles that allows blood to flow even when the animal remains still) in *Loris*, *Nycticebus* and *Perodicticus* allowing an enhanced grip (Ankel-Simons, 2007; Congdon & Ravosa, 2016). In the fossil record IMI and the ability to engage in specialized grasping may help us to interpret the sleeping patterns and sleep site selection of extinct species such as *Carpolestes simpsoni*, that resemble the more generalized arboreal lorisiforms in this study that did not use tree holes (Bloch & Boyer, 2002).

Sleep site selection can be seen partly as an anti-predation strategy, depending on predator species and densities (Anderson, 2000; Charles-Dominique & Martin, 1972). Factors important in reducing daytime predation appear to be: connectivity of sleep trees, use of thorny bushes, nest hole entrance size and selection of dense tangles of lianas and undergrowth and smooth-surfaced substrate such as bamboo.

The entrance size of sleep holes used by lorisiforms tends to be no larger than is necessary for the individual to enter. This minimizes the number of predator species that can enter or reach inside. Selection of tree holes with suitably small entrances that only enable the strepsirrhine to enter/exit is not always possible, especially when the number of tree holes in an area is limited. As a result, holes with larger entrances are sometimes used. For example, *S. cameronensis* used tree holes with entrances of 20-cm diameter larger than necessary for it to access the hole (Pimley, 2002). More studies that systematically measure tree holes used by lorisiforms are needed.

Many species reuse sleep sites in an unpredictable order. This reuse allows them to become familiar with the sleep site and facilitate escape during predation attempts (Di Bitetti, Vidal, Baldovino, & Benesovsky, 2000; Nekaris, 2003a; Qihai, Chengming, Ming, & Fuwen, 2009; Svensson & Bearder, 2013). Rotation of sleep sites makes it more difficult for predators to ambush prey (Di Bitetti et al., 2000). Other species, such as *G. gallarum*, frequently sleep on branches/forks in the center of trees or bushes among a barrier of dense thorns, and use such areas on consecutive days (Butynski & De Jong, 2013; DeJong & Butynski, 2004a, b). Sleeping in tangles of dense vegetation reduces detection from predators, provides protection from the elements and facilitates rapid escape, especially for smaller lorisiforms such as *Galagoides*, *Paragalago* and *Loris* (Kappeler, 1998). Vegetation tangles and bamboo have also been hypothesized as anti-predation strategy for *Loris* and *Nycticebus* (Nekaris, 2014). The slow and slender lorises, angwantibos and pottos are nonsaltatory arboreal climbers, incapable of leaping (Sellers, 1996). This locomotion demands constant connectivity to maintain substrate contact, as well as an increased number of escape routes from predators (Voskamp, Rode, Coudrat, Wilson, & Nekaris, 2014).

Researchers have found animals exposed to high levels of predation to display less time spent in sleep, while those with less disturbances experience increased sleep quality (Samson & Shumaker, 2013, 2015a). This behavior is largely due to disturbances from predators during the sleeping period, as well as a need to be more alert (Lima, Rattenborg, Lesku, & Amlaner, 2005; Zepelin, 2000). More field research on sleep quality is needed in primates, to determine if different sleep site types and predation pressures influence sleep patterns.

The use of different types of sleep sites within the same species of lorisiform suggests these species may be opportunistic generalists that are able to use the range of habitat features available to them or respond to varying sleep site selection pressures. Similar variability is seen in other primate species that have access to the same types of sleep sites in different environments, but do not select them based on differences in the site characteristics (Duarte & Young, 2011; Pontes & Soares, 2005). Despite the range of sleep site types seen across the group however, sleep patterns are mostly consistent, with all species demonstrating nocturnal, not cathemeral behavior.

Given that most lorisiforms live in the tropics and only a few in the subtropics, with small amounts of variation in day length, most species have equal amounts of time available for sleep. The exceptions are *N. bengalensis*, and the southern-most populations of *G. moholi* and *O. crassicaudatus*, which have 4 hrs less available for sleep in winter than in summer (for *N. bengalensis* this is the boreal winter and for *G. moholi* and *O. crassicaudatus* it is the austral winter, when nights are significantly longer than in the summer). Several species of lorisiform are active for short periods during the day (Bearder, Nekaris, & Curtis, 2006). These patterns are possibly linked to low temperatures or other adverse conditions.

Thermoregulation may be an important factor in sleep site selection in lorisiforms, especially for species that live at high elevations and/or at high or low latitudes (Ruf et al., 2015). Tree holes provide good insulation against the cold (Dausmann, Glos, Ganzhorn, & Helmaier, 2004; Schmid,

1998), and buffer against heat. Nests may also serve thermoregulatory requirements (Lutermann, Verburgt, & Rendigs, 2010; Nowack et al., 2013a; Radespiel, Cepok, Zietemann, & Zimmermann, 1998). *Galago moholi* return to their sleep site (usually a treehole but also nests) earlier than usual during cold nights to huddle with other individuals thus serving a thermoregulatory purpose (Bearder & Martin, 1980). The requirement for thermoregulation may also explain why the smaller species of lorisiform such as *Loris*, *Galago*, *Galagoides*, *Paragalago* and *Sciurocheirus* (which lose heat more quickly than their larger relatives) tend to sleep together, thereby sharing body heat (Nowack, Wippich, Mzilikazi, & Dausmann, 2013b; cf. Eppley, Watzek, Dausmann, Ganzhorn, & Donati, 2017). Some of these smaller taxa, including *Pg. zanzibaricus* and *Gd. thomasi*, bring in fresh plant material to line their sleep site (Bearder et al., 2003).

Peckre et al. (2016) pointed out the need for more studies on infant carrying and its relevance to primate evolution, in particular regarding the evolution of an enhanced grip. Based on nearly 20 years of new field data, we help to confirm the view that fur clinging is an ancestral trait in lorisiforms, and that fur clinging species rarely or never use tree holes (cf., Kappeler, 1998). Lorises and pottos have a shared derived trait called the *retia mirabilia*, as well as a reduced second digit (Ankel-Simons, 2007). These morphological traits produce an enhanced grip that has been suggested to be an anti-predation strategy (Charles-Dominique, 1977; Nekaris, 2014; Oates, 1984). Where in-depth studies were conducted on apes, the importance of sleeping posture has proven to improve sleep quality (Samson & Shumaker, 2013, 2015a). Similarly, clinging to branches and a strong grip is also shown to be related to continuous sleep during the diurnal period as well as a decreased frequency of measurable fragmented sleep (KAIN and KDR, unpublished data). The confirmation of nest use as a derived state in strepsirrhines that evolved multiple times corresponds with the deep evolutionary divergence seen among lemuriforms and lorisiforms. We provide a novel set of data that we hope will inform further studies reconstructing aspects of primate evolution.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Riset and Teknologi (Ristek), Balai Konservasi Sumber Daya Alam Bandung (BKSDA Bandung), Research Center for Biology, Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI) and Konservasi Sumber Daya Alam Garut (KSDA Garut), Sabah Wildlife Department, College of African Wildlife Management, Mweka, Tanzania, Institute of Primate Research (Nairobi, Kenya), National Museums of Kenya and Lolldaiga Hills Research Programme (Nanyuki, Kenya).

Our research was approved by Oxford Brookes University, Manchester Metropolitan University and Cardiff University and complies with the American Association of Physical Anthropologists Code of Ethics. This project was conceived by KAI Nekaris, MS Svensson and V Nijman. MS Svensson, C Bettridge, TM Butynski, YA de Jong, AM Luhrs, L Luncz, A Perkin, E Pimley and SK Bearder collected field data on the African taxa. KAI Nekaris, SM Cheyne, N Das, SA Poindexter collected field data on the Asian taxa. D Spaan, DJ Stark, KD Reinhardt, and CR Starr collected field data on the Asian taxa. V Nijman, MS Svensson, KAI Nekaris, SA Poindexter, and S Maddock analyzed the data, and MS Svensson, V Nijman, TM Butynski, YA deJong and KAI Nekaris wrote the paper with input from the other authors. All authors approved the final manuscript.

References

- Ambrose, L. (2003). Three acoustic forms of Allen's galagos (Primates: Galagonidae) in the Central African region. *Primates*, 44, 25–39.
- Ambrose, L. (2006). A survey of prosimians in the national parks and forest reserves of Uganda. In N. E. Newton-Fisher, H. Notman, J. D. Paterson, & V. Reynolds (Eds.), *Primates of Western Uganda* (pp. 329–343). New York: Springer.
- Ambrose, L. (2013). *Sciurocheirus makandensis* sp. nov-Makandé squirrel galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 421–422). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Ambrose, L., & Butynski, T. M. (2013a). *Galagoides demidovii*—Demidoff's dwarf galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 459–461). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Ambrose, L., & Butynski, T. M. (2013b). *Galagoides thomasi*—Thomas's dwarf galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 462–466). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Ambrose, L., & Oates, J. F. (2013). *Euoticus pallidus*—Needle-clawed galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 444–446). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Ambrose, L., & Pimley, E. R. (2013). *Sciurocheirus alleni*—Allen's squirrel galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 418–420). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Anderson, J. (2000). Sleep-related behavioural adaptations in free-ranging anthropoid primates. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 4, 355–373.
- Ankel-Simons, F. (2007). *Primate anatomy: An introduction*. Academic Press: New York.
- Baker, S. E. (2013). Accumulation behaviors and taphonomic signatures for extant Verreaux's eagle nests, *Aquila verreauxii*, in Southern Africa (Unpublished master dissertation). Johannesburg: University of the Witwatersrand.
- Bearder, S. K., Ambrose, L., Harcourt, C., Honess, P., Perkin, A., Pimley, E., & Svoboda, N. (2003). Species-typical patterns of infant contact, sleeping site use and social cohesion among nocturnal primates in Africa. *Folia Primatologica*, 74, 337–354.
- Bearder, S. K., & Honess, P. E. (1992). *A survey of nocturnal primates and other mammals in the Korup National Park, Cameroon*. Unpublished report, Oxford, UK, Nocturnal Primate Research Group.
- Bearder, S. K., & Martin, R. D. (1980). Acacia gum and its use by bushbabies, *Galago senegalensis* (Primates Lorisidae). *International Journal of Primatology*, 1, 103–128.
- Bearder, S. K., Nekaris, K. A. I., & Buzzell, C. A. (2002). Dangers in the night: Are some nocturnal primates afraid of the dark? In L. Miller (ed.), *Eat or be eaten: Predator sensitive foraging among primates* (pp.21–43), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Bearder, S. K., Nekaris, K. A. I., & Curtis, D. J. (2006). A re-evaluation of the role of vision in the activity and communication of nocturnal primates. *Folia Primatologica*, 77, 50–71.
- Bersacola, E., Svensson, M. S., & Bearder, S. K. (2015). Niche partitioning and environmental factors affecting abundance of strepsirrhines in Angola. *American Journal of Primatology*, 77, 1179–1192.
- Bloch, J. I., & Boyer, D. M. (2002). Grasping primate origins. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 298, 1606–1610.
- Boesch, C., & Boesch, H. (1989). Hunting behavior of wild chimpanzees in the Tai National Park. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 78, 547–573.
- Bouckaert, R., Heled, J., Kühnert, D., Vaughan, T., Wu, C.-H., Xie, D., & Drummond, A. J. (2014). BEAST 2: A software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 10, e1003537.
- Burnham, D., Bearder, S. K., Cheyne, S. M., Dunbar, R. I. M., & Macdonald, D. W. (2012). Predation by mammalian carnivores on nocturnal primates: Is the lack of evidence support for the effectiveness of nocturnality as an antipredator strategy? *Folia Primatologica*, 83, 236–251.
- Butynski, T. M. (1982). Blue monkey (*Cercopithecus mitis stuhlmanni*) predation on galagos. *Primates*, 23, 563–566.
- Butynski, T. M., & De Jong, Y. A. (2004). Natural history of the Somalilesser galago (*Galago gallarum*). *Journal of East African Natural History*, 93, 23–38.
- Butynski, T. M., & De Jong, Y. A. (2007). Distribution of the potto (Primates: Lorisidae) *Perodicticus potto* (Müller, 1776) in eastern Africa, with a description of a new subspecies from Mount Kenya. *Journal of East African Natural History*, 96, 113–147.
- Butynski, T. M., & De Jong, Y. A. (2013). *Galago gallarum* Somali lessergalago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 434–436). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Butynski, T. M., & De Jong, Y. A. (2014). Primate conservation in the rangeland agroecosystem of Laikipia County, central Kenya. *Primate Conservation*, 28, 117–128.
- Butynski, T. M., & De Jong, Y. A. (2017). The Mount Kenya potto is a subspecies of the eastern potto *Perodicticus ibeanus*. *Primate Conservation*, 31, 49–52.
- Butynski, T. M., De Jong, Y. A., Perkin, A. W., Bearder, S. K., & Honess, P. E. (2006). Taxonomy, distribution, and conservation status of three species of dwarf galagos (*Galagoides*) in eastern Africa. *Primate Conservation*, 21, 63–79.
- Campbell, S. S., & Tobler, I. (1984). Animal sleep: A review of sleep duration across phylogeny. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 8, 269–300.
- Capellini, I., Barton, R. A., McNamara, P., Preston, B. T., & Nunn, C. L. (2008). Phylogenetic analysis of the ecology and evolution of mammalian sleep. *Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution*, 62, 1764–1776.
- Charles-Dominique, P. (1977). *Ecology and behaviour of nocturnal primates*. New York: Columbia University Press.

- Charles-Dominique, P., & Martin, R. D. (1972). *Behaviour and ecology of nocturnal prosimians: Field studies in Gabon and Madagascar*. Berlin: P. Parey.
- Congdon, K. A., & Ravosa, M. J. (2016). Get a grip: Substrate orientation and digital grasping pressures in strepsirrhines. *Folia Primatologica*, 87, 224–243.
- Covert, H. H. (2002). The earliest fossil primates and the evolution of prosimians: Introduction. In W. C. Hartwig (Ed.), *Cambridge studies in biological and evolutionary anthropology* (pp. 13–20). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dausmann, K. H., Glos, J., Ganzhorn, J. U., & Heldmaier, G. (2004). Physiology: Hibernation in a tropical primate. *Nature*, 429, 825–826.
- De Jong, Y. A., & Butynski, T. M. (2004a). Surveys of the Somali lesser bushbaby (*Galago gallarum*) and northern lesser bushbaby (*Galago senegalensis*) in Kenya and Ethiopia. Unpublished report, Nanyuki, Kenya, Eastern Africa Primate Diversity and Conservation Program.
- De Jong, Y. A., & Butynski, T. M. (2004b). Life in the thornbush—The Somali bushbaby. *Swara*, 27, 22.
- De Jong, Y. A., & Butynski, T. M. (2009). Primate biogeography, diversity, taxonomy and conservation of the coastal forests of Kenya. Unpublished report, Nanyuki, Kenya, Eastern Africa Primate Diversity and Conservation Program.
- De Jong, Y. A., & Butynski, T. M. (2010). Assessment of the primates, large mammals and birds of the Mathews Range Forest Reserve, central Kenya. Unpublished report, Nanyuki, Kenya, Eastern Africa Primate Diversity and Conservation Program.
- Di Bitetti, M. S., Vidal, E. M. L., Baldovino, M. C., & Benesovsky, V. (2000). Sleeping site preferences in tufted capuchin monkey (*Cebupaella nigratus*). *American Journal of Primatology*, 50, 257–274.
- Doody, K. Z., Howell, K. M., & Fanning, E. (2001). West Kilombero Scarp Forest Reserve—Zoological Report. Unpublished report, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, University of Dar es Salaam.
- Drummond, A. J., & Rambaut, A. (2007). BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 7, 214.
- Duarte, M. H., & Young, R. J. (2011). Sleeping site selection by urban marmosets (*Callithrix penicillata*) under conditions of exceptionally high predator density. *International Journal of Primatology*, 32, 329–334.
- Eberle, M., & Kappeler, P. M. (2004). Sex in the dark: Determinants and consequences of mixed male mating tactics in *Microcebus murinus*, a small solitary nocturnal primate. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 57, 77–90.
- Ehrlich, A., & Macbride, L. (1989). Mother-infant interactions in captive slow lorises (*Nycticebus coucang*). *American Journal of Primatology*, 19, 217–228.
- Elgar, M. A., Pagel, M. D., & Harvey, P. H. (1988). Sleep in mammals. *Animal Behaviour*, 36, 1407–1419.
- Engelbrecht, D. (2016). Galagos as avian nest predators in South Africa. *Primates: Journal of Primatology*, 57, 455–458.

- Eppley, T. M., Watzek, J., Dausmann, K. H., Ganzhorn, J. U., & Donati, G. (2017). Huddling is more important than rest site selection for thermo-regulation in southern bamboo lemurs. *Animal Behaviour*, 127, 153–161.
- Fleagle, J. G. (2013). *Primate adaptation and evolution*. San Diego: Elsevier.
- Fultz, A., Brent, L., Breaux, S. D., & Grand, A. P. (2013). An evaluation of nest-building behaviour by sanctuary chimpanzees with access to forested habitats. *Folia Primatologica*, 84, 405–420.
- Gebo, D. L., & Dagosto, M. (2004). Anthropoid origins: Postcranial evidence from the Eocene of Asia. In C. F. Ross & R. F. Kay (Eds.), *Anthropoid origins, new visions* (pp. 369–380). New York: Springer.
- Génin, F., Yokwana, A., Kom, N., Couette, S., Dieuleveut, T., Nash, S. D., & Masters, J. C. (2016). A new galago species for South Africa (Primates: Strepsirhini: Galagidae). *African Zoology*, 51, 135–143.
- Grow, N., & Gursky-Doyen, S. (2010). Preliminary data on the behaviour, ecology, and morphology of pygmy tarsiers (*Tarsius pumilus*). *International Journal of Primatology*, 31, 1174–1191.
- Gursky, S. (2003). Lunar philia in a nocturnal primate. *International Journal of Primatology*, 24, 351–367.
- Harcourt, C. S., & Perkin, A. W. (2013) *Otolemur garnettii*—Small-eared greater galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 413–416). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Hardus, M. E., Lameira, A. R., Zulfa, A., Atmoko, S. S. U., de Vries, H., & Wich, S. A. (2012). Behavioral, ecological, and evolutionary aspects of meat-eating by Sumatran orangutans (*Pongo abelii*). *International Journal of Primatology*, 33, 287–304.
- Harmon, L. J., Weir, J. T., Brock, C. D., Glor, R. E., & Challenger, W. (2008). GEIGER: Investigating evolutionary radiations. *Bioinformatics*, 24, 129–131.
- Hart, J. A., Katembo, M., & Punga, K. (1996). Diet, prey selection and ecological relations of leopard and golden cat in the Ituri Forest, Zaire. *African Journal of Ecology*, 34, 364–379.
- Hohmann, G., & Fruth, B. (2008). New records on prey capture and meat eating by bonobos at Lui Kotale, Salonga National Park, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Folia Primatologica*, 79, 103–110.
- Honess, P. E., Perkin, A. W., & Butynski, T. M. (2013). *Galagoides zanzibaricus*—Zanzibar dwarf galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol.2, pp. 447–449). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Huelsenbeck, J. P., Nielsen, R., & Bollback, J. P. (2003). Stochastic mapping of morphological characters. *Systematic Biology*, 52, 131–158.
- Kappeler, P. M. (1998). Nests, tree holes, and the evolution of primate life histories. *American Journal of Primatology*, 46, 7–33.
- Kappeler, P. M., Cuzzo, F. P., Fichtel, C., Ganzhorn, J. U., Gursky-Doyen, S., Irwin, M. T., & Radespiel, U. (2017). Long-term field studies of lemurs, lorises, and tarsiers. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 98, 661–669.

- Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *30*, 772–780.
- Kenyon, M., Streicher, U., Loung, H., Tran, T., Tran, M., Vo, B., & Cronin, A. (2014). Survival of reintroduced pygmy slow loris *Nycticebus pygmaeus* in south Vietnam. *Endangered Species Research*, *25*, 185–195.
- Kingdon, J. (2015). *The Kingdon field guide to African mammals*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Kumara, H.N., Sasi, R., Chandran, S., & Radhakrishna, S. (2016). Distribution of the grey slender loris (*Loris lydekkerianus* Cabrera, 1908) in Tamil Nadu, southern India. *Folia Primatologica*, *87*, 291–302.
- Lesku, J. A., Roth, T. C., II, Amlaner, C. J., & Lima, S. L. (2006). A phylogenetic analysis of sleep architecture in mammals: The integration of anatomy, physiology, and ecology. *The American Naturalist*, *168*, 441–453.
- Lima, S. L., Rattenborg, N. C., Lesku, J. A., & Amlaner, C. J. (2005). Sleeping under the risk of predation. *Animal Behavior*, *70*, 723–736.
- Llorente, M., Sabater, P. J., & Houle, A. (2003). Association between *Galago thomasi* and *Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii* in the Kibale National Park, Uganda. *Folia Primatologica*, *74*, 80–84.
- Lock, L. C., & Anderson, J. R. (2013). Kin, daytime associations, or preferred sleeping sites? Factors influencing sleep site selection in captive chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Folia Primatologica*, *84*, 158–169.
- Lumsden, W. H. R., & Masters, J. (2001). Galago (Galagonidae) collections in East Africa (1953–1955): Ecology of the study areas. *African Primates*, *5*, 37–42.
- Lutermann, H., Verburgt, L., & Rendigs, A. (2010). Resting and nesting in a small mammal: Sleeping sites as a limiting resource for female grey mouse lemurs. *Animal Behaviour*, *79*, 1211–1219.
- Masters, J. C., Génin, F., Couette, S., Groves, C. P., Nash, S. D., Delpero, M., & Pozzi, L. (2017). A new genus for the eastern dwarf galagos (Primates: Galagidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *181*, 229–241.
- McGrew, W. C., Tutin, C. E. G., & Baldwin, P. J. (1978). Primates preying upon vertebrates: New records from West Africa (*Pan troglodytes verus*, *Papio papio*, *Cercopithecus sabaeus*). *Carnivore*, *1*, 41–45.
- Mueller, A. E., & Thalmann, U. (2000). Origin and evolution of primate social organization: A reconstruction. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, *75*, 405–435.
- Munds, R. A., Nekaris, K. A. I., & Ford, S. M. (2013). Taxonomy of the Bornean slow loris, with new species *Nycticebus kayan* (Primates, Lorisidae). *American Journal of Primatology*, *75*, 46–56.
- Msuya, C. A. (1993). Feeding habits of crowned eagles (*Stephanoaetus coronatus*) in Kiwengoma Forest Reserve, Matumbi Hills, Tanzania. *Annales Musee Royal de l'Afrique Centrale. Sciences Zoologiques*, *268*, 118–120.

- Mzilikazi, N., Masters, J. C., & Lovegrove, B. G. (2006). Lack of torpor in free-ranging southern lesser galagos, *Galago moholi*: Ecological and physiological considerations. *Folia Primatologica*, 77, 465–476.
- Nash, L., Zimmermann, E., & Butynski, T. M. (2013). *Galago senegalensis*—Northern lesser galago. In T.M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol. 2, pp. 425–429). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Nater, A., Mattle-Greminger, M. P., Nurcahyo, A., Nowak, M. G., de Manuel, M., Desai, T., & Krützen, M. (2017). Morphometric, behavioral, and genomic evidence for a new orangutan species. *Current Biology*, 27, 3487–3498.
- Nekaris, K. A. I. (2003a). Spacing system of the Mysore slender loris (*Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus*). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 121, 86–96.
- Nekaris, K. A. I. (2003b). Observations on mating, birthing and paternal care in three taxa of slender loris in India and Sri Lanka (*Loris tardigradus* and *Loris lydekkerianus*). *Folia Primatologica*, 74, 312–336.
- Nekaris, K. A. I. (2013a). Galagidae. In R. A. Mittermeier, A. B. Rylands, & D. E. Wilson (Eds.), *Handbook of the mammals of the world: 3. Primates* (pp. 184–209). Barcelona: Lynx Ediciones.
- Nekaris, K. A. I. (2013b). Lorisidae. In R. A. Mittermeier, A. B. Rylands, & D. E. Wilson (Eds.), *Handbook of the mammals of the world: 3. Primates* (pp. 210–235). Barcelona: Lynx Ediciones.
- Nekaris, K. A. I. (2014). Extreme primates: Ecology and evolution of Asian lorises. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*, 23, 177–187.
- Nekaris, K. A. I., & Bearder, S. K. (2011). The strepsirrhine primates of Asia and mainland Africa: Diversity shrouded in darkness. In C. Campbell, A. Fuentes, K. MacKinnon, S. K. Bearder, & R. Stumpf (Eds.), *Primates in perspective* (2nd ed., pp. 34–54). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nekaris, K. A. I., & Jayewardene, J. (2003). Pilot study and conservation status of the slender loris (*Loris tardigradus* and *L. lydekkerianus*) in Sri Lanka. *Primate Conservation*, 19, 83–90.
- Nekaris, K. A. I., Poindexter, S. A., Reinhardt, K. D., Sigaud, M., Cabana, F., Wirdateti, W., & Nijman, V. (2017). Coexistence between Javan slow lorises (*Nycticebus javanicus*) and humans in a dynamic agroforestry landscape in West Java, Indonesia. *International Journal of Primatology*, 38, 303–320.
- Nishida, T., Uehara, S., & Nyundo, R. (1979). Predatory behavior among wild chimpanzees of the Mahale Mountains. *Primates*, 20, 1–20.
- Nowack, J., Mzilikazi, N., & Dausmann, K. H. (2010). Torpor on demand: Heterothermy in the non-lemur primate *Galago moholi*. *PLoS One*, 5, e10797.
- Nowack, J., Mzilikazi, N., & Dausmann, K. H. (2013a). Torpor as an emergency solution in *Galago moholi*: Heterothermy is triggered by different constraints. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 183, 547–556.
- Nowack, J., Wippich, M., Mzilikazi, N., & Dausmann, K. H. (2013b). Surviving the cold, dry period in Africa: Behavioral adjustments as an alternative to heterothermy in the African lesser bushbaby (*Galago moholi*). *International Journal of Primatology*, 34, 49–64.

- Oates, J. F. (1984). The niche of the Potto, *Perodicticus potto*. *International Journal of Primatology*, 5, 51–61.
- Off, E. C., Isbell, L. A., & Young, T. P. (2008). Population density and habitat preferences of the Kenya lesser galago (*Galago senegalensis braccatus*) along the Ewaso Nyiro River, Laikipia, Kenya. *Journal of East African Natural History*, 97, 109–116.
- O'Malley, R. C. (2010). Two observations of galago predation by the Kasakela Chimpanzees of Gombe Stream National Park, Tanzania. *Pan-Africa News*, 17, 17–19.
- Peckre, L., Fabre, A. C., Wall, C. E., Brewer, D., Ehmke, E., Haring, D., & Pouydebat, E. (2016). Holding-on: Co-evolution between infant carrying and grasping behaviour in strepsirrhines. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 37729.
- Perkin, A. W. (2000). The taxonomic status of bushbabies (galagos) in the Uluguru Mountains. Unpublished report, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, Tanzania Forest Conservation Group.
- Pimley, E. R. (2002). *The behavioural ecology and genetics of two nocturnal prosimians: Pottos (Perodicticus potto edwardsi) and Allen's bushbabies (Galago alleni camerounensis)* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Cambridge, Cambridge.
- Pimley, E. R., & Bearder, S. K. (2013). *Perodicticus potto*—Potto. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol. 2, pp. 393–399). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Pimley, E. R., Bearder, S. K., & Dixon, A. F. (2005a). Social organization of the Milne-Edward's potto. *American Journal of Primatology*, 66, 317–330.
- Pimley, E. R., Bearder, S. K., & Dixon, A. F. (2005b). Home range analysis of *Perodicticus potto* and *Sciurocheirus camerounensis*. *International Journal of Primatology*, 26, 191–205.
- Pontes, A. R. M., & Soares, M. L. (2005). Sleeping sites of common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*) in defaunated urban forest fragments: A strategy to maximize food intake. *Journal of Zoology*, 266, 55–63.
- Pozzi, L., Nekaris, K. A. I., Perkins, A., Bearder, S. K., Pimley, E. R., Schulze, H., & Roos, C. (2015). Remarkable ancient divergences amongst neglected loriform primates. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 175, 661–674.
- Pruetz, J. D., & Bertolani, P. (2007). Savanna chimpanzees, *Pan troglodytes verus*, hunt with tools. *Current Biology*, 17, 412–417.
- Pruetz, J. D., Bertolani, P., Ontl, K. B., Lindshield, S., Shelley, M., & Wessling, E. G. (2015). New evidence on the tool-assisted hunting exhibited by chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) in a savannah habitat at Fongoli, Sénégal. *Royal Society Open Science*, 2, 140507.
- Pullen, S., & Bearder, S. K. (2013). *Galago moholi*—Southern lesser galago. In T. M. Butynski, J. Kingdon, & J. Kalina (Eds.), *Mammals of Africa* (Vol. 2, pp. 430–433). London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Qihai, Z., Chengming, H., Ming, L., & Fuwen, W. (2009). Sleeping site use by *Trachypithecus francoisi* at Nonggang Nature Reserve, China. *International Journal of Primatology*, 30, 353–365.
- Radespiel, U., Cepok, S., Zietemann, V., & Zimmermann, E. (1998). Sex-specific usage patterns of sleeping-sites in grey mouse lemurs. *American Journal of Primatology*, 46, 77–84.

- Rasmussen, D. T. (1986). *Life history and behavior of slow lorises and slender lorises: implications for the lorine-galagine divergence* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). USA: Duke University.
- Rattenborg, N. C., Martinez-Gonzalez, D., & Lesku, J. A. (2009). Avian sleep homeostasis: Convergent evolution of complex brains, cognition and sleep functions in mammals and birds. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 33, 253–270.
- Ray, I., Wren, B. T., & Bowers, E. J. (2016). Documentation of plant consumption by *Galago moholi* in South Africa. *African Primates*, 11, 45–48.
- Reinhardt, K. D., Wirdateti., & Nekaris, K. A. I. (2016). Climate-mediated activity of the Javan slow loris, *Nycticebus javanicus*. *AIMS Environmental Science*, 3, 249–260.
- Revell, L. J. (2012). Phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 217–223.
- Rovero, F., Marshall, A. R., Jones, T., & Perkin, A. (2009). The primates of the Udzungwa Mountains: Diversity, ecology and conservation. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences*, 87, 93–126.
- Ruf, T., Streicher, U., Stalder, G. L., Nadler, T., & Walzer, C. (2015). Hibernation in the pygmy slow loris (*Nycticebus pygmaeus*): Multiday torpor in primates is not restricted to Madagascar. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 17392.
- Sabater, P. J., Veá, J. J., & Serrallonga, J. (1997). Did the first hominids build nests? *Current Anthropology*, 38, 914–916.
- Samson, D. R., & Nunn, C. L. (2015). Sleep intensity and the evolution of human cognition. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, 24, 225–237.
- Samson, D. R., & Shumaker, R. W. (2013). Documenting orangutan sleep architecture: Sleeping platform complexity increases sleep quality in captive Pongo. *Behaviour*, 150, 845–861.
- Samson, D. R., & Shumaker, R. W. (2015a). Orangutans (*Pongo* spp.) have deeper, more efficient sleep than baboons (*Papio papio*) in captivity. *American Journal of Primatology*, 157, 421–427.
- Samson, D. R., & Shumaker, R. W. (2015b). Pre-sleep and sleeping platform construction behaviour in captive orangutans (*Pongo* spp.): Implications for ape health and welfare. *Folia Primatologica*, 86, 187–202.
- Schmid, J. (1998). Tree holes used for resting by gray mouse lemurs (*Microcebus murinus*) in Madagascar: Insulation capacities and energetic consequences. *International Journal of Primatology*, 19, 797–809.
- Sellers, W. I. (1996). A biomechanical investigation into the absence of leaping in the locomotor repertoire of the slender loris (*Loris tardigradus*). *Folia Primatologica*, 67, 1–14.
- Shultz, S., Noë, R., McGraw, W. S., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2004). A community-level evaluation of the impact of prey behavioural and ecological characteristics on predator diet composition. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 271, 725–732.
- Suchard, M. A., & Rambaut, A. (2009). Many-core algorithms for statistical phylogenetics. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 25, 1370–1376.

- Svensson, M. S., & Bearder, S. K. (2013). Sightings and habitat use of the northern lesser galago (*Galago senegalensis senegalensis*) in Niimi National Park, The Gambia. *African Primates*, 8, 51–58.
- Svensson, M. S., Bersacola, E., Mills, M. S. L., Munds, R. A., Nijman, V., Perkin, A., & Bearder, S. K. (2017). A giant among dwarfs: A new species of galago (Primates: Galagidae) from Angola. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 163, 30–43.
- Tagg, N., Willie, J., Petre, C. A., & Haggis, O. (2013). Ground night nesting in chimpanzees: New insights from Central chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes troglodytes*) in south-east Cameroon. *Folia Primatologica*, 84, 362–383.
- Uehara, S. (1997). Predation on mammals by the chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*). *Primates*, 38, 193–214.
- Utami, S. C., & van Hooff, J. A. R. A. M. (1997). Meat-eating by adult female Sumatran orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus abelii*). *American Journal of Primatology*, 43, 159–165.
- Voskamp, A., Rode, E. J., Coudrat, C. N., Wilson, R. J., & Nekaris, K. A. I. (2014). Modelling the habitat use and distribution of the threatened Javan slow loris *Nycticebus javanicus*. *Endangered Species Research*, 23, 277–286.
- Wiens, F., & Zitzmann, A. (1999). Predation on a wild slow loris (*Nycticebus coucang*) by a reticulated python (*Python reticulatus*). *Folia Primatologica*, 70, 362–364.
- Zepelin, H. (2000). Mammalian sleep. In M. H. Kryger, T. Roth, & W.C. Dement (Eds.), *Principles and practice of sleep medicine*. (pp. 82–92). Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders.