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Abstract

Aim: To report an evaluation of health professionals’ participation in a distance-learning physical activity training course developed in a low socioeconomic region of São Paulo city, Brazil. Background: In countries with public universal health systems, physical activity promotion in primary health care settings can reap results, particularly given that such interventions have the potential to reach a large percentage of the population. However, few studies proposed physical activity training for health professionals in low and middle income-countries. Brazil is a continental country and has the Unified Health System which incorporates family health teams in over 85% of Brazilian cities. Methods: The physical activity training was part of the fifth module of an educational intervention throughout a distance-learning course focusing on health professionals at M’Boi Mirim district in Sao Paulo city. The training totaled three hours and had five themes of physical activity: 1. Concepts, definitions benefits; 2. Evaluation; 3. Recommendation; 4. Interventions; 5. Physical activity counseling. The opinion of health professionals was evaluated after training by two open questions. Findings: Out of 106 professionals who took part of the course, only 22.6% (n=24) had accessed the fifth module. These professionals were predominantly female (79.2%), nurses (66.7%) and with aged 30 years or older. Responses highlighted the course approach focused on physical activity for improving patient’s quality of life and well-being, disease prevention and health improvements. Regarding the themes for improvement, health professional identified that there was a need to experience physical activity classes first-hand, and the need to link physical activity counseling to the local venues that provide structured physical activity programs. We recommend that further training courses can be conducted based on this model for health professionals to promote physical activity to the community in Brazil.
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The promotion of physical activity in primary health care settings is considered an effective intervention for high-income countries (Orrow et al., 2012) and physical activity counseling is valuable in improving physical activity (The Activity Counseling Trial Research Group, 2001). However, a review published in 2012 showed that the mean effect size of interventions based on physical activity counseling given by professionals in primary health care settings is low (Heath et al., 2012). Nevertheless, in countries with universal public health systems, this type of action can obtain good results for physical activity promotion, particularly given that such interventions have the potential to reach large proportions of the population.

In Brazil, the Unified Health System (or Sistema Único de Saúde, SUS in Portuguese) is public, universal, integral, decentralized and incorporates family health teams in over 85% of Brazilian cities (Paim et al., 2011). The encouragement to undertake physical activity comprises one of the actions of the SUS for health promotion. Since 2006, the Brazilian Policy for Health Promotion and the health promotion network has worked closely with SUS in encouraging physical activity. Through a number of initiatives supported the Ministry of Health, such as the implementation of Family Health Support Units in 2008, and the “Health Gym Program” in 2011 (or “Academia da Saúde” in Portuguese) (Malta and Barbosa Da Silva, 2012), physical activity promotion within the SUS has been encouraged in primary health care. However, a recent survey involving a nationally representative sample of primary health care units showed that only 39.5% provided physical activity interventions or promotions (Ramos et al., 2014). However, despite those findings, it is interesting to note that a large proportion of physicians and nurses practicing at these primary care units have reported delivering regular physical activity counseling to their patients (Florindo et al., 2013).

This disparity between physical activity promotion interventions and counseling in practice reveals both a discrepancy and an opportunity for development of a more strategic approach to physical activity promotion in these settings. Moreover, the majority of these professionals lack knowledge in the physical activity field, such as on the global recommendations for physical activity promotion (Florindo et al., 2013). Therefore, tailored professional development training focused on health professionals is an unmet goal to improve physical activity counseling to attain greater population effect sizes to increase physical activity among the SUS users.
Since the 1900s a number of training programs for physical activity promotion counseling have been implemented and made available in a range of countries. These include “Patient-Centered Assessment and Counseling for Exercise (PACE)” in the United States (Long et al., 1996; Calfas et al., 1996), as well as programs in other countries such as Japan (Miura et al., 2004), the Netherlands (van Sluijs et al., 2004), the “Green Prescription” in New Zealand (Swinburn et al., 1998; Elley et al., 2003). Notably, the “Exercise is Medicine” initiative was launched in 2007 as a counseling strategy in the United States, and was also adopted elsewhere in other countries (Sallis, 2011; Blair et al., 2012; Lobelo et al., 2014).

Through a European funded project titled “HELP - Healthy Europe Through Learning and Practice”, a group of European researchers in 2012 developed an on-line training module for health professionals (Crone, 2011). The aim of this project was to develop an online training program for physicians and nurses with the ambition to increase their confidence and knowledge in order to enable them to advise patients on healthy weight, healthy eating and the promotion of physical activity.

It is important for countries with national health systems to provide health professionals with training in promoting physical activity promotion, (including counseling), that empowers them to promote physical activity in primary health care settings. In Latin American countries, there is a dearth of studies assessing physical activity interventions in primary health care (Hoehner et al., 2013). More specifically, in Brazil, studies proposing and assessing strategies for physical activity promotion counseling through training of health professionals are scarce. In response to this deficit, the Physical Activity Epidemiology Group at University of Sao Paulo developed a training model for physicians and nurses to support the provision of physical activity counseling. This was published as part of the physical activity promotion interventions in primary health care called “Active Environment” (Florindo and Andrade, 2015). The model developed for Brazil was based upon content from the “HELP-Healthy Europe Through Learning and Practice” project (Crone, 2011). In addition, in continental countries such as Brazil, it is important that distance-learning based educational training for health professionals can be evaluated by using online platforms. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the perspectives and opinions of health professionals regarding the distance-learning physical activity training developed in a low socioeconomic region of São Paulo city, Brazil.
Methods

This paper describes the perspectives and opinions of health professionals on a physical activity training called: “Active Environment”. The training was part of the research project “Implementation of hospital integration strategies with the primary health care system in the health care outreach of M’Boi Mirim in São Paulo city”. This project developed an educational intervention (a distance-learning course) that comprised five modules, each of 8 weeks in duration. Content included the Brazilian Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions list: respiratory, cardiovascular, diabetes, gastroenterology and mixed infectious diseases (Alfradique et al., 2009), and major health risk factors including smoking, alcoholism, illegal drugs, pain, nutrition and physical inactivity.

The physical activity component was part of the risk factors module and was delivered during the last three weeks of the course. A full report of the methods is available in Bracco et al. (2016), but briefly, classes were delivered weekly, by specialists, through one-hour internet-based video-conferences which were followed by a discussion involving a general practitioner. All classes were transmitted online and were therefore interactive with the audience using the Adobe Connect™ interface. Health professionals could take part directly, but the recorded conferences were also made available at the course platform, which could be accessed at any time. In addition, discussion forums guided by mentors were also made available for discussions and for the provision of didactic support materials.

All staff ($n=359$), who included physicians, nurses, and multi-professional staff, who were working at primary care units ($n=18$) and at the Hospital Municipal Dr. Moyses Deutsch were invited to take part in the course. These primary care units form the regional health care network of M’Boi Mirim, a peripheral district in the southern zone of São Paulo City. Therefore, no sample size calculation was performed, but from 359 eligible health professionals, $n=170$ were enrolled in the course.

Physical activity training

As noted above, the physical activity component was called “Active Environment”. It was based on both previous studies on physical activity promotion interventions in primary health care conducted in the eastern region of São Paulo city (Florindo and Andrade, 2015) <www.each.usp.br/ambienteativo> and from the physical activity promotion content devised by the “Healthy Europe Through Learning and Practice” project <http://www.help-project.com/>.
The duration of the training totaled three hours, consisting of the five themes outlined in Table 1 applied over a three-week period. Themes 1 to 4 had duration of 30 minutes each, comprising 15 minutes of explanatory class and 15 minutes for discussion. Theme 5 had duration of 60 minutes, comprising 30 minutes of explanatory content and 30 minutes of discussion.

**Table 1.** Description of themes addressed in the physical activity training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Description of themes addressed in the “Active Environment” physical activity training module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Theme 1 | 30 minutes | Definition of physical activity and benefits of participation including:  
  - Concepts of physical activity in different domains (leisure, transportation, work and household activities) and sedentary behavior (time sitting).  
  - Prevalence of physical activity in the World and specifically to Brazil.  
  - Benefits of physical activity for chronic disease prevention (for example cancer, cardiovascular disease, obesity) and, for quality of life (mental health and psychological wellbeing). |
| Theme 2 | 30 minutes | Evaluation of physical activity including:  
  - Understanding the process of physical activity evaluation (classification of intensity, frequency and duration), types of activities (aerobics and strength) and physical fitness.  
  - Evaluation of physical activity through the use of questionnaires, pedometers and accelerometers. |
| Theme 3 | 30 minutes | Recommendation of physical activity for health including:  
  - Activity recommendation from the World Health Organization for children, adolescents, adults and elderly.  
  - Types of physical activities most important for promotion, specific to Brazil (in leisure time and active transport, i.e. travel and transportation).  
  - Recommendations for reducing sedentary behavior. |
| Theme 4 | Interventions for physical activity promotion including:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration: 30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|  | • Evidence based practice regarding targeted physical activity promotion interventions.  
|  | • Examples of interventions from primary health care settings and models of physical activity counseling/advice.  
|  | • Overview of physical activity promotion programs from primary health care settings in Brazil.  

| Theme 5 | Physical activity counseling including:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration: 60 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|  | • Underpinning principles of physical activity counseling based on associated factors such as, demographic, social, barriers, social support, self-efficacy, built environment and perceived environment.  
|  | • Specific advice for primary health care settings including activities to put into practice in everyday life, activities that have connections with culture, and more formal forms of referral for physical activity programs within the community.  

**Evaluation of physical activity training**

To assess the opinion of health professionals about physical activity training we used two questions and to verify professional conduct for physical activity counseling we used one question (Table 2).

Physical activity counseling including:

- Underpinning principles of physical activity counseling based on associated factors such as, demographic, social, barriers, social support, self-efficacy, built environment and perceived environment.
- Specific advice for primary health care settings including activities to put into practice in everyday life, activities that have connections with culture, and more formal forms of referral for physical activity programs within the community.

**Table 2.** Questions used for evaluation

**Open questions on assessment of physical activity course:**

Regarding the physical activity training taught in the health promotion module, what strengths would you cite?

And what points do you think should be improved on the physical activity training?
These questions took part of the questionnaire completed by participants to evaluate the whole distance-learning course at the concluding session, held a month after the module containing the physical activity training (Bracco et al., 2016). Questions were analyzed only in the group that accessed the fifth module (that had the physical activity training).

Discourse analysis (Câmara, 2013, Bardin, 2011) was used to analyze data from the two open questions (Table 2). We created categories from the answers about the positive points and suggestions to improve the training. We calculated how many times the responses that were contained in each category and each respondent may have cited responses for more than one category.

For the question about professional practice for physical activity counseling, the responses were analyzed using descriptive statistics (%) and thematically categorized in six options.

All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPPS) version 22.0.

**Ethical Issues**

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Secretariat for Health of São Paulo city (permit CAAE 26981514.3.0000.0086). All professionals who took part in the study signed the Free and Informed Consent Form.
Results

Of the 170 who were enrolled in the course, 28 left their positions during the course. Of those that completed the course (n=142), 106 completed the final course evaluation after one year. Of the 106 professionals, 22.6% (n=24) accessed the fifth module (or watched some classes, or participated in the discussion forum).

The professionals who accessed the fifth module (n=24) were predominantly female (79%), nurses (67%), aged > 30 years (75%), had been professionally practicing in the national health system for over 5 years.
**Table 3.** Characteristics of health professionals that accessed the 5th module of the course (major health risk factors) and answered the questions about physical activity training (n=24).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>sd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 30 years old</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years old</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 41 years old</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional category</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years practicing in health care settings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5 years</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access of the online course during all intervention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-10 times</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-30 times</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30 times</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access in the discussion forum of fifth module</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participation in the classes of the fifth module</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 missing information; **2 missing information
We had 14 responses to positive points and 12 responses about negative points of the course (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Summary of positive and negative points reported by professionals who accessed the module containing the physical activity training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive points = 14 professionals answered</th>
<th>Number of citations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Categories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity associated with quality of life and well-being</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of diseases and improve of health</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment and rehabilitation of diseases and risk factors</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity in the aging process</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on physical activity promotion</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another topics as healthy food</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills for physical activity counseling</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity targeted for recreation centers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity for social support</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity for self-esteem</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity for self-care</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Points for improvement = 12 professionals answered |                     |
| Categories                                         |                     |
| Very good training and no changes required         | 3                   |
| Include physical activity sessions for health professionals | 2                   |
| Link between counseling with public facilities for physical activity participation | 2                   |
| Include teaching materials as CD-ROM and movies on other physical activities as dancing to improve adherence | 1                   |
| Physical activity for different ages              | 1                   |
| Physical activity for different diseases          | 1                   |
The professionals highlighted the course approach focused on patient’s quality of life and well-being, disease prevention and health improvements. Other important topics raised by health professionals were information about physical activity in the aging process, and treatment and rehabilitation of diseases (Table 4).

Regarding the themes for improvement, these included the need for physical activity classes for professionals to experience physical activity practice first-hand, and the need to link physical activity counseling to the local venues that provide structured physical activity programs (Table 4).

**Discussion**

This study’s objective was to report on the opinion of health professionals regarding an “Active Environment” training to physical activity promotion as part of the research project “Implementation of hospital integration strategies with the primary health care system in the health care outreach of M’Boi Mirim in São Paulo city”. The results showed that the positive points were the focus in well-being and quality of life and the prevention of diseases and health improvements. The training had good acceptability by the professionals who have accessed the module. However, only 24 health providers accessed the health risk factors module. Among them, a request for first-hand practical classes on physical activity and also a more specific link with counseling and local venues where activity takes place. These were the both identified as potential improvements.

This training was delivered as an internet-based distance learning education model and had three hours divided in three weeks (1 hour per week). The main goal was to empower professionals by providing them with generalist content on physical activity and health for physical activity counseling in primary health care units.

Several interventions to empower professionals for physical activity counseling have employed a variety of strategies and contents. The “PACE” intervention introduced in the United States in the 1990s (Long et al., 1996; Calfas et al., 1996), a pioneering intervention for physical health promotion in primary health care, is based on 30-60 minutes training sessions. The sessions empower professionals to address the psychological and social factors influencing physical activity practice, such as social support of family and friends, self-efficacy or confidence, reduction of perceived barriers and raising awareness of the benefits of physical activity (van Sluijs et al., 2004; Ainsworth and Youmans, 2002; Calfas et al., 2002).
The “Green Prescription” intervention implemented in New Zealand recommends four hours of training for health professionals on motivational techniques to encourage patients to practice physical activity independently, with particular emphasis on walking. Subsequently, these patients receive follow-up telephone calls from the physical activity professionals as motivational support, specific counseling on physical exercises and on local communities to practice group physical activity (Swinburn et al., 1998; Elley et al., 2003). The “Exercise is Medicine” intervention, established in 2007 by the American Medical Association and American College of Sports and Medicine, encompasses six-to-eight-hours training courses based on behavioral models linked to primary health care, and also includes a clinical model for assessing, counseling, and referring patients for physical activity programs at the community level (Calle et al., 2016; Lobelo et al., 2014; Sallis, 2011).

A feature shared by some of these interventions is a scheme of counseling based on stages of change in behavior (Calfas et al., 1996; Ainsworth and Youmans, 2002; Lobelo et al., 2014). This was originally devised for behaviors deleterious to health such as smoking (Prochaska and Diclemente, 1992) but later adapted to other health behaviors such as the physical activity (Dumith et al., 2008). Furthermore, physical activity counseling is based on social cognitive theory (Bandura 1986), because physical activity participation includes multifactorial determinants (Bauman et al., 2012).

The “Active Environment” training for physicians and nurses was not based on closed, specific counseling models but seeks to empower health professionals to devise strategies according to their local practices, and to discuss and share ideas through the interactive forum. The social cognitive theory and stages of change in behavior are important because the “Active Environment” works with overcoming barriers and social support to improve physical activity, as already shown in training for community health workers (Florindo et al., 2014). However, in Universal Health Systems implemented in large, culturally diverse countries like Brazil (Paim et al., 2011), models must be adaptable to meet local conditions of health professionals. For example, Swiss based study reported that for physicians a structured procedure could be adapted for physical activity counseling according to work conditions and realities of the primary health care units (Schimd et al., 2009).

With regard to improvements suggested by the professionals, there were calls for a link between the counseling delivered and public, structured physical activity programs, such as physical exercise classes. A pilot study to test the “Exercise is Medicine” intervention showed that when the counseling for physical exercise was directly linked with referral of patients to a recreation centres, the average effect of increase in moderate-to-vigorous-
intensity physical activity was larger compared to a group of patients receiving counseling alone after six months of the interventions (Heath et al., 2015). In a national survey involving physicians and nurses working in primary health care in Brazil, Florindo et al. (2013) showed that the odds ratio of counseling on physical activity was higher when structured physical activity programs were provided at the primary health care units where the professionals were working. The “Green Prescription” intervention does the link between primary health care (by general practitioners or nurses) with physical exercise specialists (Hamlin et al., 2016; Elley et al., 2003). And this support was considered positive by general practitioners that working in primary health care settings in New Zealand (Patel et al., 2011).

Clearly, physical activity promotion is an interdisciplinary field and physical activity is a habit that depends on numerous factors (Bauman et al., 2012). For example, although 12 hours of training in a group of community health workers improved knowledge and skills for physical activity promotion in a primary health care unit in the eastern region of São Paulo (Florindo et al., 2014), this outcome did not translate to changes in the level of physical activity among the population seen by these professionals (Costa et al., 2015). Therefore, besides improving the knowledge of health professionals to counsel, counseling programs should also be linked with structured physical activity programs run within the communities where these practitioners operate and work. Brazil currently has a good setting to support this, particularly after implementation of the “Health Gym Program” (Malta and Barbosa Da Silva, 2012), which can provide environments and specialized professionals to run physical exercise classes in partnership with primary care units.

Another suggestion was the incorporation of physical activity sessions for the health professionals themselves. Because the course was 100% distance based this approach was not possible or envisaged. However, it is believed that in universal systems with multi-professional and interdisciplinary health teams such as Brazil’s (Paim et al., 2011) that including a physical activity promotion professional within this team (Florindo et al., 2016) would be a complement to this course can and as such is recommended from this study. Many studies have shown that health professionals practicing physical activity are more likely to counsel their patients, or to provide them with more counseling, on adopting physical activity (McDowell et al., 1997; Frank et al., 2007; Florindo et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2015). It is therefore important to also develop physical activity promotion interventions in primary health care settings for the self-care of the health professionals in addition to training, to support them in their work-life balance and mental well-being (Sá et al., 2016). An intervention involving Community Health Workers in the eastern region of São Paulo city showed that physical activity can be linked with professional training for physical activity
Another suggestion that was less cited, but equally important, was the inclusion of guides to advise on the different types of physical activities available, for differing population likes and also clinical appropriateness, to enhance take up, suitability and ultimately adherence. The inclusion of this topic can be important because this type of physical activity is varied, for example it can be undertaken in group which contributes to improvements in social support, and also type of activity related to patient preferences (Florindo et al., 2011). The focus on physical activity promotion through the social support of friends and family as well as the overcoming of barriers to practice is central part of all interventions suggested through the “Active Environment” training course (Salvador et al., 2014; Costa et al., 2015; Florindo and Andrade, 2015).

Two positive points of the training included: 1. the focus on the relationship between physical activity and well-being/quality of life, and 2. information on the prevention of disease and its role in health promotion. Beyond explanations of the biological benefits derived from physical activity practice, a focus on other variables related to well-being, such as improvement in perceived quality of life and psychological well-being related to intra and interpersonal factors are important factor in interventions to promote physical activity within primary health care. This was deemed an important focus for physicians and nurses in physical activity counseling as the focus is often more related to the patient’s risk factors for diseases (Patel et al., 2011; Schmid et al., 2009) and not for prevention, health promotion, and quality of life/well-being.

Other categories had less citations, but are worthy of note, for example the inclusion of additional topics such as healthy eating, and the role of physical activity in the aging process. In this case, we did not include a specific approach to these topics in the course, but they were worked in other themes of the fifth module regarding health risk factors (Bracco et al., 2016). Other studies have adopted the training for physical activity and nutrition in the same intervention (Wilcox et al., 2010; Calfas et al., 2002) and this multidimensional focus is important for physicians in primary health care settings (Schmid et al., 2009).

The focus on physical activity promotion and the skills required to advise patients were however, less cited. In this case we need to improve these themes, because the main objective of the training is to develop the empowerment the health professionals for physical activity counseling, according to other interventions such as “PACE” (Long et al., 1996; Ainsworth and Yuomans, 2002) and “Green Prescription” (Hamlin et al., 2016; Elley et al., 2003). For this it is important to include practical counseling activities and examples of
patients in primary health care settings during the three weeks of this training, as it is done in “Exercise is Medicine” intervention (Calle et al., 2016).

In addition, despite the high prevalence of physical activity counseling delivered by physicians and nurses in primary health care in Brazil (around 70%) (Florindo et al., 2013), primary health care providers perceive that they lack adequate knowledge on physical activity and health (Hébert et al., 2012). A cross-sectional study in a sample of professionals working in primary health care in Brazil showed that knowledge on physical activity recommendations for health was generally poor, but when professionals had more interest in this area (in the case of nurses) or involved in more specific content such as assessing patients’ level of physical activity (in the case of physicians), the frequency of counseling increased (Florindo et al., 2013).

This detracts from the quality of counseling and, although interventions in primary health care through counseling are effective for increasing physical activity in adults (The Activity Counseling Trial Research Group, 2001), the mean effect size of this type of intervention to improve physical activity is low (Heath et al., 2012). The poor quality of counseling provided by physicians and nurses can contribute to this low effect size in community interventions. Thus, counseling in itself may not enough and must be of high quality in order to be effective.

The study had some limitations. Firstly, only 22.6% of the professionals accessed the fifth module. Therefore, we had a large dropout and a small sample to analyses the responses. Nevertheless, in the other course modules the participation was also low, suggesting that education for health providers is still challenging, as previously identified by other studies (Cervero and Gaines, 2015).

Secondly, we did not evaluate pre and post intervention regarding knowledge and skills for physical activity counseling of the health professionals that participated this training. We tried applied the questionnaire before of this training, but we had a very low response rate and not was possible analyzed the data. These variables are very important to assess the results of the education process and the empowerment of professionals and has been used in studies this field (Calle et al., 2016; Long et al., 1996)

Thirdly, it was not possible to examine barriers to the implementation of applying this physical activity training in practice. We tried to investigate possible barriers in the follow up questionnaire (Bracco et al., 2016) but as this was self-reported, often incomplete with missing responses it was not possible to determine this. Understanding the barriers is critical to the development of training in respect to physical activity counseling in primary health care.
Finally, we used only two open questions after training to verify the opinion of professionals. Other studies had used specific questionnaires to assess the course satisfaction (Calle et al., 2016) and individual face-to-face interviews (Patel et al., 2011) to verify the opinions of health professionals about physical activity training.

In addition to limitations, we have some challenges. The “Active Environment” training followed an online model with different strategies including classes that were recorded, didactic material, in addition to forums with health professionals following recommendations that were pointed as effective in continuing medical education (Cervero and Gaines, 2015; Marinopoulos et al., 2007). Wilcox et al. (2010) developed a method in CD-ROM for flexible and self-paced training and showed that the most of providers and nurses adopted the physical activity counseling for patients. However, Dacey et al. (2013) showed that two types of face-to-face health professional training that ranged one-day to two-and-a-half-day were effective to improve knowledge and confidence and to decrease barriers to promote a healthy lifestyle and to prevent risk factors. In addition, interventions considered effective as “PACE” were started and are based in face-to-face training (Long et al., 1996; Calfas et al., 1996). However, online training as “Active Environment” enables to achieve a large number of health professionals in a short time. In this case, we need more studies to compare online and face-to-face training and also have the support of physical education professional in primary health care units that could help in physician and nurses training (Florindo et al., 2016).

Another challenge is to assess the skill development to advise on the effectiveness of this physical activity training in patient’s behaviours. The most of the clinical trials that assessed “PACE” and “Green Prescription” interventions showed significant results to improve physical activity in adults (Hamlin et al., 2016; Elley et al., 2003; Swinburn et al., 1998; Miura et al., 2004; Calfas et al., 2002; Calfas et al., 1996). This is an important criterion from “The Community Guide” to evaluate public health interventions (Briss et al., 2000).

All these challenges provide practical implications to be addressed for the design, content and protocol of the “Active Environment” physical activity training prior to it being applied across primary health care within Brazil. However, few studies of the implementation of such training in physical activity with health professionals are available in Brazil. The findings of this study provide insight for the Unified Health System for physical activity
promotion and for other health systems in world that are similar to Brazilian health system that searching the developing of professional training for physical activity promotion by means of motivational interviews in primary health care settings.
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