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ABSTRACT

This study evaluated the effectiveness of a twelve-week community-based functional training on measures of impairment, activity and participation in a group of stroke survivors. Isometric strength of the knee musculature, Centre-Of-Pressure (COP) based measures of balance, Berg Balance Scale (BBS), 10 m walk test, and the Subjective Index of Physical and Social Outcome (SIPSO), were recorded at baseline, post-intervention, and after twelve weeks (follow-up). Exercise instructors delivered training once a week in a group format at a community centre. Significant improvement was noted in the BBS \((p < 0.002)\), and 10 m walk speed \((p = 0.03)\) post-intervention which remained unchanged at follow-up. Total SIPSO score improved significantly post-intervention \((p = 0.044)\). No other significant differences and no adverse effects were observed. It is possible that functional training provided more opportunity for the improvement of dynamic aspects of balance control that could be captured by the BBS but not with the traditional measures of balance using COP data. Results also suggest positive effects on the level of participation, and lack of association between measures of impairment and activity. Community based functional training could be effective and used to extend access to rehabilitation services beyond the acute and sub-acute stages after stroke.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stroke is the single biggest cause of severe adult disability in the UK [1], and affects between 178 and 317 people per 100,000 population in England each year [2]. One in four men and one in five women aged 45 and over will have a stroke during their lifetime [3] with a quarter of new strokes being in those aged under 65 [1]. Stroke therefore represents a sizeable challenge on multiple levels. At the societal level, stroke is estimated to cost in the region of £2.8 billion per annum in the UK [2,4]. On a personal level, the “costs” are arguably greater; while one third of people who have a stroke fully recover, a third die, with the remaining third having to contend with residual motor and sensory abnormalities, many of whom also have associated psychological side-effects [5,6]. More specifically, stroke can result in impairments such as the loss of muscle strength [7-13], and dexterity and proprioception [14,15]. Additionally, postural steadiness (the ability to maintain balance during dynamic and static activities) is often reduced [16,17]. As balance is an integral part of everyday functioning, alterations in this modality can have a detrimental effect on many aspects of a person’s life including their ability to walk [18,19].

Currently rehabilitation post stroke is predominantly limited to the acute and sub-acute stages with limited access beyond 6 months [20,21]. However evidence suggests that functional recovery can continue beyond six months, with the recovery plateau post stroke being strongly debated in the literature [22-24]. Yet how ongoing rehabilitation can be achieved presents a challenge given that current access to community-based therapies, in particular physiotherapy, has been described by stroke survivors as being too brief and time limited to promote best recovery [25,26].
The need to develop strategies for accessing further rehabilitation beyond the current NHS pathways is evident. Delivery of health and well-being interventions by non-healthcare professionals is not without precedence and is a growing area of interest [27-29]. For example, people living with cardiovascular conditions in the community are commonly referred to exercise groups run by professional fitness instructors [30]. Similarly more provision for the continuing input for stroke survivors from outside the health system is being seen, and the evidence for community based exercise after stroke schemes is growing and highlighted in policy and clinical stroke guidelines for further development [20,31,32].

Action for Rehabilitation from Neurological Injury (ARNI) is a UK based charity founded in 2001 by a stroke survivor which aims to help bridge this gap by providing functional training for people with stroke. The service is delivered by ARNI accredited exercise instructors (non-medical) and is focused on the achievement of functionally-oriented tasks through improving strength, endurance, balance and coordination, and motivation as described in the ARNI manual and a two day training programme [33]. ARNI training is a multimodal approach of which functional strength training is one component. For example, participants in the group format may practice sit to stand to strengthen hip and knee extensors. Consequently, improvement in strength is expected as a benefit of ARNI training. ARNI is mostly delivered on a one to one basis as opposed to a group format, although in service delivery models (e.g. Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire), a group format has been adopted which is a decision mostly driven by financial and personnel constraints [34]. While there are numerous personal testimonies to the success of the approach for individual stroke survivors [35], to date there is no empirical evidence of outcomes and uncertainty remains as to the effects of this training programme.

The aim of this 16 month pragmatic study was to evaluate the effectiveness and acceptability of ARNI functional training programme for people with stroke using a group model for service delivery. Findings from a qualitative evaluation relating to the acceptability of taking part in the ARNI training programme are reported elsewhere (Norris et al.—in press). This paper specifically reports the effectiveness of training based on data from a range of biomechanical, physical and psychosocial measures. Reported results can be used for the calculation of sample size in future larger studies, and provide insight into the potential obstacles of service delivery.

2. METHODS

A pragmatic mixed methods approach was used. The intervention provided was a 12 week, 1 1/2 hour weekly group based ARNI programme [33]. Training was delivered by two exercise instructors to a maximum of 8 participants to ensure safety and to maximise the opportunity for individuals to have some 1:1 time with an instructor (whilst the remaining people continued exercising as part of the group) to address personal goals. In total, four groups completed the training programme, which is described in detail elsewhere [33]. The programme took place in a newly built leisure centre facility in West London, UK.

In line with the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) levels, impairment was assessed by biomechanical tests of strength and postural steadiness and activity was measured through the use of the Berg Balance Scale (BBS) and 10 m walk. The validated Patient Reported Outcome Measure, SIPSO, was used as a measure of both social and physical impact acting as an indicator of participation [36].

2.1. Participants

All participants who volunteered to take part in the study were community dwelling stroke survivors who had finished formal physical rehabilitation. Participants in the study were screened and referred by a physiotherapist and all received GP clearance before taking part in the training. In total 30 stroke survivors participated in the intervention. Thirteen participants (4 F, 9 M, mean age 53 years; range 19 - 80 years; average time post stroke 20 months; range 4 - 154 months) volunteered to participate in the study and are described in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTICIPANT</th>
<th>WEIGHT (N)</th>
<th>AGE (Yr)</th>
<th>SIDE</th>
<th>TIME SINCE STROKE (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>R 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>R 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>R 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>L 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>L 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>R 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>R 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>L 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>R 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>R 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>R 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>L 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>R 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Side refers to the paretic side: R = right, L = left.
complete various aspects of the impairment and activity testing, which had an inclusion criteria of independent standing balance for a minimum of 2 minutes and the cognitive ability to follow simple instructions required for the strength tests (Table 1).

The SIPSO which was open to all participants was completed by 23 participants who equally had a diverse profile in terms of age and time since stroke. Figure 1 presents a flow chart of assessments completed and number of participants at each stage whose data contributed to the results and analyses including withdrawals and reasons for non-completion. Demographics of participants who took part in the ARNI training are presented in Table 2. Ethics approval for the conduction of the study was obtained from the Brunel University School of Sport and Education Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided informed consent before taking part in the study.

Figure 1. Flowchart of assessments completed and participation at each stage of the study.

Table 2. Demographic data of participants in the ARNI training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Totals/Average (range)</th>
<th>Physical testing</th>
<th>SIPSO</th>
<th>Focus Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>9 F, 16 M</td>
<td>4 F, 10 M</td>
<td>9 F, 13 M</td>
<td>8 F, 13 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.6 (19 - 84)</td>
<td>61.6 (19 - 82)</td>
<td>61.6 (19 - 84)</td>
<td>61.6 (19 - 84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since stroke (years)</td>
<td>3.18 (0.5 - 26)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.5 - 13)</td>
<td>2.23 (0.5 - 26)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.5 - 13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Physical testing refers to both clinical and lab based measures.
2.2. Procedure

All measures were taken at baseline, post intervention and at 3 month follow-up and were repeated in the same order. They were conducted by the same researchers to maintain consistency.

For the tests of postural stability, the participant was asked to stand comfortably on a Kistler piezoelectric force platform (type 9281B11; Kistler, Switzerland); arms by their side, while maintaining their gaze on a (black circle) target mounted on a wall in front of them at three meters distance, and keep this position for 30 seconds. No instruction with respect to the foot placement was provided. Coordinates of the centre of pressure (COP) in the anteroposterior (AP) and mediolateral (ML) directions recorded during the last 20 seconds of quiet standing were used to calculate eight measures of postural steadiness (total, AP, and ML excursions of the COP; total, AP, and ML velocity of the COP; AP and ML range of COP excursion) according to Prieto et al. [37]. A maximum of three successful trials were collected based on the participant’s ability to maintain their balance or follow the instructions for the duration of the trial and the average of the outcome measures were used in the analysis. The participant sat down between trials for thirty seconds to avoid fatigue.

It was decided to test the strength of the knee flexor and extensor muscle groups as an indicator of strength in the lower limb since a significant correlation amongst strength measured from different muscle groups of the lower limb after stroke has been reported [38,39]. A System 3 Biodex Isokinetic Dynamometry system (Biodex Medical Systems, New York, USA) was used for the assessment of strength of both the non-paretic (NP) and paretic (P) sides. The non-paretic limb was tested first. The participants were asked to produce maximum isometric knee extension or flexion torques while in a seated position (back rest angle at 85 degrees) at two different joint angles equal to 90% and 80% of the available range of motion between 90 degree of knee flexion and full extension. Using this procedure, torques were measured at angles closer to full knee extension. Verbal encouragement was provided during the trials.

At each joint angle tested, the participant was asked to produce a maximum extension torque for five seconds followed by a maximum flexion torque for five seconds. There was a ten second rest interval between each extension-flexion torque pair. Three pairs of extension-flexion torques were produced at each joint angle. There was 120 seconds rest interval between the sets of extension-flexion torque production at the two angles. Maximum torques recorded during the last two pairs of torque production were normalised to the body weight [40,41] and their average was used in the final analysis. Some participants were unable to produce torques above the value used by the system for gravity correction. For these individuals/sessions the gravity correction value determined by the system at the time of testing was used as the maximum torque to prevent missing values for the analysis. Accordingly, maximum torque could have been overestimated in such circumstances. Dynamometry and force platform data were sampled at 100 Hz.

The Berg Balance [42] was carried out in accordance with published guidelines. The 10 m walk was repeated three times with a one metre lead in and out distance. The average of the three trials was calculated and the participant was given the option to rest between trials to minimise the impact of fatigue. The SIPSO was completed independently by the participants.

2.3. Data Analysis

Clinical data were analysed in SPSS (SPSS Inc.; ver. 18.02) using a variety of tests as appropriate including t-test, ANOVA, related samples Friedman’s Two-way analysis of variance or a one tail sign test. For the biomechanical data, conventional paired t-test, one-way ANOVA, and MANOVA with repeated measures (side, joint angle, and testing session) was used to test the effect of training on balance and strength data between the NP and P sides at baseline and post-training, or across the three (baseline and post-training and follow up) testing sessions at the two joint angles. The level of significance for all tests was selected at 0.05 (α = 0.05). An intention to treat analysis was conducted. Attendance rate was good averaging 74% - 90% across the four groups.

3. RESULTS

Different number of participants took part in different tests. Figure 1 illustrates a flow chart of the study where reasons for drop outs are also included.

3.1. Measures of Impairment

Overall, postural stability performance of the participants did not show any statistically significant differences across the three sessions, or between the baseline and post-training sessions (all p values > 0.05). Results for the postural steadiness are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3.

For the extension torque at the baseline and post-training sessions, the effects of side and joint angle were significant. As expected, the non-paretic (NP) legs were significantly stronger than the paretic (P) legs (F[1,10] = 19.98; p = 0.001), and the torques produced at the relatively more extended joint angle were smaller (F[1,10] = 44.61; p = 0.0001). For the flexion torque, only the effect of side was significant where NP legs produced higher flexion torque values compared to the P legs (F[1,10] =
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MLEX = excursion of the centre of pressure (COP) in the mediolateral (side-to-side) direction; APEX = excursion of the COP in the anteroposterior (forward-backward) direction; TOTEX = total excursion of the COP. Error bars are group standard deviations. PRE, POST, and FOL refer to the pre-, post- and follow-up testing sessions.
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Figure 3. R ML = range of COP excursion in the mediolateral direction (m); R AP = range of COP excursion in the anteroposterior direction (m); M VEL ML = mean velocity of the COP in the ML direction (m/s); M VEL AP = mean velocity of the COP in the AP direction (m/s); M VEL = mean velocity of the COP (m/s); Error bars are group standard deviations. PRE, POST, and FOL refer to the pre-, post- and follow-up testing sessions.

9.26; p = 0.012). Similar results were found when the extension torques results were analysed across three sessions (F[1,7] = 24.15; p = 0.002 for the effect of side, and (F[1,7] = 54.60; p = 0.0001 for the effect of joint angle), but the difference between the NP and P sides for the flexion torques became non-significant (Figures 4 and 5).

3.2. Measures of Activity

Results for the measures of activity are shown in Table 3. Balance as measured by the BBS indicated a significant improvement across the testing sessions (F[2,11] = 24.15; p = 0.002 for the effect of side, and (F[2,11] = 10.63; p < 0.002) and all participants improved their scores. The average change from baseline to follow-up sessions was 6 points. This exceeded the minimal detectable change (MDC) for chronic stroke (defined as >6 months post stroke) which was reported as 2.5 points on the BBS [43]. It should be further noted that there was a ceiling effect, for this measure for 2 participants at follow-up. As a consequence it was possible that the results did not fully demonstrate the improvement achieved.

The 10 m walk test also indicated an improvement. Nine of the eleven tested improved their walking speed post intervention and there was a general trend for improvement from baseline to the follow-up testing sessions. However, these changes did not reach significance level. The improvement from baseline to post-training session was significant (p = 0.03) on a 1-tail sign test. These pairwise results supported an overall trend towards improvement. MDC for chronic stroke is documented as a 16% change [44] and the baseline to follow up changes achieved this illustrating a 37% improvement in walking speed. The minimally clinically important difference (MCID) is reported in the subacute population as 0.16 m/s [45]. This study indicated a MCID change of 0.15 m/s in this chronic population.

3.3. Measure of Participation

The SIPSO scores indicated a borderline significant
improvement overall ($p = 0.044$). However, when considered independently, the changes in physical and social subsections were non-significant (Physical: $F_{(2,22)} = 1.72$, ns; Social: $F_{(2,22)} = 1.78$, ns).

4. DISCUSSION

The purpose of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of a twelve-week ARNI functional training programme delivered in a group format on measures of impairment, activity, and participation in stroke survivors. While no significant improvement in the strength of the lower limb and COP-based measures of postural steadiness were noted, an improvement in functional balance activity and a trend toward walking faster post intervention were seen. The SIPSO showed a significant overall improvement in the level of participation, but the physical and social subsections did not alter significantly.

4.1. Effect of ARNI Functional Training on Strength

4.1.1. Knee Extensor Muscles Group

In contrast to the results of the present study, improvement in muscle strength with functional training in stroke patients has been reported before [46-48]. However, in these studies examination of the isometric muscle strength was mostly conducted at angles which favoured torque production, i.e. at longer lengths of the muscle. In the present study the extensor muscles group were tested at short lengths at angles similar to those adopted during the stance phase of gait [49,50]. Inasmuch as extension torque was not tested at long muscle lengths, it was not possible to rule out if improvement in muscle strength had occurred at long lengths. Selective weakness of the hemiparetic muscles at shorter muscle lengths have been reported elsewhere in the literature, with this impairment attributed to the reduced rate of motor unit firing, differentially reduced excitability of the motor cortex, and changes in the operating range of the extensor muscles amongst others [51-54]. Present findings support the relative weakness of the knee extensor muscles group in the P compared to the NP side, and suggest that the employed functional training regime did not affect the underlying impaired mechanisms for the selective muscle weakness at shorter lengths in twelve weeks.

Lack of improvement in muscle strength with training in the present study could also be an artefact due to the methodology employed for the analysis of the dynamometry results. As stated before, some participants were not capable of producing torque above the values used by the dynamometry system for gravity correction at the initial assessment, but they produced recordable torque in the subsequent sessions (Figure 6). For these participants, we perhaps overestimated the maximal torque by using the individualised gravity correction value in the pre-testing session for the analysis. Therefore increase in muscle strength after intervention, represented by small recordable torque, might have not reached to the level of significance.

4.1.2. Knee Flexor Muscles Group

For the flexor group, the present results are partially consistent with those of Koo et al. [54] who employed a range of different joint angles to evaluate the strength of the elbow flexor muscles in a group of hemiparetic and control participants. Koo et al. [54] found no difference in the flexion torque between the two groups, but reported that at the shorter lengths of the muscle, i.e. at the more flexed positions, flexor muscles in the hemiparetic group were relatively weaker. In the current study, strength in the Hamstrings group was only assessed at the longer lengths. Therefore, the results are not against the notion of joint position dependency of muscular weakness in stroke survivors as discussed by Koo et al. and others (indicated above), but do not fully support the similarity of the knee flexion strength between the P and NP since a significant difference between the P and NP flexion torque was observed when the results of the pre- and post-testing sessions were compared.

4.1.3. Relationship between Impairment and Activity

Existence of a correlation between strength and activ-

### Table 3. Mean (SD) of outcome measures at baseline (T0), post intervention (T1), and 3 months follow-up (T2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBS$^2$</td>
<td>44.09 (11.90)</td>
<td>49.36 (11.13)</td>
<td>50.25 (8.78)</td>
<td>$F_{(2,11)} = 10.63; p &lt; 0.0022$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m walk</td>
<td>25.08 (24.15)</td>
<td>18.85 (16.67)</td>
<td>18.06 (13.55)</td>
<td>$F_{(2,11)} = 1.69$ ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIPSO total$^1$</td>
<td>23.32 (6.45)</td>
<td>26.84 (6.81)</td>
<td>28.83 (6.10)</td>
<td>$\chi^2_{(2)} = 6.26; p = 0.0441$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIPSO section a (physical)$^2$</td>
<td>11.84 (4.72)</td>
<td>13.84 (4.23)</td>
<td>14.17 (4.86)</td>
<td>$F_{(2,22)} = 1.72$ ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIPSO section b (social)$^2$</td>
<td>11.47 (3.41)</td>
<td>13 (3.96)</td>
<td>13.75 (3.02)</td>
<td>$F_{(2,22)} = 1.78$ ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BBS—increase score indicate improvement, 10 m walk—decrease score indicates improvement, SIPSO—increase score indicates improvement. $^1$Analysed with Friedman’s two way analysis of variance. $^2$Analysed with ANOVA.
Figure 6. Extension and flexion torques produced by a participant at the 90% of the available range of motion in the pre- (upper panel) and post-training (middle panel), and follow-up (lower panel) sessions. Ext = extension torque; Flex = flexion torque; T2 and T3 refer to the second and third attempt from which maximum torques were calculated. Choice of sign for torques is arbitrary. The participant was incapable of producing extension torque at the tested angle in both pre- and post-training sessions (hence the overlapped flat lines), but produced recordable torques in the follow-up session during the third attempt.

Copyright © 2013 SciRes.
4.1.4. Relationship between Clinical and Biomechanical Measures of Balance

The significant improvement in balance as demonstrated by the BBS was in line with improvement reported in similar studies of exercise groups after stroke [63,64]. Of particular interest, however, was the improvement across all participants irrespective of their age and time post stroke. Such improvement was not associated with alteration in the COP-based measures of postural stability, or increased strength in the leg [74]. Participants were included in the present study if they could maintain an unsupported stance for two minutes, and hence would score maximum points in the “standing unsupported” item of the BBS. Therefore, any changes in the other aspects of balance abilities assessed by the BBS could result in a lack of association between the results of the two tests. Only moderate correlation between the BBS and COP-based measures of balance has been reported before and authors attributed the findings to the different aspects of the balance abilities represented by these tests [65,66]. ARNI training included standing up from the floor and transfer of weight alternatively to the stronger and weaker sides of the body during functional tasks. It is therefore possible that the underlying mechanisms for the more dynamic balance activities benefited further from the training.

4.2. ARNI Functional Training May Improve Quality of Life

In view of these positive results in activity it is perhaps surprising that the SIPSO did not indicate more extensive changes in quality of life, although the trend was for some improvement. Previous work has questioned the direct link between physical capacity and quality of life [67] and the present results suggest that this relationship is certainly not linear. The SIPSO considers a variety of factors including both community ambulation and communication with friendship networks. Three participants had communication problems which naturally were not addressed in the ARNI training. Walking speed is a commonly used indicator of activity potential and therefore can have an important difference on the stroke survivors’ ability to interact with their surroundings. However, while walking speed and balance are associated with community walking, other factors such as confidence and self-efficacy are equally important and were not measured in this study [68].

It is argued that results such as those reported in this paper reinforce the call to extend rehabilitation pathways beyond the initial acute and sub-acute periods and question the effectiveness of the current environment for stroke survivors to maximise their activity and functional potential [69]. They further highlight a need for an extended rehabilitation pathway supported by community resources. Previous research has involved fitness instructors in providing exercise to stroke survivors with some positive results [47,64]. However, research also indicates that uptake and continuation is limited by access to specialised gym facilities and self-consciousness created as a result of exercising with able bodied people [70,71]. This study was run in a health facility but required no specialist gym equipment, and while it was run in a group format, the results would indicate that sufficient training effect was achieved for at least the clinical measures to change.

4.3. Limitations

A sample of convenience was recruited for this evaluative study and there were no control participants. The participating group was comprised of a broad range of stroke survivors with different levels of motor impairment who received training in a group format which represented a real life situation. The standard model of the ARNI programme is on a 1:1 basis with resultant financial implications. The present study only supports the effectiveness of the ARNI training in a group format as a form of service delivery for stroke survivors, where specific trainings are individualised according to the abilities of the trainee [75].

Instructors who delivered the training were blinded to recruitment and hence the participants in the present study were not treated differently from the remaining of the training group. The research group was independent from ARNI.

5. CONCLUSION

In summary, twelve weeks of ARNI functional training positively affected activity and participation levels in a group of stroke survivors. Such improvements were however not associated with improvement at an impair-
ment level. No adverse effect was observed as a result of participating in the training or assessment sessions, and hence, the training can be undertaken as an adjunct to rehabilitation plans or as part of a longer term personal plan for health and wellbeing.
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